

OFFICE OF
H. C. McCLUER
CIVIL ENGINEER

BOGATA, TEXAS, 11-15 1927

Hon. J. T. Robinson,
Austin,
Texas.

Dear Sir,

yours of Oct. 28th and Plot at hand—

I again went to H. T. Homes on Sec 68 in Bowie Co. I have made additional surveys, I do not believe I have overlooked a possible measurement that would aid you in solving the puzzle— I began at a point 200 Va. W. of the S.E. Cor. of Sec. 63. M.E. P.F.P.Ry Co. and the N.W. Cor. of The Boyce and N.E. Cor. of Sec. 64. formerly located by M. V. Sims and J. H. Smelser. I ran west on mag. Va. N 85° 55' E. and at 1012 Va. an old stop, a Pine Stp. 36" diam nhd X said to have been set by M. V. Sims. Father when he subdivided Sec 64. I ran west and at 1627 Va. the east of 64 N.B. line, I fell 17 Va N and 20 Va. west of the N.E. corner of Sec 68 set by me in the former survey. I ran on 90 Va. set temporary stop for S.W. Cor Sec 63. I then went N. to N.W. Cor 63 set by J. H. Smelser, and ran South 1900 Va. and checked on the line from the east at 91 Va west of N.E. Cor Sec 68.

OFFICE OF

H. C. McCLUER

CIVIL ENGINEER

2

BOGATA, TEXAS, _____ 192_____

I continued on west 1570 va to a point 17 va. due north of the N.N.W. Cor see 68. The Area N. of 68 is only 17 acres wide N.T.S.

I then returned to the point 1012 va. west of N.E. Cor. of 64, and checked its sub-division. There is plenty of room for all of them. It was run out by Mr.

Siem, Senior. I found the stop at the S.W. Cor. of N.E. 1/4 see 64, said by the owner to be the

original S.W. Corner, I then ran out V. Welch's 809 (see Plot), and at the S.W. Cor. I was due south of the

N.W. Corner see 64, by engineer instrument work. I then ran on west 1444 vares to what M.V. Siem calls the E. B. line see. 68. - his error. I ran 90 va. N. to

S. B. line D. LOVING 80A. - I went to the S.W. Corner of said 80 to a stop. with him what is in the Patent.

The real East is as shown in Pat, but it is 58 vares short. The corner was in the center of an N.T.S. road. I was shown by the present owner V. Welch when the corner witness the stand. I ran from the call of said D. Loving 80. & checked

OFFICE OF
H. C. M^cCLUER
CIVIL ENGINEER

BOGATA, TEXAS, _____ 192__

on the stub, The fence line set by Sims is $32\frac{1}{2}$ va.
too far N. into Komers land. If the fence is set back
there will be no conflict.

The Reg. Corner of the D. Loring calls for 1600 vms
N. of the S.E. corner sec 68, as at by view - it is by
actual measurement N to S. = $1508\frac{1}{2}$ VA.

as per Sims Plot, he shows no vacancy between S.E. 80
and the one N of it, but there is a $67\frac{1}{2}$ va. N to S by $587\frac{1}{2}$
va E to W, but by chain it is 602, why - see Plot.
I again find that the S.E. corner sec 68 is 191 vms
East of where Sims set the post.

S.E. 80 calls for $587\frac{1}{2}$ va. E to W - it is 602 in Plot.
I went to S.W. Cor. D. Loring - ran N $23\frac{1}{2}$ va. N.E. Cor.
of 30 A, ran west on call of $394\frac{1}{3}$ va. by compass
chain and I find it 446 va. to the stub. No S.W. Cor,
No S.E. Cor, why - I rechecked the Graham, I ran
the W.B. line N 3876 va. I find the N.B. line as I
got it before to be correct, and the sec 68 showed quite
it - see Plots 1 & 2.

4

OFFICE OF
H. C. McCLUER
CIVIL ENGINEER

BOGATA, TEXAS, _____ 192__

I find no conflict between 64 and 68.
64 has plenty of room for its sub-division without
encroaching on 68.
See 68 can get its east end + N. end not conflict
with 64. From N.E. cor D. Survey E144 Va to be
line 64-68. South to Graham line 191 W. East
of where line or some one else has for the
sub-division of 68 is 191 Va.
If see 68 was properly corrected then would be
a success of 63 to each of vacant land see Plot # 2.
Mr. Jones will get Austin with affidavits and
other information if you desire it.
Very respectfully,
H.C. McCluer

