

Beville Texas Nov 17th 1874

To Comdr. G. L. O,

Austin Tex

Dear Sir enclosed with this letter are the field notes of two surveys made for Ross Morris agent of Stephen W. Westbrook and others -

The accompanying sketches will show you the true condition of the several surveys represented in said sketch -

Mr Dunlop a former surveyor of this Co made surveys Nos 10, 11, 12 and 13 for Bu Co school Land - all of which were objected to as being wholly or partly in conflict with other surveys. I began at the S.E. cor of the Geo O'Doherty survey, which is 1150 vs S 27 E from the N.E. cor of the McLawler survey. Thence N 27 W 5182 vs to the upper line as claimed by the owners of the Geo O'Doherty survey - they claim that the upper line is as it was originally and that they have acquired a part of the J. J. Malloy and O'Boyle surveys and was so decided in the district court (or I mean that the S.E. cor was established by Law) I then measured 8043 vs N 63 E to the creek. Then as the John Ryan corner could not be identified I established it at its call from the creek (6037 vs)

I then measured N27W 3307 vs -
and established a corner,

Thence N63E 7730 vs to the creek,

I then began at N.E. corner
of the Simon Dwyer Survey on the
bank of the creek, Thence S63W 3000
varas, for the S.W. cor of A. Currier
(though Currier calls for 2925 vs) but
I may have commenced nearer
the creek the original Survey was,

Thence S16E 3310 vs -

Thence N63E 6380 vs to the creek

Thence down the creek as follows -

S62°E 280 vs.

S85½E 1025 "

N62 E 58 "

S89 E 1000 "

S80 W 420 "

S27½E 496 "

N69 E 280 "

N82 E 400 " to the upper

cor of the John Ryan League
making the width of 2130 vs -
between the Ryan and Currier
Surveys. There are no objects
artificial or natural that can
be identified as corners or lines
of the Ryan or Currier Surveys,
So I think it proper and right
that Survey Nos 10, 11 and 12 made
for Bu Co should be recognized
but I will write you another letter
about this matter

I knew you would not recognize
this work without an explanation
So I thought it best to speak of this
matter now, I shall shortly
send you the corrected field
notes of several of the surveys
made for Bee County School Lands

A. B. in a former explanation
to you of relative positions of
the S. W. or most southern corner
of the S. Dwyer Survey and the
N. W. cor of Survey No 9 made for
Bee County was that a line running
from the N. W. cor of No 9 N 27 W 1053
rs strikes the Dwyer line 120 rs
from its corner, I now beg leave
to state that I have measured
the back line ^{of the Dwyer} with experienced
and careful chain carriers and
find that my former measurement
was 40 rs to short, consequently the
distance between the N. W. cor of No 9
and the line of the Dwyer is 1013 rs
instead of 1053, and I do now
hereby certify that the foregoing
statements are all correct

Most Respectfully

J. B. Atkins
Surveyor Bee Co

file 8

Bee County

Surveyors explanation

Nov. 17/74

Connections to Bee County

School Lands. S.W. Beerille.