

OFFICE OF
H. C. McCLUER
CIVIL ENGINEER

RECEIVED

SEP 21 1927

REFERRED TO MAP

BOGATA, TEXAS, 9/19 - 1927

Now J. P. Robison
Austin
Texas.

Dear Sir.

your of the 17th just at hand, and content,
read, in reply will state that at the N.E. cor sec 68, and
the N.W. corner of 64. there is not a tree, not a bush, just
an old abandoned field for 200 yrs either way. no
evidence can be found of a corner. at the N.E. corner of 64
is in a grassy glade, a few tree such bushes. as per the photo-
-graphic field notes Mr N. T. James had from the Land Office
it parts the N.E. corner of 64 + N.W. corner of the Boyce 2000
west of S.E. cor. 63. but there is no tree large enough to show a
mark, the country on south is very open but over land - any tree
large enough to make a R.R. Tie has been cut. I know I saw a
tree line south as all my points I set a flag & they showed a
tree line, I only passed one big Red Oak 3 feet to its E. side it had
3 old hooks on it. Mr James Sr. said I was on the tree line.
Mr Sellers line was a few yrs west of mine, so I ran south on the
line of 2800 yrs. all brush, no tree big enough to hold a mark.
in 1918 they may have been there, but a tree hooker cuts anything
large enough to make a R.R. Tie. At the N.W. corner of Sec 65
there is no tree, the corner is practically in the front yard of
a front yard of a house on Turner Survey of 1600 acs.
also the crossing of two wagon roads.

OFFICE OF

H. C. McCLUER

CIVIL ENGINEER

BOGATA, TEXAS, _____ 192__

The man who formerly owned Sec. 64, and his brother dug a well 10 feet west of the west line of The Turner 160 A tract, and were completing their home, his two little boys were within. The man who owned the Turner was a R.R. fire man, he wanted these men out of the country, so he got a gun and ordered them off at once. There was a gun fight, the 3 men were killed. The two boys now grown men told me many about it, others told me, I saw the hole when the well stood or was dug - they say their father dug the well 7 feet west of its west corner line of The Turner, and my corner of that 160.000 is due south. In a lot letter I mention an old citizen the son of Army who say I am right, that the E. B. line of Sec 68 is where the N & S Road now is. That it was then 30 years ago. but the line was moved west by some one but the road is still there. From Stob at the present S.E. Corner 68 west to the Stob at the S.W. Corner Sec 68 in the E. B. line of the The Granning is 984 rods. Sec 68 calls for 1175 rods on its S. B. line Etw. - so when is the rest of its boundary - west of 191 rods of Sec 68 - do you not think it to be east, or is Sec 68 short Etw and sec. 64 long Etw - you say I did not get any data on the Sub. discussion line of Sec 68, on the W. B. line Sec 64. I stated that the owner of the contested strip of 191 rods says we would stay out, that he had a shot gun and would use it. I did not go in.

3.

MAPS
TOPOGRAPHY
SURVEYS
ESTIMATES

LICENSED STATE LAND SURVEYOR
MUNICIPAL ENGINEER
CONSTRUCTION ENGINEER
GOOD ROADS ENGINEER

F³

OFFICE OF

H. C. McCLUER

CIVIL ENGINEER

BOGATA, TEXAS, _____ 192__

per the Photocopies McKames has, the N.W. Corner of the
 Boyce is 200 rods west of the S.E. Corner Sec. 63,
 a line due south 2800 rod. will fall 601 rods East of the
 N.E. Corner of N.E. Corner Sec. 65.
 So as you state that the S. line of the Turner is only 393 rods
 or to the plain, from Turner S.E. Cor. Boyce S.W. Cor.
 to N.E. Cor 65 & N.W. Corner 56 is only 393. rods,
 then if that is the case the S.E. Corner of Sec 63 is 208
 rods too far East, and if that is so, then the N.W. Corner
 of The Boyce must go 208 rods west to hit a point due
 south and 393 rods East of the N.E. Corner Sec 65. I did
 not locate these bearings, some one else did years ago.
 if I find them wrong on the ground, how will I correct
 them, your notes and facts on the ground do not seem to
 fit, if the W. B. line of the Boyce means west 208 rods
 then the Turner and Sec. 64 will mean west on the ground
 208 rods, or 191 as I show it, and Sec 68 will be only 984
 rods on its S. B. line instead of 1175 as now in its field notes -
 I told McKames that if I had to go back, and I
 believed I would, as I was in a strange section to me,
 for the first time, that if so, I wanted a working sketch

4

MAPS
TOPOGRAPHY
SURVEYS
ESTIMATES

LICENSED STATE LAND SURVEYOR
MUNICIPAL ENGINEER
CONSTRUCTION ENGINEER
GOOD ROADS ENGINEER

OFFICE OF
H. C. McCLUER
CIVIL ENGINEER

BOGATA, TEXAS, _____ 192__

of that section, so I ask you to prepare one for us.
As per the Bowie Co map sent to us from Austin it
shows a vacant strip between the Graham & Sec's
64 & 68. If so, then it is fenced up.
Mr. Kames has lived 25 years when he is on Sec 68,
during that time a series of 80 acre tracts were run N 80
& along the reputed E. B. line sec. 68, to the W. B. line of
the N 80 as now run, will cut off his farm, will you kindly
the young man who now owns the N 80 says he wants Mr.
Kames to get justice. He says the old N. W. corner of his 80 stood
nearly 200 yds east of his present W. B. line, and that he does
not understand it. I enclose a letter from Mr. Suelzer in
which he also says he believes Mr. Kames line goes East.
Please allow me the honor of saying I am trying to be fair,
you have the records of other Surveyors, Mr. Suelzer & I have the
facts on the ground, who is in error?
I am ready at all times to follow your instruction.

Respectfully,
H. C. McCluer.

OFFICE OF

H. C. McCLOSKEY

CIVIL ENGINEER

BOGALTA TEXAS

The undersigned hereby certifies that the above is a true and correct copy of the original as the same was filed in my office on the 21st day of September, 1927.

Sketch File No. 7 (A)

Bowie County.

H. C. McCLOSKEY Statement

Sur. in Sec. 40 - T. 8 P. Ry. Company

16 Mi. N. 60° W. - NW. Corner.

Filed September 21st, 1927.

The undersigned hereby certifies that the above is a true and correct copy of the original as the same was filed in my office on the 21st day of September, 1927.

H. C. McCLOSKEY

Survey returned County 14863