

Baylor Co. - 56 File # A-8

700

Punishment.

you will find the defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of not less than twenty-five nor more than one hundred dollars.

Hon G. M. Fisher { Seymour Texas
L. D. Connor. } 3/31/99
Austin Texas

Dear Sir,

Your plat of Blk. "A" B.B.B.M.R.R. Co. at hand. There are 2 or three things I hardly understand, and which I think are errors, and write for explanation. First the 5 lines of first 4 tiers of survey viz 1, 36, 37, 72 & 73 are marked #1943. Should this not be 1453.

2nd I see you give my Dist no 8 line no 10 and which is 1920 and my Jones Dist on W line no 82 also my Jones Dist on E line nos 7, 8 & 9. Now the Dist from NE cor no 5 to NE cor no 6 is 9592 vs or probably 9600.

Shall I divide the excess between the Dist no 10 to each or shall I give them 1900 to first 4, and all excess in last one (no 10). Let me state again that the knowledge of bearing tree once standing at about 1920 vis of no 10 shows that each of surrs no 6, 7, 8, & 9 are to be each have their part of excess, and I think you do intend it by having 1920 written on E line no 10. (everything is fenced thus, 1920, 1920, 1920, 1920 etc)

Account 4/5/99
An our account

Can make 1953
Jones says 1943, but should agree with N lines of 9, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7

It as you state bearing 7-10
under 1920 and it is 9592
fr. N.E. 5 to N.E. 10. then each
corner shows have about 1920 excess
but 'one shows' or there
is a bearing tree of 1920
at the corner of the
N.E. 5 to N.E. 10.

Mr. [Name] Please answer at once.

Now I hope you will kindly agree for me to argue the case with you on one other pt. and I will take your own plot as a criterion to base my argument on.

I see you draw a straight line S. from N.E. Cor 84 to N.E. 82 and continue South. but you draw a slanting line from NW no 54, to N.E. no 63, also one from NW 19 to N.E. 46 and from NW 18 to NW 10

Without aiming at any reflection let me suggest.

Divide dist from N.E. Cor 84 (identified) E to N.E. no 12 making each mile 1482 1/2 vs Divide the distance from the next identified corner farther South, i.e. N.E. Cor Sur no 118 into equal miles of 1902 vs each (except no 9). Then connect NW 18 + NW 12, and NW 12 + NW 10 and NW 10 to N.E. Cor 82. This will make all the surveys South of a line running west from no 10 have 640 acres, except those in conflict with other blocks. I assure you no argument now that that there will be no fight made if so done but if I make 82, 80, 78, 76, & 74 only 1700 vs wide War will certainly open. I Trust you will see the good in this change. I have not made known your decision so far and I await a reply to this letter first.

Since the filing of your Report Bedford & Kees connecting lines I find the gulch at N.E. 82 to be N.W. 9 1/2 (instead of 95-00 vs) from NW 10. See sketch sent you a day or two ago. Counter 14095.

Filed in General Land Office April 5 1899 Taylor Co. - BKA - BB Dec - W. R. Co. - W. Co.