--- 1070 D-1312 Dog Canyon Brs. N73 \$30 Wind All Brs N17 \$ 19 Sketch showing Surveys 591 and 592 G.C. &.S.F. Ry.Co. grantee Brewster County Texas 86 Red lines indicating John Stovell County Surveyor. C.F.N. & Black lines indicating C.F.N. by HR Gard Licensed State Land Surveyor Surveyed January 9th 12th, 1942, H.R. Gard 0. Var. 12=05'E Licensed State Land Surveyor 15 1 8 6 0. 22 8 6 0. 31 29 BIK. 239 9 T.& St. L. Ry.Co. 8 d. Mkd. N.W. 591. Whence Santiago 1 32°30'W. Tree stamp 10" Diam. Br. N. 30°30'E: 26 Vrs. K. Brs 0 V.82.03'W.2408.3 Vra 154.0 Vrs. 2035.0 Vrs. 30 .J. 5.30º33'E +66.0 Vrs. 5 40 = 45 E 5 8 5. 6 V \$ 32 45 E 2242.0 Vrs. N. 89249'W 16 2.0. Krs: 7 9208'W 8 9 1900. mell PK 1at top Mt. Br V.34 = 15' W. 340 9 0 68 BIK. 20 0 BLK. G.H.& S.A. Ry. Co. 141 Pommel brs. S10-2 1900. ST7-48E 6 5 V. M. The Counter 15813 B

CIVIL ENGINEER

6 84 M

TELEPHONE 369 P. O. BOX 966

v 9 × 4

STATE LICENSED

9472

B

## H. R. GARD

ALPINE. TEXAS

January 19,1942.

JAN 20 1942

REFERRED TO MAR

and have be

a + i +

Hon. Bascom Giles, Commissioner General Land Office Austin, Texas.

Dear Mr. Giles:

I am herewith inclosing a tracing sketch of Sections 591 and 592,G.C.& S.F.Ry.Co.(Dan Murphy) in Brewster County, which Mr. O.C.Bertrand of Marathon, Texas has requested me to send to you for your examination and suggestions as to what proceedure would be best under the circumstances.

The N.W.corner of Survey 591 is a large rock mound in good condition, one rock marked "N.W. 591". It has all the appearance of an old mound, the marking also looks old, whence Santiago Peak bears N.32 deg. 30' W. and a cluster of 2 trees and a stump(which are about 7"X10" in diameter) fit the bearing N.30 deg. E. 26 varas. It looks like the original tree had been cut down and the new sprouts or shoots came up from the old stump.

We ran from this corner S.30 deg.W. as per Thompsons C.F.N. 1900 vrs but could not find any definite stone mound here. However, there is a possibility that a spring did at one time exist at a point about 500 varas N.45 deg.E.from this point, as there is a small seep there at present. We went on S.30 deg W. 256 varas built a rock mound for the S.W.corner of Survey 31, Block 239 T & St. L.Ry.Co. on S.30' W. 807 varas, 2963 varas in all, and built a rock mound.

Thence West at 95 varas built a rock mound, the N.E? corner of Survey 16, Block 340.

Thence South 1278 varas built a rock mound the S.E. corner of Survey 16 in the North line of Survey 14, Block 340.

Thence East 682.1 varas to a point in the west line of Survey 7, Block 20 G.H.& S.A.Ry.Co.

Thence North along the west line of said Survey 7 1181.1 varas to a rock mound the N.W.corner of Survey 7,Block 20 G.H.& S.A.Ry. Co.

Thence East 1798 varas built a rock mound in the north line of said Section 7

Thence N. 30 deg. E. 2663.3 varas to a rock mound

Thence N. 60 deg. W. 712 varas to the place of beginning.

Counter 15814

TELEPHONE 369 P. O. BOX 966

. . . .

. . . .

## H. R. GARD

## ALPINE, TEXAS

We ran around Survey 592, putting in rock mounds as indicated by two circles. The solid center mounds were on the ground as shown on the sketch.

F under stand that there has been a lot of controversy on these lines in the past. The south  $\frac{3}{4}$  of this land is very rough and rocky. There is a stone mound on a large rock that most likely was intended for the S.E. corner of Section 592 and the S.W.corner of Survey 30 which is 60.6 varas S. 84 deg. 43'E. from the mound we built for this corner on the present fence line.

We also tied the N.E. corner of Survey 592 to the N.E.corner of Survey 8, Block 20 G.H.& S.A.Ry.Co. with a traverse line, as shown on the sketch and N. 89 degrees 49' W. 2242 varas to the S.E.corner of Survey 592, also tied the N.E.corner of Section 10, Block 239 T & St.L.Ry. Co. to the N.E.corner of said Survey 8, Block 20 as shown on plat.

I ran the lines by Course and Distance from the original N.W. corner of said Survey 591 (according to its markings and bearings) put in rock mounds at points called for in Thompson's C.F.N., excepting where it conflicted with Section 7, Block 14 G.H.& S.A.Ry.Co. It appears to me that I have place Surveys 591 and 592 on the ground in their proper position.

Any suggestions will be greatly appreciated as Mr. Bertrand and Mr. Morriss want to get the question of lines between them settled so they can complete their fencing.

.

Respectfully submitted

H.R. Gard

H.R.Gard Licensed State Land Surveyor

HRG/ln

Counter 15815

B2

Sketch File No. 5/8 Brewster county (South) Sketch & Statement by H.R. Gard of Payrts of T. + ST.L. Ry. Co. Olk 239+ 6. H. S.A. EVE20 Filed January 2 6 19 42 Bascom Giles Com A.U. von Roceberg See Brewster Co: Polled Sketch # 65 for accepted Construction of: This area Xilv R. GAR BAXET . BWISL N. O. S. H W,