

1 State of Texas  
2 County of Donley  
3 Texas by me as State Surveyor.

Report of Survey made  
in Armstrong and Briscoe Co.,  
4 Hon. Jno. J. Fennell, Land Commissioner:-

Having finished the work which Commissioners Robison gave me to do in the above named Counties, I beg leave to make the following report.

Explanation of map herewith submitted and made a part of this report.

The points marked with a circle (○) in red ink, indicate old corners which I identified by the locator's fieldnotes; points marked (×) indicate those put in by Surveyors for the orig. lots. in some places correct and in others out of position. The lines marked (---) in red ink show the lines I actually ran on the ground the ones placed on the map for landmarks. The lines made in blue ink, indicate the drainage of the country, in fact, of course they were not measured except where indicated and are placed as best I could get them from other maps, observation and local information gathered on the ground; however, many have been omitted because they would take up too much space that is of greater importance. You will remember that this country is exceedingly rough. There are some inaccuracies which I was unable to avoid owing to the fact that I have not with me sufficient instruments to do the mapping with.

I did not mark the names on the sections because I did not know to whom they would be awarded. When sections are not complete I marked the area inside of dotted circles.

I began on the 24th day of Nov. 1902, in Palo duro  
Canyon Armstrong Co. Texas, at the N.W. Cor.  
of No. 5 S. C. & S. F. R.R. Co. Sur. block & 1, at  
a well established cor. which I identified by orig.  
field notes. I then ran East 377 ns. to st.  
and in valley S.E. Cor. of no. 3 and the S.W. Cor. of  
No. 4 same block, Thence  $S\ 45^{\circ} E$ , 1000 ns.  
Thence <sup>South?</sup> 400 ns. Thence E. 50 ns. Thence S.  
96 ns. Thence E. 24  $\frac{1}{2}$  ns. to the S.E. Cor. of  
said No. 5, and the S.W. Cor. of No. 8 of this block.

Note → I shall call your attention to the fact that I  
found both the orig. stone pile and the Hockley  
bearings at this cor. and that it is the S.W.  
Cor. of No. 8 as per the orig. notes. And I  
certify that No. 8 is, on the ground, East of  
No. 5 instead of being East of No. 7.

I continued down the River (See courses and  
distances on another sheet attached hereto) to the  
line of Briscoe Co. identifying all the cor.  
as shown on map herewith. ~~shown~~ I have  
shown Red River just as it is upon the  
ground except one or two miles that I did  
not actually meander, which you can see  
has no red dotted lines at such places.

You will observe that I made three con-  
nections with Block 2110 and the River  
surveys, which I find balance, when figure-  
ed, remarkably well considering the ex-  
ceedingly rough country. In every case  
where the lines come from the plains into  
the Riverwork I had to resort to triangulation  
to get a connection as the country is such  
that it cannot be chained.

You will notice that the lines marked out  
for me to run, by your office I have not  
done in every particular, because it is im-  
practical and in some cases impossible  
with the means and time at hand, in other  
words the value would not merit the  
expense, but I have done my best to  
make the connections called for by  
some route feeling sure that it will  
serve the purpose of the office.

You will see a cor. mkt. as orig.  
in Block C, T.W. n C. The common  
cor. of nos. 11, 12, 13 & 14. This I identified  
by the locator's private fieldnotes, which  
Cor. has been accepted for about 20 years.

You will observe that the lines of block  
W D do not always show the same lengths  
as the old roads adjacent. This is caused by  
an excess in the old roads which I had no  
right to put in. Again, you will see that  
the lines of the North plains do not run  
parallel with the River work which causes  
a shortage of the lines connecting them  
as they proceed to the West. They fall the  
same but run on a different angle.

I find the greatest excess in the line running  
West from Battle Creek toward the River which  
you will note has drawn the survey  
apart.

Of course there may be some shortage or excess in some  
of the surveys which I have not mentioned or noted. As  
you know in the time I was on the ground I could  
not run out every specific survey in this large  
territory.

(1) M 10

As regards Block M 10 B. S. & F on  
the South side of Danion.

I went to different places purported to be the "Happy Hollow" cor. (the common cor. of Nos 6, 7, 13 & 14) but found no evidence of the original cor. The Indians used this draw to get water for their camp grounds and stone piles are there by the dozen, and there can be no certainty as to the true one, if it be anywhere near the head of the well watered prong. The one claimed to have been found by the Briscoe Co. Surveyor, - I am quite sure he is wrong both as to the "cor." and the report he made of the same. The stone m'd. at the head of Happy was shown him by one of the citizens who went with others to see it, a small pile of stone from which the Surveyor started. He used it for the aforesaid cor. of Nos. 6, 7, 13 & 14, whereas no such cor. was ever made at that place, just simply a stone m'd. made for a bearing to that cor. See filenotes, I then ran South from the cor. (from which it is a bearing) 3800 no. and made a thorough search for the other bearing, but found none; I then ran East 1900 no. from said cor. of Nos. 6, 7, 13 & 14; found many stone piles near the last named point, what they were made for, I am unable to say. If you will examine, there is no cor. at any place, simply calls for bearings, the same being stone piles, if any of which were taken for a cor. you can see that it would place the block out of its proper position.

(2) especially the one claimed to have been found  
would make the Block between  $\frac{1}{2}$  and  $\frac{3}{4}$  of a mile  
out of position. Again, if this pile of stone  
be the Cor. Then the bearing for the said Cor.  
Nos. 6, 7, 13 & 14 would be in the canon and down  
under a bluff from 500 to a 1000 ft. high.  
Again, this stone pile is about 4000 ns. East  
of the Rio presumption, instead of being South  
as shown by the maps sent out from your  
office.

As to the Cor. of no. 158 of said Block,  
there is no connection with that and M10-  
since this section was located more than  
13 month after the Block (M10) was located.  
It is simply directory and shows that the locator  
had forgotten his first point, were he ever on  
the ground. I shall add, oak stumps or  
brushes may be found by going into the heads  
of any of those canons which make up  
the plains and it would cause conflicting in-  
terests in many places were these surveys  
pulled far enough either North or West to  
reach such bearings, let alone the whole Block.

After spending several days and find-  
ing nothing which I regarded reliable, I  
proceeded to the N.W. Cor. of M9, as located  
by Mr. M. C. Clegg, <sup>district surveyor of Doniphan district</sup> accepted by the Randall and  
Swisher Co. Surveyors (acting together and indorsed  
by the Land Office) See map and notes of Mr.  
Clegg of Feb. 2<sup>nd</sup>, 1884; indorsement of  
Land office 3/2/91. Also see copy of letter written  
me from your department Dec. 17<sup>th</sup>, 1902.

(2) <sup>M 10</sup>  
Hoising identified the N.E. cor. of M 8 and the N.W. Cor.  
of M 9, as per sketch furnished, I ran  
South 969 1/4 ns. to another Dor., as shown  
in said sketch which I identified, I then  
ran East cor.  $11^{\circ} 13' E$ , (this being what I  
found it to be) 22800 ns. to the E.B. line of  
said M 9. Then North 1938 3/4 ns. to the N.W.  
Cor. of M 10 as per the calls.

In going East I ran over the cor. of the  
next "Sec". East at 1937 ns. - the next "Sec." East  
at 1913 ns. - the third "Sec" East I passed 1/2 south  
of Cor. at 1897 m. and so on all the way to M 10  
N.B. line the Cor. were right and left and more or  
less for the "Sec" there being no regularity in  
alignment or excess or shortage; since  
there is no Dor. to hold to, I simply gave  
a mile to each.

From the Dor. of M 10, a stone set for  
some time N  $85\frac{1}{4}' E$  55 1/2 ns. a cedar post  
 $552^{\circ} E$  58 1/2 ns. also placed for said Dor.

I continued the line North to the same  
distance that I ran south from which  
an old stone pile is North 149 ns. and East 253.  
This m.d. is claimed to be a witness to the  
N.E. Cor. of M 9 which Dor. is further East  
200 more hundred ~~sts.~~. I then ran West  
from said stone pile and found that it was  
placed on a projection of the "iron pipe  
line" South of Lock 6 D & G N R R Co.  
Here let me call your attention to the fact  
that there are two iron pipes about 150 or 200 ns.  
a part E & W, (my sketch shows the East one)

(11) As to the originality of the last named stone  
1 msd. I do not think it at all probable that it  
2 was ever seen by the Locator (Mr. Redick) as  
3 it is in an exceedingly rough country, going  
4 in from any direction <sup>and</sup> especially an either  
5 of the lines of Block 6 or M<sup>g</sup>. Again the Sur.  
6 Surveyor could not have gotten to that Cor. without  
7 crossing the River, the River crosses both the N.E.  
8 lines of No. 216 of said M<sup>g</sup>. but no mention  
9 is made of that fact in his notes sent me.  
10 I am sure that it is not an original Cor.  
11 I then continued East from the N.W.  
12 Cor. of said M<sup>g</sup>, making corners for each  
13 section one mile apart to the middle  
14 of No. 10, and found my line to coincide  
15 with the said McClelland line on which are  
16 placed the S. Corners of the corner, Worford, Rice <sup>et al.</sup>  
17 other surveys, I passed only a few feet north  
18 of said Corners.  
19

20 I find a slight conflict between  
21 M<sup>g</sup> 10 on the East with Block 41, nos. 41 &  
22 48 in place of a vacancy as shown on  
23 the sketch furnished me.

Respectfully submitted

Mark E Ragsdale  
State Surveyor

1  
Cause and Distance run.

Beg. at the N.W. cor. of No. 5-Block G 1, G.C. & S  
F.R.R. Co. Sur.

Then East 377<sup>ns</sup> to the S.E. of No. 3 & S.W.<sup>\*4</sup> of  
Then S. 45° E 1000 m. Then S. 400 m. Then E. 50 m.  
Then S. 96 m. Then E. 246<sup>1/2</sup> m. to the S. of 3 & S.W.<sup>\*8</sup>  
Then S. 500 m. Then S. 30 W 500 m. S. 45 W 600 m.  
Then E. 279<sup>ns</sup>. Then S. 2<sup>1/2</sup> m. to N.E. Cor. of No. 5.  
Then S. 45 W 1879<sup>ns</sup>. Then S. 3<sup>ns</sup>, to S.W. Cor. of 54  
Then S. 30 E 600 m. Then S. 60 E 500 m. S. 30 E 300 m.  
Then S. 60 E 700 m. Then E. 760 m. Then S. 100 m.  
Then E. 400 m. Then S. 50 E 400 m. Then E. 700 m.  
Then S. 45 E 1600 m. Then E. 475 m. Then N. 133 m. to the  
S.E. Cor. No. 15<sup>ns</sup> S. W. of No. 18

Then W. 560 m. Then S. 6 m. to N.E. Cor. of No. 16.

Then N. 45 W 650 m. Then W. 339 m. Then S. 104 m. to  
the S.E. Cor. No. 19

Beg. again at the S.E. of said No. 15 & S.W. of No. 18  
Then S. 45° E 907 m. from pt. the N.W. Cor. of No. 55 is  
N. 452 m. <sup>2d</sup> East 165 m. and continue S. 45° E 176 m.  
i all to pt. Then East 972 m. to pt. 318 m. N. of  
of the S.W. Cor. of No. 21 and S.E. of No. 53. Continue from  
pt. N. of last named Cor. 1600 m. i all. Then  
N. 60 E 850 m. Then S. 341 m. to pt. Then W. 13 m. to the  
S.W. Cor. of No. 23. Then E. 1359 m. pt. Then W. 20<sup>23</sup> m.  
to the S.E. Cor. of No. 27. Then E. 300 m.

(Then S. 612<sup>1/2</sup> m. Then W. 100 m. Then S. 1240<sup>1/2</sup> m.  
Then S. 10° W 558 m. Then E. 200 m. Then S. 700 m.  
Then W. 1668<sup>1/2</sup> m. Then S. 30 E 1438<sup>1/2</sup> m. (from  
which point the Rice Pre. Cor. Lrs. N. 47° W 329 m. <sup>2d</sup> from  
this point the N.W. Cor. of the J.W. Potter Pre. Lrs. N. 30 W  
2480 m.) Then W. 95<sup>1/2</sup> m. Then S. 2542 m. Then E. 3000 m.  
Then S. 75° E 2000 m. Then N. 30 E 2900 m. Then N. 76° E  
<sup>from pt. the S.E. Cor. of No. 16 a.s.t.b. n. 191 m.</sup> 4155 m. Then N. 55° E 522 m. Then N. 116<sup>1/2</sup> m. to  
the S.W. Cor. of No. 39. Then N. 80<sup>1/2</sup> E 195<sup>1/2</sup> m. Then N.  
55° E 800 m. Then N. 17° W 1800 m.

3413  
328

36  
3245  
325

Then  $N 57^{\circ}W$  3300 ns. Then  $N 77^{\circ}W$  900 ns. Then  $N 55^{\circ}W$   
 1 trnsh. the N.E. Cor. of No. 29 & S. N. 482 ns. as N. 157 ns.  
 2 1700 m. Then  $N 720$  m. Then  $S 75^{\circ}W$  2200 m. from which  
 3 point the S.W. Cor. of No. 27 <sup>spt.</sup> & S.E. of No. 56 & S. N. 515°E 700 ns.  
 4 and S 47°W 65 m.) Then  $N 150^{\circ}W$  100 ns. Then  $N 1100$  m.  
 5 Then  $S 10^{\circ}W$  800 m. Then  $S 45^{\circ}W$  860 m. Then  $N$   
 6  $70^{\circ}W$  400 m.)

### Line up Dry Creek

Beg at the S.E. Cor. of No. 30 <sup>and</sup> N.E. Cor. of No. 29 Block G1.  
 Then  $N 65^{\circ}W$  300 m. Then  $N. 1200$  m. Then  $N 32^{\circ}W$   
 6100 m. Then  $N. 24$  m. Then  $N 45^{\circ}E$  105 m. Then  $N 45^{\circ}$   
 10  $N 53$  m. to the N.E. Cor. of No. 20 <sup>and</sup> the S.E. Cor. of No. 19  
 11 E.L. and R.R.R.R Co. Sur. Then  $S 18^{\circ}-09'W$  4174<sup>1/2</sup> ns.  
 12 Then  $S 55^{\circ}E$  521<sup>1/2</sup> ft. Then  $N 16\frac{3}{4}$  m. to the orig. Cor. on  
 13 the E. Line of No. 105 A & G. Then Beg. again at the  
 14 point  $S 18^{\circ}-09'W$  4174<sup>1/2</sup> ns. of the Cor. of 19<sup>1/2</sup> 20, Then  
 15  $N 1462$  m. Then  $S 151$  m. to orig. Cor. on W.B. Line  
 16 of said No. 105 A & G. Then  $N 400$  ns. Then  $N 36^{\circ}W$   
 17 1232 m. Then East 43 m. to the bearing Cor. of No. 17  
 18 Then  $S 12\frac{3}{4}^{\circ}E$  372 m. to the E. Cor. of No. 17 block ~~A~~ Z.  
 19

Beg. again at the S.E. Cor. of No. 30 <sup>and</sup> N.E. of No. 29 Block.  
 Then  $N 27^{\circ}E$  3123 m. Then 890 m. to the S.W. Cor. of No. 109.  
 Then  $S 70^{\circ}E$  4575 m. Then  $N 20^{\circ}E$  676 m. Then  $N 47^{\circ}E$  2440  
 Then  $N 80^{\circ}E$  1240 m. Then  $N \frac{1}{2}^{\circ}W$  29 m. Then  $E$  ( $n 188\frac{1}{2}$ )  
 24 14826 m. Then  $E$  ( $n 189^{\circ}E$ ) 964 m. Then  $S 45^{\circ}E$  3300 m.  
 Then  $E$  600 m. Then  $S 45^{\circ}E$  3915 m. Then  $S 595$  m.  
 Then a  $N 45^{\circ}E$  <sup>144</sup> m., a point for the S.E. Cor. of No. 10.  
 Then  $N 75$  m.

Then  $E$  ( $n 189^{\circ}E$ ) 930 m. Then  $S. 45$  m. Then  $E$ , 950 m.,  
 to the N.E. Cor. of No. 126 (fr. wh. the S.W. Cor. to  $S 45^{\circ}W$   
 29 1989 m. <sup>and</sup>  $E 51$  m.) Then  $N. 200$  m. Then  $E 2520$  m.  
 30 to the Cor. on W.B. line of No. 3. Then  $S 235$  m. to the S.E.  
 31 Cor. of said No. 3. Then  $S 60^{\circ}E$  469 m. Then  $S 70^{\circ}E$   
 32 3402 m. Then  $S 79\frac{1}{2}^{\circ}E$  814 m. Then  $N. 1$  m. the N.W. of No. 1  
 the S.W. of No. 2 <sup>and</sup> the S.E. of 112.

7<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub>  
4<sup>2</sup>/<sub>9</sub>  
2<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub>  
0<sup>4</sup>/<sub>7</sub>

Then S $10^{\circ}E$  14840 ns, Then E 123 ns, to the S.E. Cor. of No. 15.  
on Battle Creek from wh. a lot. W $10^{\circ}S$  8725 m.  
Then W at  $63^{\circ}26'N$  pass 144 ns. N of the N.W. Cor. of No. 33,  
and another Cor. South 400 ns. of said N.W. Cor. of No. 33, at  
10256 ns, pass 257 m. N. of an inside Cor. of No. 56, and  
10635 ns, in all 8 pt. Then N $53^{\circ}W$  632 ns. to pt. N  
 $5^{\circ}W$  of the S.E. Cor. of No. 53, and 2700 ns. to pt. Then S $80^{\circ}W$   
3795 ns. Then S $57\frac{1}{2}^{\circ}W$  205 ns. to an old large stone  
pit. Then S $55^{\circ}E$  720 ns. Then S $60^{\circ}W$  3100 ns.  
Then N $56\frac{3}{4}^{\circ}W$  372 ns. Then S $80^{\circ}W$  244 ns. Then N $45^{\circ}W$  318 ns.  
to the N. Cor. of No. 23. Then S $56^{\circ}W$  " 55  
 $W$  of 1345 pass the W. Cor. of No. 23<sup>nd</sup> of N $45^{\circ}W$  pt.  
Then (N $35^{\circ}W$ ) 1343 ns. \* \* Then " N $56^{\circ}W$  (N $55^{\circ}E$  38  
to the S. Cor. of No. 11. Then " N $34^{\circ}W$  (N $35^{\circ}W$  1901 ns.  
Then S $89^{\circ}W$  172 ns. Then N $1^{\circ}W$  860 ns. N.E. Cor  
of No. 1. Then S $89^{\circ}W$  1897 ns. S.E. of No. 49<sup>nd</sup> S.W. of No.  
52. Then S $85^{\circ}W$  1960 ns. Then S $55^{\circ}W$  1202 ns.  
Then W 82 ns. to an old cor. Then N $83\frac{1}{4}^{\circ}W$  1659 ns.  
to the E. Cor. of No. 43. Then N $75^{\circ}W$  200 ns. Then N  
 $44^{\circ}W$  701 ns. Then N $30^{\circ}W$  551 ns. Then N $50^{\circ}W$   
1706 ns. Then N $55^{\circ}W$  540 ns. Then N $10^{\circ}W$  800 ns.  
Then S $36^{\circ}E$  200 ns. to the N. Cor. of No. 41. Then N $10^{\circ}$   
1750 ns. to pt. (from wh. the S.E. Cor. of No. 36 hrs. N $85^{\circ}E$   
778 ns. Then South  $80^{\circ}E$  653 m. Then (N $89^{\circ}E$ ) 1371 ns. Then  
N $1^{\circ}W$  10 ns. to the S.E. Cor. of No. 31) to then continue  
North 3570 ns. in all. Then N $52\frac{1}{4}^{\circ}W$  2850 m. to N. Cor.  
of No. 37 (fr. wh. the E. Cor. of same hrs. S $75^{\circ}E$  1864 ns. and  
N $97$  m.) Then W. 296 ns. Then N $40^{\circ}W$  2800 ns. to  
pt. (fr. wh. the N.E. Cor. of No. 39 hrs. N $9\frac{1}{4}^{\circ}W$  1337 ns.)  
Then S $83\frac{3}{4}^{\circ}W$  1279 ns. to a point at the mouth of  
Pheasant Cr. near center of Sec. No. 39. Then continue  
with River meander given above, to the N.E. Cor. of No. 29.  
and S.E. of No. 20.

Lyph and Wagon Cr.

Beg. at the S.E. of No. 49<sup>ad</sup> the S.W. of No. 52, Then N 25°W 2870 m.  
 Then N 57°E 388 m. Then S 60°E 600 m. Then N 48½°E 600 m.  
 Then N 46°E 1200 m. Then N 15°E 530 m. Then S 89°W  
 164 m. to the N.E. Cor. of No. 30, Then N 89°E 1836 m. Then  
 S 1°E 3750 m. Then S 66¾°W 3054 m. Then N 2°E 300 m.  
 Then N 50½°W 40 to the Beg.

Beg. at the S.W. cor. of Gunters<sup>ad</sup> Munson No. 1, Then S 62°43' m.  
 to pt in No. 55, Then E. 600 m. Then S 87°E 109 m. to cor. on W.

Bluff bl. of Bull Run ("Toro Cañon"), Then N 54°E 3010 m. Then  
 N 67°E 500 m. Then E 1100 m. Then N 64°E 1200 m. Then  
 S 80°E 408 m. Then E 24 m. to the grindstone cor. S 11°W Cor. of No. 5.  
 Then N 50°W 8964 m. Then S 1892 m. Then E N.m. to Beg.  
 Beg. <sup>rom. 11°10' E or 10°15' E of old post places</sup> at groundstone cor. Then N 1344 m. to cor. Then N 45°E  
 1900 m. the N.E. of No. 120, Then W. 50 m. to S.W. cor. of the  
 Cobb Pre. Surv. Then N. 3985 m. Then E 2003 m. to the  
 N.E. cor. of No. 126. —

Beg. at the N. cor. of No. 23 in Pilgrim Cr. Then N.  
 1966 m. Then E. 2026 m. Then N. 32 m. to the orig. cor.  
 between 2 small cañons that make into Pilgrim, Then  
 N 1504 m. Then W. 549 m. Then N. 744 m. to orig.  
 md. on W Bluff bl. of Campbells Cr. Then N 3484 m. to pt  
 Then N 28½°W 2610 m. Then W. 2 m. bold orig. Stone md.  
 W Bluff bl. of Toro Cañon, Then W. 600 m. Then N 87°W  
 1091 m. to pt above referred to in Sec. No. 55. Then S 61°W  
 1235 m. to old <sup>orig.</sup> cor. on N. line of No. 58, Then W. 705 m.,  
 Then S 16 m. to the orig. N.W. cor. of No. 58 & 2.

Beg. at the N.W. cor. of Gunters<sup>ad</sup> Munson No. 1, Then  
 N. 3801 m. Then N 30°E 2310 m. Then East <sup>251</sup> m.  
 Then N 89°E 350 to the S.E. of No. 11 & S.W. of No. 12, —

Beg. at the S.E. cor. of No. 10 T.T. R.R. Co. Then S 1°E  
 1159 to the S.E. line of No. 129. Then "W." (S 89°W) 1411 m.  
 to pt. Then "S" (N 89°E) 21 m. Then "S" (S 1°E) 556 m. to the S.E.  
 Cor. of No. 130 as corrected. Then "W" (S 89°W) 2108½ m.  
 Then W ~~242~~ 242 m. Then S. 293 m. to the orig. S.E. cor. of No  
 2 said by <sup>in</sup> survey.

near line of Armstrong Cr. boundary

See sketch in  
Garrison S. 14488

Beg. at the S.W. Cor. of B.S. and F. no. 1 the n.w.  
 cor. of no. 2 same block and the n.e. of no. 4  
 A.B & m and the S.E. Cor. 120. block B 3  
 Then  $N 60^{\circ} E$  8700 m. Then  $S 60^{\circ} E$  8700 m.  
 Then E. 2032 m. to pt. (from which a cor. hrs.  
 $N 5\frac{1}{2}^{\circ} W$  3127 m. a cor for school Lg. hrs.  $S 6\frac{3}{4}^{\circ} W$  191 m.)  
 Then  $S 40^{\circ} E$  2940 m. (from which the n.w. cor.  
 of no. 5 hrs.  $N 35^{\circ}$  East 581 m. then west 700 m.  
 Cor.) Then  $S 35^{\circ} W$  2896 m. to the w. cor. of no. 4  
 and N. cor. of no. 3. Then  $W$  650 m. Then 8100 m.  
 Then  $S 15^{\circ} W$  3227 m. Then  $S 40^{\circ} E$  694 m. to pt  
 (from which the n.e. cor. of no. 27 hrs.  $N 25^{\circ} E$  138 m)  
 and 700 to pt. Then  $S 20^{\circ} E$  800 m. Then  $S 15^{\circ} E$  401 m.  
 Then  $S 29^{\circ} E$  2700 m. Then  $S 10^{\circ} W$  2100 m. Then  
 $S 80^{\circ} W$  800 m. Then  $S 35^{\circ} W$  5377 m. Then  
 $N 60^{\circ} W$  469 m. to the S.W. cor. of no. 3 on N  
 Line of no. 121 before mentioned —

Beg in again at the S.W. cor. of no. 1 B.S. & F. the  
 n.w. cor. of no. 2 same block, the n.e. of no. 4 and the  
 S.E. Cor. of no. 120 block B 3, Then  $S 8^{\circ} E$  374 m.  
 Then  $S 20^{\circ} W$  1889 m. Then  $S 26^{\circ} W$  2142 m. Then  
 $S 60^{\circ} W$  1792 m. Then  $W$  200 m. Then  $S 45^{\circ} W$   
 13906 m. Then  $S 80^{\circ} W$  2128 m. ~~Then S~~ to  
 point before mentioned. Note from line  
 no. 17 of this page, I can not vouch for the  
 chaining. I had all the chain men I could  
 get (Xmas time) and they were not the  
 best I ever had.

I, Mark E. Ragsdale, State Surveyor  
 do hereby certify that the foregoing report is true  
 and correct ~~as~~ the same is reported as I found  
 the lines corners etc upon the ground, this 1st  
 day of Aug. 1903.

Sketch file "B"  
Armstrong Co.

Field notes of connecting  
line and explanation  
by Mark E. Ragsdale,  
State Surveyor, resurveying  
unsurveyed School Land  
under Act July 23<sup>rd</sup> 1900  
in Armstrong & Broome Co.

Filed August 10/1903

J T Robison  
Chief Clerk, acting Compt.

See map filed with  
this and a part of  
this report - in rolled St. Cabinet

\$6.00 D

Fee for copy \$6.00  
Rasm