



Office of

RIGHT OF WAY AGENT.

Houston, Texas, Jan 9/95.

Subject

Hon. W.L. McGaughey,

Commissioner,

Austin, Texas.

Dear Sir,--

Enclosed you will find statement of connecting lines run by A.Ludwig, County Surveyor of Austin County to locate H. & T.C. surveys lying South East of the town tract as well as connection from the S.W. corner of the town tract to the N.W. corner of the Vital Flores league, which please file in connection with the work sent you some months ago by me.

Yours respectfully,

W. A. Wood

Statement of connecting lines
 run by A. Ludwig County Surveyor
 of Austin County to locate the N. & T. C.
 W. By lands lying S. E. of the San Felipe
 Town tract in Austin County.

I began my survey on the 25th of Nov
 ember 1894 at an old Tin Oak stump
 2 1/2 feet in diameter (formally marked
 "C" which I have seen) on the East bank
 of Allen's Creek and called for in the
 Stephen Richardson field notes. There
 is a tall Iron T rail set in the ground
 beside the stump and an old marked
 line runs N 54 E from it. Thence I
 ran S 30 ^{N 1/2} E at 56 vs. Creek 96 vs. to the
 old mouth of small prairie. Thence
 North 80 W 160 vs. crossing said plough
 several times. Thence N 75 W 00 vs. pt.
 Thence N 45 E 50 vs. to the West corner
 of S. Richardson's survey in the bed of
 said prairie.

N 173
 88-
 71-25
 W-1

Thence N 45 W 1260 vs. to an iron draw
 head in the prairie. Thence North 602
 vs. along old fence line of Miles
 Allen's to a rock set by Mr. Kinney
 about 25 years ago for the South
 corner of W. W. Allen's 1/4 league - fr. wh. a
 Sp. O. bed is S 70 1/2 W 14 vs. — Thence
 N 45 W 471 1/2 vs. (F) N call is 4700) to a rock
 set in O. S. Cannon's South fence line
 for the West Cor. of said Allen Survey.

y'

1 Thence S 45 W 397 vs. set a rock for South
2 Corner of No 162 at South corner of O. G.
3 Common fence —

4 Thence S 45 W 1947 vs. set a rock for S.
5 corner of No 200 at the South corner of
6 J. S. Dabney's fence — Thence S 45 W 7947 vs.
7 set cedar post for South corner of Survey
8 No 199.

9 Thence N 45 W at 1848 vs. cross Dabney's
10 fence 46 vs. W 45 E off its South Cor. — 2024
11 set a cedar post for the W Cor. of No 199
12 & South Cor. of 182. Thence N 45 W 1856 vs.
13 to a corner of No 205 on the S. E. line of the
14 Town Tract.

15 Thence S 45 W at 400 vs. cross East Bernard
16 river 1147 vs. to Cedar Post set by E. F. Batts
17 for South Cor. of the San Felipe town
18 Tract. Thence S 45 W 6154 vs.
19 to a cedar post 2 feet high set by Batts
20 for the W. corner of said Town Tract —

21 Thence N 45 E along the line of said
22 Town Tract finding it marked by
23 cedar posts as indicated upon the
24 sketch hereto attached, and marked "A"
25 at 3610 vs. Crooked Branch (S) at 3818 vs.
26 2nd mile post at 5243 vs. West bank of
27 a dry branch at 5727 vs. 3rd mile post
28 at 6033 vs. centre of E. Bernard, at 7633
29 vs. 4th mile post at 9544 vs. 5th mile
30 post, at 10975 vs. Iron Stk. and tall cedar
31 post Kinklers West corner, at 11453 vs.
32 6th mile post at 11754 M. N. & T. By Tract

at 13461 vs. H. C. & S. F. Vay track at 14917
vs. a rock, the South West corner of S. F.
Austin Lg at 19224 vs. enter timber at
19357 vs. pass a pin oak 30" diameter
with very old blazes and I think original
ones, at 19498 vs. Oak 30" dia with very
old blazes at 19877 vs. to a rock set on old
bank of river for the North corner of the
San Felipe town tract - The original blazes
are gone - The river at this point
has moved Eastward leaving a new bank
which is about 10 feet below the level of
the old one & 27 vs further W 45 E - The line
of the old bank is clearly defined however
by the line of the old trees and its elevation
above the new deposit of the river.

There is difference of 77 vs in my chaining
and that of Surveyor Battle's on this
line - an average of about $7\frac{1}{2}$ vs. to the
mile.

The Town Tract has been sold and
sub-divided by the Battle corners and
many improvements erected in accord-
ance with them and I therefore accept
his corners as the true corners of the said
town tract -

Beginning again at the South corner
of the Town Tract as set by Battle - I run
S 45 E 1856 vs and set the South corner
of No 205 - Thence S 45 E 448 vs and
set the North corner of No 209 -

1 Thence S 45° E 1709 vrs to the East cor. of
 2 No. 209. Thence S 45° E at 1759 vrs a point
 3 Thence S 45° E 209 vrs and N 45° E 493 vrs
 4 to the supposed original North corner of
 5 the J. Laesch Survey fr. wh. a lone O. C. 24"
 6 dia on the E. T. Bank of the Sandy
 7 Bernard river brs. N 86° E 245 vrs.
 8 Thence S 45° E. at 240 vrs. the bank of
 9 Sandy San Bernard (S.) which fits
 10 the call for said river in the field
 11 notes of J. Laesch —

12
 13 Beginning again at a cedar post
 14 set for E. corner of the Vital Flores League
 15 Thence S 45° W at 1580 vrs to a slough
 16 1855 vrs to present E. bank of the San Bernard
 17 River. 5100 to cedar post set for South
 18 corner of said league. Thence N 45° W
 19 5100 vrs. to a cedar post on North bank
 20 of Cochatta branch opposite the mouth
 21 of a small branch this being the
 22 West corner of said league. Thence down
 23 Cochatta branch with its meanders
 24 as follows: S 81½° E 970, North 88½° E 460,
 25 S 55° E 270, to its junction with the San
 26 Bernard River.

27
 28 I further certify that I have on previous
 29 occasions indentified the corner of
 30 the W. H. Strahan and Jane Boykins
 31 Survey and have run the connections
 32 from said surveys across Sections

1 Nos 231⁴ & 232, and the S. B. Evans Surveys
2 to the M. W. Allen South corner and
3 that the distances given in the
4 field notes of the several surveys
5 mentioned are practically correct—
6 Surveyed November 26th to Dec. 1st incl. 1894

7 A Ludwig

8 Co. Sur. Austin Co.

9 I A. Ludwig County Surveyor of
10 Austin County do hereby certify
11 that the foregoing survey was made
12 by me on the ground—that the dis-
13 tances given and the objects both
14 natural and artificial called for are
15 truly described in the foregoing
16 notes and that they are recorded
17 in my office in V. B. & I pages
18 449 to 452 this

19 18th day of December 1894

20 A Ludwig

21 C. S. & C.

File G. A.
"Austin Co."
Sketch files

Connecting lines run
by A. Ludwig Co. Sur.
Austin Co. to locate the
H. & T. C. Ry Co. surveys,
S. E. of San Felipe town
tract in Austin Co.

See Sk File 6B - Statement.

By G. M. Williams
Filed in G. A. July 10/95

G. M. Williams
Chief Clerk

Sk & Statement by A. Ludwig.

Sk. dated Dec. 15, 1894.

State dated Dec 18, 1894

Surveys 21 Mi. S. 20° E Bellville

Counter 13871

17