



KATHERINE L. HARRIS

ELEANOR BRISTER

EMMA LOU KNIGHT

Scale: 1" = 400 varas

Survey	Acres
P. W. MEN.	35.4
64	635.0
65	390.8
3	36.5
4	541.5
E. H. Oliver	155.0
A. B. Thom.	111.3
N/2 - 75	322.3
N/2 - 74	322.1
TOTAL (K.L.Harris)	2545.9

- LEGEND:-**
- Corners by Jas. K. Avera
 - Original corners
 - Property lines
 - Survey lines
 - Fences
 - ⊙ Windmill

PART OF FORMER
 KNIGHT RANCH
 TOM GREEN & SCHLEICHER Cos.
 Surveyed May, 1940, for:-
 KATHERINE L. HARRIS
 by James K. Avera -
 Licensed Land Surveyor
 San Angelo, Texas

This is to certify that the above map represents the true facts as found by me in a survey on the ground in May, 1940.
 James K. Avera
 Lic. Ld. Surveyor
 San Angelo, Texas

Note: See report dated June 19th, 1940. JKA

Sketch File No. 29
Schleicher County

Dated June 24, 1940

Barcom Giles
by N.W.C.R.

Descriptive: Knighl Ranch
in N.E. part of
County

y'

RECEIVED

JUN 21 1940

REFERRED TO MAP

847

JAMES K. AVERA
STATE LICENSED
ENGINEER AND SURVEYOR
HOLCOMBE-BLANTON BUILDING
SAN ANGELO, TEXAS

June 19, 1940

RECEIVED

JUN 21 1940

Mr. Bascom Giles
Commissioner of General Land Office
Austin, Texas

REFERRED TO MAP

Dear Sir:

In answer to your letter of June 17, 1940, I am making this report and enclosing a sketch covering the area involved; no report or sketch was included with field notes of Sur. 74 and the Thomerson Sur. 1 since I had previously filed a plat covering part of this area in connection with the patenting of Parts of Surs. 73, 76 & 77, Blk. H, GH&SARRCo., Schleicher Co. However, I should have sent in a report and referred to this plat; I am sending the enclosed sketch since it covers even more of the area involved.

Original corners were called for and found at most of the points affecting the lines of said Sur. 74 and 1; these and their bearings are shown on the sketch and called for in the field notes. You will note that the North line of Sur. 74 and the South line (west part) of Sur. 1 differ 0°3' in course while they are supposed to be the same; according to our survey, there actually is this slight difference which can almost be covered by a rock mounds width.

There are two Surs., 7-1/2C & 7-1/2D, shown on Land Office records as being south of H&TCRRCo. Blk. 25; they are shown to be about 65 varas wide (North-south). Our survey indicates that there is not sufficient room for these, as shown on sketch. There are no monuments called for in the original notes on Surs. 64-67, sd. Blk. 25, but we did find those called for on the South end of the McNeese Sur. 3 and all of the fencing and accepted lines indicate the Blk. 25, South line, to be as shown on my sketch. I did not make an extensive survey of Blk. 25 and there may be other data that will clear this up; while in your office recently, I discussed this matter with Mr. Woodland who was drawing a Schleicher Co. map at the time, and I told him that I would send in a sketch of my work.

Page 2 - Schleicher Co. survey

I will appreciate any help or comments you might give me about this, because it is possible I have overlooked something; I have made a thorough search of the records and your office sent me photostatic copies of all nearby surveys (field notes), so along with ~~me~~ these and our findings on the ground, I feel confident our work is right.

Since the owners and Mr. Brown are waiting for the patents to be issued before they can make final papers on a pending transaction, please do not let the latter part of this report delay action on approval of the field notes submitted to you previously. I hope that this report and sketch will meet your requirements for approval of the field notes, but if there are any questions or additional data needed, I will be glad to send them.

Very truly yours,

James K. Aver

jka:x