

Brazoria Texas
Aug 26th 1889

Hon R.M. Hall

Comdr. Genl Land Office

Sir: In further explanation of surveys
made & returned to your office in name of Day Land and
Cattle Company & heirs of Jas. L. Holmes I would sub

omit the following facts-

I began at NE corner of A Pollards Survey on Chocolate Bayou from which a Post Oak marked "C" "P" on E bank of bayou to S $63^{\circ}W$ 108 rods-

Thence S $27^{\circ}E$ 3274 rods to a point

Thence S $63^{\circ}W$ (at 930 rods a Post oak on East bank Chocolate marked "C" "P" very much like the bearing tree above & in line with other dimly marked trees) 3162 rods to W fork Chocolate. in all 3170 rods to point-

Thence S $27^{\circ}E$ 257 rods to point

Thence S $63^{\circ}E$ - 185 rods to a large Post oak marked "C" "P" five rods aft. Another marked "C" "P" side line for a line just Post oak grant - Could find no marks for line S $63^{\circ}E$ of this on East fork - from which it appears the South line of Pollard & North line of Peake are not one & the same - but are 257 rods apart

The E Mathew Survey, at the NE corner of which C Prescott Survey begins, calls to begin 382 rods S $63^{\circ}W$ of NE cor of S. Logan and runs S $63^{\circ}W$ 5000 - N $27^{\circ}W$ 5000 S $63^{\circ}E$ - 5000 to Antwerp Cor and S $27^{\circ}E$ 5000 rods to begin - C Prescott & O. Hall call to have been run "at the magnet" as also the two Little & Spraggins & Curran surveys all by O. Howley.

It appears from all my work that the old grants on Brazos river made by A Crissman were run at a greater variation - than those on the bayous - run by S Ingraham although called to be run ^{had} at the same variation - This fact will make the connections made by W. Powers from river corner of S. F. Austins grant to E line of same & the W line of N. S. & B. R. Co No 29 agree with mine from said section North & West to Bingham
X Counter 15032