



Map showing location  
of  
Survey No. 2, Block 4, I. & G. N. R. R. Co.  
in  
Val Verde, formerly Kinney County,  
TEXAS.

I certify that this map is correct  
from records  
G. W. Marshall

Scale: 1 inch to 2000 feet.

Note: Original Corner marked this c



8,

Val Verde Co

SK., Connections, & Explanations  
of Surveys lying west of San Felipe  
Sprgs.

Filed April, 8, 1885.

counter 39198



100  
G., H. & S. A. RAILWAY SYSTEM.

OFFICE OF CHIEF ENGINEER.

JAS. CONVERSE,  
Chief Engineer.

San Antonio  
Houston, Texas, April 9<sup>th</sup> 1885.

W. C. Walsh, Esq.

Com. Gen. Land Office  
Austin.

Dear Sir

Since I mailed you my maps the following connection have been made.

Beginning at the North Corner Sur No 161<sup>3</sup>/<sub>4</sub>  
John Kienle and running N. 77° 30' W. to  
the South East Corner of Sur. No 1. Block 4.  
I. & G. N. R. R. C. The Distance between the  
said corners is 636.3 varas.

Please acknowledge the receipt of inclosed  
sketch and oblige

Yours Respectfully

James Converse  
Peterly.

counter 39199



(4)

Sketch of

Kimsey County  
now Val Verde Co

---

Filed April 11/80

D. N. Robinson  
Chief Clerk

San Felipe Cr & Spers

by James Converse



San Antonio April 6/85

Hon. W. C. Marsh Com. Gen. Land Office  
Austin Texas

The enclosed maps of Survey No. 2 Block  
4<sup>th</sup> Sec. G. W. R. 4<sup>th</sup> Kenney County with the accompany-  
ing field notes of recent surveys of said  
Survey No. Two, and adjoining surveys I sub-  
mit as proposed in my previous letter.

To verify the S. W. Corner of Survey No. One  
which is the Original and initial point of Survey  
No. Two, I commenced at the S. W. Cor. of S. 4<sup>th</sup>  
N. Survey No. 8 Block 3 and ran the connecting  
line called for in the Original Notes of Survey No. One.

There is an excess of westing on this line of sixty  
feet, and  $\frac{1}{2}$  foot in Northing of 60 ft. This excess  
in westing, may be due to unscrupulous chaining  
in the measurement of said line.

After identifying the S. E. Corner of No. One  
by measurement and bearing, one of which was to the  
Conical hill East of San Aliso, which bears S 32° 40' E  
I ran the South line of Survey No. One.

By running due west from said Corner of Survey  
No. One 1928.72 was. I find, the S. W. Corner No. One  
from the Proven bearing tree, to be 34 ft. North  
of said course. I then went to the proven bush,  
or tree, and ran due East 224.00 and found a  
Stake (no mound) there is an excess of 28.72  
feet on this line, determined from said bearing  
tree. Said person is 6" in diameter for 2 feet above  
the ground, with a bushy top (a large part cut away).

The course from the S. E. Cor. No. One to S. W. Cor. No.  
One should be N 89° W instead of due west.



This Course run with  $9^{\circ}$  variation. As there could be no question, or doubt about these two Courses

I then went to the North East Corner of Survey No. 162, a Stone mound, the original corner of the H. Canales Survey, better known as Harley's Corner, which has been known and recognized as correct. Beginning at said Stone mound, Thence  $N 45^{\circ} W$  at 167<sup>0</sup> or cross G.M. & R.R., at 600<sup>0</sup> pass a Stone mound, a Subdivision Corner, at 711 a Stone mound, Corner of Harley's Subdivision at 985<sup>0</sup> the N.W. Cor of Survey No. 162 Earth mound,

Thence  $S 45^{\circ} W$  150<sup>0</sup> to a mound, the W.E. Corner of Survey No. 163, Francis Outing. This corner apex within two (2) 107<sup>0</sup> of the corner set by G. W. Marshall in March 1884, Established from the North west corner of Survey 165, D. Bruce, Survey

After determining the S.E. corner of 163 in the manner stated, I then returned to the S.W. Corner of Survey No. One, and ran due South <sup>939<sup>0</sup></sup> intersecting the East line of Survey 163, 305<sup>0</sup>,  $N 45^{\circ} W$  from the W.E. corner of said Survey.

The original notes of Survey No. 2 make this intersection  $7^{\circ}$  or  $N 45^{\circ} E$  from the East corner of Survey 163. This line I corrected to said point, to correspond with original notes.

I then went to the S.W. Cor of the S. Course Survey No. 184, a Stone mound, set by J. Hoey there is also a Stone mound, 55<sup>0</sup> or  $S 45^{\circ} W$  set by U.S. Engineer, for same corner, on the East line of the Dan Mitchell Survey

Beginning at said Stone Mound set by J. Hoey, for the S.W. Corner Cor of Survey 184, on the



East line of the James Mitchell Survey No 183

Thence N 45° W 460 or cross San Felipe  
Creek, at 652.00, cross G.H. of A. R. R., at 982  
or a stone mound the South East Corner of the  
John Keile Survey No 161,  $\frac{3}{4}$ , a stone mound at  
1929.00 another stone mound, the North East Cor  
of the Keile Survey No 161,  $\frac{3}{4}$  at 2581.00 an Old  
stone mound, the N.W. Cor of Survey 184, on the  
East line of Survey No 2, at 2879.00 a stone  
mound set for the North East Cor of Survey No 2

Thence South 11.00 a stake, Thence West-  
574  $\frac{1}{2}$ .00 to the East line of Survey No One, a Stake  
1142 runs North from the S.E. Cor of Survey  
No One, Thence South 1142.00 to a large Earth  
mound the original South East Cor of said Survey  
No One, this line being 191.00 short, of measure  
in original notes.

I then Commenced at the same mound, the  
N.E. Cor of Survey No 161,  $\frac{3}{4}$  Thence S 45° W  
950.00 a stone mound, the N.W. Cor of said Keile  
Survey. Thence S 45° E 717  $\frac{1}{2}$ .00 to a stone mound  
the North Cor of the Martin 22 acre Survey, contin-  
ued same course 947.00 a stone mound on the  
North line of the James Mitchell Survey No 183. and  
the S.W. Cor of the Keile Survey No 161,  $\frac{3}{4}$   
this corner set by Dr. James Farmer County Surveyor  
Kearney Co.

Then Rejoined at said stone mound set  
for the North Cor of the Martin Survey, on the west  
line of Survey 161,  $\frac{3}{4}$ , Thence S 45° W 1154.00  
to the N.W. Cor of said Martin Survey on the East  
line of John Keile Survey 161,  $\frac{1}{2}$  a stone



monument set by Jas Jones & County Surveyor;

Thence S 45° W 203 00 Cross Rail road, at 717 1/2  
North East Cor of Survey No 161 1/2. John Kinde  
160 on Survey

Thence S 45° W <sup>(946) 00</sup> 946 00 pass W. W.  
Cor of the Kinde Survey a Stone monument, & North  
Cor of Survey 161. Contained some Course 1070. 00  
N.E. Cor 162, a Stone monument known as the Harley Cor  
on the W.W. line of Survey 161.

Thence S 45° W. 167. 00 Cross R. R. at 500.  
00 pass Stone monument Subdivision Cor, at 711. 00  
pass Stone monument, Harley Corner, at 985 00  
a monument the North Cor of Survey 162. Thence  
S 45° W 150. 00 to a monument the East Cor of Survey No  
163. Closing with Surveys made from the rear  
lines of Surveys 165. 164. and 163. as previously  
stated, within two acres

I then Commenced at the N.W. Cor of the Corusco  
Survey, an Old Stone monument, on the East line of  
Survey No 2, referred to in above note, Thence  
S 45° E 2145. 00 an Old Stone monument. The bearing  
trees both cut down within the past 12 months

Jas Jones formerly County Surveyor found this  
Corner, and the trees called for in the original  
notes, when Mr Jones found this Corner, he had  
Mr Perry, J. C. Greenwood & Harley examine said  
trees, & subsequently showed said Corner bearing  
trees to Frank Signawitz, who proposed to lo-  
cate the excess of 90. 00 between the Corusco  
Survey 184. and the Jas Mitchell Kinde and  
J & G. W Survey No 2. Mr Jones declined,  
and stated there was no vacancy, but an excess



in the Cross Survey of 90 acres

Mr Jones authorizes me to make this statement, and will make an affidavit to the same. In March 1884. Mr G. W. Marshall in making a Survey found these bearing trees, both of them are now gone, but the Stumps remain.

If you desire any more information to establish the fact <sup>that</sup> there is no vacancy, on any of the lines of Survey No. 2, which call for all the adjoining Surveys, I am ready to furnish any information required, which can be obtained on the ground. I have stated there is an excess in measurements on several lines, and a deficiency in others.

My surveys have been confined to the original land marks, where the same could be obtained, and to course and distance in other cases. Great care has been taken in running adjoining surveys. All the work has been done with a transit instrument, excepting the connecting line from Survey No. 8 to S. E. cor. of No. 2, and was run with a theodolite, all of which is respectfully submitted for your consideration.

Respectfully Yours  
James Anderson

I have examined these notes and enclosed map, and hereby certify that they are correct having made the same surveys in March and April 1884.

G. W. Marshall C. E.



② Sketch 8

Kinney County

now Val Verde Co.

Filed April 8<sup>th</sup> /85

D. N. Robinson

Chief Clerk

Recd April 9/85

By J. Bowyer

number 39206