

Sketch showing connecting line from the established N.W. cor. of
Survey No 9, BLK 6, I & G. N. R.R. Co. Randall County, to the N.W.
cor. of survey 221, BLK B4, H & G. N. R.R. Co. Armstrong Co.
as I found said corners defined by iron pipes and
fences on the ground. There is an excess of 576 $\frac{1}{4}$ in BLK 6
from the N.W. cor. of Survey 9 to BLK B4 which has been filed
on as vacant land. Query - Is it vacant land, or is
it excess that legally belongs to BLK 6?

A. S. Koenen, Deputy Surveyor,
Armstrong Co. Texas

Block G1 conflicts with
BLK 6 as shown above

See Sketch in
SF. 5992.



General Land Office.

State of Texas.

Austin.

October 29, 1904.

JOHN J. TERRELL, COMMISSIONER.
J.T. ROBISON, CHIEF CLERK.

Capt. A. S. Howren,

Amarillo, Texas.

Dear Sir:

Your favor of the 24th inst. with sketch received. I have investigated the calls of the several surveys in blocks 8 and 9, I. & G. N. R. R. Co., on its East boundary line in Armstrong county near the line of Randall county, and find that survey No. 1, Blk. 6, calls to begin "at a monument the S.W.cor.of sur.No.221 in Blk. B 4, for the I. & G.N.R.R.Co., said monument being 12 x 8 feet, four miles North of the S.W.cor.of said block B 4, thence North 1900 vrs. to mound the N.W.cor.of said #221, the same being the S.W.cor. of survey #220 in said Blk. B 4," &c. Furthermore, block 6 and 8, were resurveyed in the year 1888 under instructions from former Commissioner R. M. Hall to resurvey the several blocks according to the original corners identified on the ground at the time, and it would not be advisable to sever the calls and create a vacancy, as under decisions of our higher courts touching on the point of surveys made in blocks where the old original outside corners had been established and identified, they cannot be disturbed. Hence, I cannot recognize the vacancy.

Very respectfully,

E.von Rosenberg-Ewing

Commissioner.

Tr¹

502

Amarillo - Tx

10/24/04

Hon J. J. Terrell, Com of L. O.

Austin - Texas.

Dear Sir. Attached hereto I enclose sketch of connecting line from N.W.cor. sur. 9.BLK 6. J & G. N. Ry Co in Randall county, east to N.W.cor. of sur 221.BLK B 4. K & G.N. Ry Co. in Armstrong county, showing an excess of 576²⁰ which has been filed on in the Co. Surveyor's office at Claude, as vacant land. As the duly qualified Deputy Surveyor of Armstrong County, I have made the survey on the ground, and by request of the applicants, now submit the question to you for your decision as to whether this is public domain between these two blocks that call for a common line, and appear to have been originally "tied together" by earth mounds which have not been identified by any recent surveyor, or whether BLK 6 will hold the excess. Your ruling and an early answer is urgently requested. The N.W.cor. of survey 9. and also 11. is fixed on the ground, hence I began there.

Tr²⁰

Yours truly -
Counter # 13883

A. S. Howren

Sketch file "C"
Box 1
Armstrong Co.,
on line of
Randall Co.
N.E. corner of^{Randall}County
By A. S. Howren

Oct 1904.

Mr³