JEZ BUILDING M. O. BOX 315 W. U. CODE A B C 4TH LAREDO, TEXAS

COUNTY SURVEYOR WEBB COUNTY. TEXAS 3273

12658

REFERRED TO h

Aoril 22,1924.,

Hon.J.T.Robison, Land Commissioner, Austin,Texas,

Dear Sir:-

5

I am enclosing field notes and certified sketch of some work done in this county July 1923., which shows some school surveys with a little excess land in them.

The owner Mr.Lod. Moglia, desires that this excess in the land be added to his original purchase as he wants his land exactly as it actually is, which is the way this plat shows it.

It is true that Mr.Dix made a survey of this same land some years ago, and he shows that he found the same corners, but stated that there was no excess, when as a matter of fact he advised the land owner that this excess would cut no figure and was not worth whole in making a change in the field notes to take it in, and for this reason reported 640 in each survey.

The lines run were along the original lines of these tracts and the corners found were the original corners, and we have made some surveys together with these same tracts for a distance of twelve miles south and west, we are quite sure that we are showing this land as it was actually found on the ground, and altho' the excess in these three surveys does not amount to much, Mr.Moglia insisted that it be corrected as we have done, altho' there would never be a ny danger of his losing this excess as it is inculded in his original purchase whether paid for or not.

Webb Co. SK. File LM" 3

I will apprediate your a ttention to this, and if there is

FOST

COUNTY SURVEYOR WEBB COUNTY. TEXAS

LAREDO, TEXAS

J.T.R.#2..

a ny reason why these tracts can not be allowed correction with the excess as stated, please advise me so that they may be made corrected to show that the monuments of concrete marked "M", shown hereon may be filed for record as these monuments which I saw that they were well placed and put in for permament reasons, will always be considered as permament and not like stones or posts which can be easily removed or destroyed; for the reason of this survey was to see that the lines and corners should be permament and well defined which is what I have marked on the ground, at the owners instance.

You will note that there appear two surveys in this part of the county which lie mostly in Duval county. and they are nos. 167, and 147, these same surveys appear to be in conflict and as there/eight stones defining the corners to them , either one must be superior to the other and a strip of land is left between the north line of one and survey No.725 on the north, which is either a part of a void survey or it may declared vacant land. This portion is now included in with survey No.725 and claimed as part of same by the present owner of this survey.

> Hopeings that this matter will have due attention, I am Yours truly,

Entry Siener

EJF/F

COUNTY ENG

WEBB COUNTY, TEXAS



** # "I.M."3 Box#3 Skatch File Nº 3 Webt County: on East hive of Co-4/23/19ml J. Kohim Jordawking S. of Blk. 1. ccsp X R,G,N,G,RR,Co. on Pural line

