



I W^m Armstrong do certify that the above Sketch is correct and made from an actual survey on the ground by myself. C Boles does not join Waugh but I find an old line and corner corresponding with Boles's East line and N-E corner which is 1428 varas above Waugh's upper line which I suppose to have been a third league run out at the time Waugh's survey was run which I think has caused the mistake given in der my hand at Camarón June 20th 1837

William Armstrong
 District Surveyor for
 Milam District

Milam District

South bank of Little river
near to & below the three forks -

Bell County

About 10 M. S 25 E.
of Belton.

Sketch File No. 10
Bell County
Dated June 20, 1857
No filing date.
On Little River
9 Mi. S. 30° E. Belton.

[Faint, illegible handwriting, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page.]

See 828
Milam
See Milam
Surveyor certificate

Counter 14382