



Austin, Texas, Aug 30<sup>th</sup> 1888.

Hon. R. M. Hall, Comm. G. L. Office.

Dear Sir:

Herewith I present a Sketch of the N.E. portion of Travis County, showing the actual position on the ground of the various surveys and explanations regarding such location.

The dotted line sub. "A." in red, was located by projection of lines from the James Mann 1280 sur. which has witnessed corners, found to be correct and agreeing with other corners of 1838 works. - and I wish to call attention to the positions of the R. G. Sanders & Mr. Caldwell surveys, as they differ greatly from Maps at G. L. Office.

The Th. P. Hancock, was located from the S. Bacon N. 26. cor - as the field notes refer - and this sur. and others Eastward from this dotted line are located on the ground in conformity to surveys lying to the South, including the J. P. Kemp N. 27, none of these 1841 surveys, having corners by which they can be identified.

The Block of works at extreme East (Harper & Co) are located from witnessed corners found, and conflict with the extent shown.

The Block of Travis County surveys, lying West of the dotted line at "A." are tied to and in conformity with witnessed corners found on the A. Austin & other surveys, made in 1841.

All these surveys mentioned with one or two exceptions are now enclosed and occupied, and conflicts adjusted so far as my knowledge goes.

The question to be presented to you being the

1 location of the Rodriguez, Lindsey, McDougal, Ken-  
 2 son & Gambraus surveys. I will state work done in  
 3 the field to determine such location.

4 Commencing at the N.W. cor. of the Isaac Baker  
 5 League, Bastrop Co. I ran a line following course &  
 6 distance as given in original surveys, at var of 10°  
 7 East - and in conformity with opinion & judgment  
 8 of Hon. Dist Court of Travis Co. and at S.W. cor of  
 9 John W. Dougal Survey placed stake which is 467  
 10 varas N 30° E. from the N. boundary of the J. P. Kemp  
 11 Survey N. 21. as determined from its Southern connec-  
 12 tions.

13 Again I ran a line (ruled in red) from the N.E. cor  
 14 of M. Wilbarger, to N.W. cor. of J. C. Dewal sur. on S. bank  
 15 of Brushy Cr. identified by witness trees - 570 ft. at  
 16 2725 var. cor. of enclosure on right, at 4140. pass cor  
 17 of field on left, at 4395. S.E. cor. of field on right.

18 Thence from the N.E. cor. of the Ed. Hunt sur. (the  
 19 same determined some years past from witnessed  
 20 corners) I ran S 60° E. to rock 119 varas, being S.W.  
 21 cor. of Gambraus sur N. 38. Thence N 30° E. 798 varas  
 22 Thence S 60° E. 475 varas. Thence S 80° E. 190 varas  
 23 intersecting the point at 4395 varas from Brushy  
 24 Crk. - as a further verification I continued line  
 25 S 80° E. with given distance of 2209 + 923. Thence  
 26 S 60° E. 353 varas to cor formerly set by me for N.W. cor  
 27 of the J. H. Deussen sur. and which corner I had  
 28 placed agreeing with the N.E. cor of said Deussen  
 29 Survey, which is tied to Brushy Crk. (See  
 30 certificate of Mr. Morrell C. S. Williamson Co. in  
 31 file of R. W. Ford.)

32 Connections having been made from all available

1 directions, none agreeing. I have located the  
2 1847 work of Mr. Pace, from its Beg. cor. at N.W. cor  
3 of Isaac Casner Tr. and his 1849 work agreeable  
4 to known corners of Ed. Flint and A. Austin  
5 surveys, to which their calls conform, leaving  
6 vacancy between the J. B. Deason & John W. Dou-  
7 gal surveys, no witness trees as called for in the  
8 original work being found -

9 There is discrepancy in length of lines of the  
10 J. B. Deason survey - but fixed notes returned here  
11 with for vacancies, are in conformity with call  
12 of lower line -

13 Respectfully requesting your action & judg-  
14 ment in the premises I remain

15 Yours Very Truly

16 Jno. B. Campbell

17 Surveyor for  
18 Travis County