Me NO. Skelen Elle 16 County GARRY MAURO, Com'r Dowald to Statene Files)

ROBT. E. ESTES LICENSED LAND SURVEYOR

> MIDLAND, TEXAS March 14,1934

O.C. armetrong . 82.7 Fair Bldg.

Received 3/16/24

Decens, Torias. (3474) Part of Rolled St. 14. Andrews County.

Hon. J. H. Walker, Commsr Gen Land Office. Austin, Texas.

Dear Sir:

The following is a report of a survey the purpose of which is to show the relation and position of the West line of University Blocks 3 and 4, as surveyed by F.F.Friend Special Surveyor for the University of Texes, to the East line of sections No.1,2,3 and 4. Public School Block 119, as originally surveyed by D. Boone. In October 1900.

When Mr.Boone made out the field notes for sections No.1. 2,7 and 4 Elk.Al9, he knew where the East line of Blocks A35 and 445 were on the ground because he made this survey at about the same time he surveyed Blk. \$19. But in the Field Notes for sections No.1,2,3 and 4. he calls to begin off the University Blks, 3 and 4.

It was not until 1902 that Mr. Twichell actually designated a place on the ground for the N.W. cor of section 6.Univ.Blk.4 So it seems clear that section No.1.Blk.Al9 is entitled to its full call distance "ast and West as set out in the original field notes made in 1900. provided there is no conflict with older existing surveys.

Mr.Friend in his survey of the University gives the Fast West distance for the West tier of sections in Blks, 3 and 4. as 2000 vrs. which encroaches about 100 vrs on Block A19 to the West. If it required an encroachment of 100 vrs for the University to get its compliment of land, then it would be justified

I assume all the land between the East line of Blocks A35 and A45 on the West and the University Blocks 7 and 4.on the East is appropriated to Block A19, by the original field notes made in 1900, and by corrected field notes made in 1918 embracing sections No.1,2,3 and 4. Fublic School Block A.19.

The field notes for section 6. Blk. Al9. made in 1902, by W.D. Twichell calls the distance from the N.W. cor of section No.1.Blk.A19 to the N.W. cor of section No.1.Blk.A35 to be 365 vrs so I adopt this distance, since the original field notes for sec. No. 1. Blk. Al9 does not say what this distance is but only calls for a point in the East line of section No.1 Blk. A35 for the N.W. cor of sec. No. 1. Blk. A19.

COUNTER # 12893

File No.Sk File 16	#2. Mr Walker.
ANDREWS	Causta

ROBT. E. ESTES

s .

and the s

MIDLAND, TEXAS

Filed _____ 6-21 ___ 19 34

GARRY MAURO, Com'r

By Douglas Howard (10-9-1993) (Transfeded to Sk. Files)

According to the original field notes, the North line of section No.1.Blk.Al9. is 694 vrs long.

Mr.Friend's survey of the West line of University Block 4. shows this distance as 603 vrs, which distance is the same as given in the field notes for section 6. Blk.A19 -survey by W.D.Twichell in 1902, which was two years after the the field notes were filed on sec.No.1. Blk.A19.

It is doubtful if Twichell's call of 603 vrs will hold • over the original call of 694 vrs.

It appears that Mr.Friends reason for encroaching 100 vrs on Blk.Al9. was to meet the call of 603 vrs given by Twichell instead of recognizing the original call of 694 vrs. Had Mr.Friend adopted the original call of 694 vrs instead of the 603 vara call, then there would have been no encroachment, and the University would have still had its full compliment of land.

University Block No.1. has its beginning at the N.W. cor of Block 42 Tsp No.2 North G.M.M.Bros & Anderson, at this point there is an original corner(Rock marked "P")

The West line of Univ.Blocks 3 and 4. as placed on the ground by Mr.Friend if produced Southward in a straight line will pass 74 vrs West of the original corner. Mr Friend did not see fit to line up the West limit of Univ.Blks 3 and 4, with this old original cor, but still he uses it as a corner of Univ.Blk.No.1.

For a more comprehensive understanding of the above report reference is had to the accompanying Map, and field notes and made a part of this repot:

> State of Texas,) Andrews County.)

Field Notes of the Wast line of Blocks A45 and A35. Public School Land, and connections to the West line of University Blocks 3 and 4, as surveyed by F.F/Friend Special surveyor for the University of Texas.

Beginning at an iron pipe marked S.E.21 B.34, on South slope of Draw, and at the N.W. cor of section 3. Public School Block A35.

Thence N,74°27'E. 5748 vrs to an iron pipe at the N.E. cor of section No.1. Said Blk.A35.

Thence S.15°38'E. at1365 vrs iron pipe N.W. cor of survey No.1. Blk.A19. Whence West line of University Blk.4 brs N.74°52'E 603 vrs(Friends West line of the University) at 4183 vrs iron pip S.W. cor of said survey No.1.Whence West line of University Blk. 4. By Friend brs N.74°52'E.571 vrs, 5710 vrs in all to the original N.E. cor of sec.20.Blk.A35,set iron pipe, on South slope send ridge, lone chaparral bush 1.5 vrs North.

COUNTER # 12898



ROBT. E. ESTES

MIDLAND, TEXAS December 24 th 1934.

DEC 27 1934

Hon J.H.Walker, Commsr Gen Land Office Austin Texas.

REFERRED TO MAP

Dear Mr. Walker:

I feel gratified to have had the consideration given my work in Andrews County, touching the boundaries of Block A-19 P.S.L. and the West line of Univ.Blks.3 and 4. and the satisfactory manner in which it was concluded.

The North line of University Blocks 1,9,10 and 11 are still unsettled, and as this is identical with the controversy slready settled, I would like to get the Scrap Land Block "P" treated in the same manner as Blk A-19.

I am submitting a map of this area showing Mr Friends line and my line, with notes, It will be seen that Mr Friend projected the Township line on the North of Blks 40 and 41, Tsp No.2. North G.M.M.B.& A.and T.&.P. straight to the N.E. corner of Univ.Blk.2. at this point it will be observed he swings his line going Westerly about 20' to the left, It is a question whether or not this line should go straight on West as it was started. If it is right up to the N.E. corner of Block 2. and then goes straight on West making the North line of Univ Blks.1,9,10 and 11, then it will go into conflict with Public school Blks 41,42 and 43. but if you swing the line to the left sufficiently you can of course keep it from conflicting. Was that the reason for swinging this line?

It might be accounted for in that the Western extremity of this line will stop exactly six miles northward from the S.W. corner of Blk.11. The line embraces excess in the University Blocks on the East End, and were it not for this conflict with the School Blocks it would embrace excess on the West end, Why not? the University is the older survey.

When I put the South line of Blk.P.Scrap land, on the ground I begin at the old rock mound and large rock marked "N", Gunter Munson Corner and the same corner from which we locate the East line of sections 1,2,3 and 4 Blk.A-19, I then go northward at right angles to this marked Gunter Munson line, a distance of three miles, I then turn a right angle to this line and go Westward setting up the North line of Univ.Blks.1,9,10 and 11, and the South line of Block "P" This, as shown on map offered herewith conforms and harmonizes with all the work to the North in Blk.A-19, which is already passed upon and counted correct, within the limit of llvaras as you recall.

I will be glad to make the field notes for Blk. "p" with open calls for the University if you so direct.

Very truly yours

Licensed Land Surveyor

COUNTER # 12899



le No. 6	Ketchi	ile	1
----------	--------	-----	---

NDREWS	Co	111	
	00	LA.L.	4

ROBT. E. ESTES LICENSED LAND SURVEYOR

#.3Mr Walker.

Filed _____ 6-21 -19 34 GARRY MAURO, Com'r MIDLAND, TEXAS

By Douglas Howard (10-9-1993 (Transflered to sketch files)

Thence S.15°30'30"E. 3806 vrs to an iron pipe marked N.E.1. B 45 -S.E. 21 B.35. at the N.E. cor of section No.1. Blk.A45. Thence S.15°27'E. at 262 vrs iron pipe at the S.W. cor of survey No.2. Blk. A19. whence West line of University Blk.4 by F.F.Friend brs N.74°52'E. 530 vrs. 1901.4 vrs pass Plunger Coupling original N.E. cor of section 10 Blk.445. 3803.5 vrs pass plunger coupling original N.E. cor section 11. at 5705.5 vrs pass plunger coupling original N.E. cor of section 20. Blk.445, 6245.5 vrs in all to an iron pipe at the S.W. cor of section 3, and N.W. cor section 4. Block Al9. Whence West line University Block 3. by F.F.Friend brs N.74°52'E. 500 vrs. 9510.4 vrs in all to an iron pipe and rock mound at the original S.T. cor of section 21 Blk. A45.

Beginning at the original N.E. cor of section No.1 Block A35, at an iron pipe. Thence S.15°38'E. 113 vrs to a point,

Thence N.74°52'E. 607 vrs to Concrete monument placed by F.F.Friend for the N.W. cor of section 6. Universit1 Blk.4.

Beginning at the N.E. cor of section 11. Blk.435.

Thence S.15°38'E. 104.2 vrs to a point. Thence N.74°52'E. 477.9 vrs to concrete monument placed by F.F. Friend for the N.W. cor of section 18. University Block 4.

Beginning at the N.E. cor of section 21 Blk. A45, Thence S.15°27'E. 99 vrs to a point. Thence N. 74°52'E. 490.5 vrs to Concrete monument placed by F.F. Friend for thevS.W. cor of section 7. University Block 3.

Surveyed March Ist to 5th 1934.

Walter Estes

Chain Carriers.

A.B. Estes

I. Robt E. Estes County Surveyor Andrews County Texas. hereby certift that the foregoing described survey was made by me on the ground and according to law, that the boundaries and corners are truly described and correctly set forth in the foregoing field notes and accompanying map, just as they are on the ground.

P.HE Ester

This 14 th day of March. 1934.

County Surveyor Indrews Andrews County Texas.

Yours very truly,

COUNTER # 12900