

395

Seymour Tex.
6/9/93

How W. L. McGaughey,
Comr Genl L^d Office
Dear Sir.

I inclose herewith a sketch by which I have endeavored to show the position of some conflicting lines in Blk A. Made for B. B. B. & Co RR Co in this Co, which is causing a great deal of unnecessary trouble.

In addition to the sketch I ask your patience while I explain the nature causes etc of these lines.

First of all, there is no disagreement between surveyors as to east line up^{to} survey No 10. None as to NE Cor of Block, North line to NW corner of Sect No 54. All agree on NE cor 5. NE Cor No 10 and NE Cor No 18. At a pt five miles west of No 10

County 19238

at N.W. Cor of No 82 and at S.W. Cor No 83
The Field notes call for a stake in
gulch and bearings. Our measurement
of said five miles I came out in
on ^{very near} gulch, as called for in field notes
but that gulch does not agree
with Cottonwood tree two miles north
and one mile east. (very excessive)

~~was~~ All the work done previous
to Mr W.A. Jones last term of
office was done by Course and Distance
from N.E. corners of Nos 5 + ~~10~~ 10
and from the gulch at N.W. Cor No 82
The calling at S.W. Cor No 82 an erroneous
as to gulch exists at that point.

There are a solid body of farms
below north lines Nos 10, ~~26~~, 46, 63 etc
and ⁱⁿ position as shown by red lines.
Quite a number have been pat-
ented.

Mr W.A. Jones (who by the way
is a very good surveyor) only re
counter 19239

errs in judgment of the low) places the ~~best~~ lines of running North and South at points indicated by black dotted lines.

These lines are run from the tree which I really think is original.

But I write to know if that is necessary since there is a gulch 5 miles West of N E corner No 10.

The Cottonwood tree is not in the right place. Should we throw one corner out its proper place to agree with another in a wrong place? If the Cottonwood tree is to be regarded cannot lines running South be run at the variation as indicated by ~~d~~ dotted red lines (about 27 degrees)

The black lines below Nos 10, 27, 46, 63 etc do great damage to

my own sense of whom have

been located by ^{the} red lines for
10 or 12 years. The change
throws valuable improvements
on Patented Lands held by
non residents.

I wish to know further more
if there is any funds for the
payment of a state surveyor
If so will you appoint me
on the recommendation of
good citizens here, interested
in this work personally or otherwise
If no state funds exist, will
you appoint me if paid by private
parties. It seems to me the
state could pay a part at least,
because she is certainly interested.

Please reply as early as
possible.

Yours Respectfully

A. D. Kerr

File No. Sketch File 32

Boylor County
BBB & C. Ry Co

Filed _____ 19 _____

GARRY MAURO, Com'r

By (Douglas Howard)

Boylor County Rotted Sk. W. A. J.

Report by W. A. Jones on B/k. A. BBB & C. R.R. Co.

June 6, 1893