Robert Lee, Texas. April 26, 1927.

Mr. L.A.Casey, 508 Burkburnett Bldg., Ft. Worth, Texas. Rud Apl 28, 1927

Dear Casey,-

Herewith my report on the survey for vacancies in Coke County, Texas.

My conclusions are that there are no vacancies in either the territory south of the Rubio Survey, or south of the Harris pasture and north of the D & S.E. Block Z.

My reasons are set forth below:

Rubio Territory

Priority of Surveys		5/ 20/50		
	Casemero Rubio G C & S F # 2 - 2/281 H B Martin H E & W T # 1 - 585 B B B & C R R # 202	4/ 3 74 4/ 8/79 4/ 8/79 4/10/79 5/25/80 5/25/80	GC&SF	#1-2/2796/3/79
	Waco Mfg Co C Beason # 30 Cuadrilla Irrig Co # 2 Mrs Engledow # 12	5/25/80		

I find that the Casemero tie to the Johanna Baldus is 3117 vrs from the river as called for.

I find that the 5099 vrs call for the Rubio east side is overlapped by the sum of the calls of $685\frac{1}{2}$ and $340\frac{1}{8}$ vrs north on the east side of the Engledow Survey # 12 starting from the Engledow southeast corner, having two definite mountain peaks as starting points; the overlap of the # 12 over the Rubio is 35 vrs.

I find that the distance called for from the southeast corner of the H E & W T # 1 passing G C & S F # 2 southwest corner a total of 8224 vrs is greater than the measurement of 8216 vrs to the north line of the Rubio Survey The southwest corner of the G C & S F # 2 Survey is a definit point referenced in by Nippli Peak. An overlap of 8 vrs.

Chaining north from the southwest corner of the G C & S F # 1-2/279 to the southwest corner of the Waco Mfg Co and north accross Survey # 20% khat I find that the distance is 21 vrs short of the total distance called for as 3394 vrs indicating a vacancy of 21 vrs. However the points for the corners are not to be found with certainty for lack of definite reference. Therefor I decide that the 21 vrs is not worth fighting over.

North of Block Z D & S E R R

Counter 18615

Territory north of Block Z, D & S E R R

8 Priority of Surveys H & O B R H Harris

> Peter Walth Old Survey Date ? D & S E R R Blk Z # 49 8/28/79

50 55 56 58

Statement H M Henderson 2/27/84

Vacancy shown on map between the A Willis and Survey # 8 to the south, if there, is excess land covered by the ties of No 8 to the corners of the A Willis as called for in the original patent field notes; hence can not be held as vacant land.

Apparent vacancy north of Sec 45 and 46 D & S E R R Bk Z can not be held as vacancy because Survey Robert Davidson # 45% patent field notes call for and tie to Section No 46; hence no vacancy can be held.

Apparent vacancy between D & S E R R Bk Z # 46,47 & 48 and R H Harris # 8 and19, also H & O B # 9 can not be held because of the fact that the D & S E R R Block is one system and because the H M Henderson field notes for the block and his statement of 2/27/84 tie the system to the southwest corner of H & O B # 9.

The apparent vacancy between # 6, # 7, E L & R R R CO, and # 8 R.H. Harris on the north and D & S E R R Bk Z # 51, 52,53,54, on the south does not exist because

I find definite stone mounds and reference at N E Corner # 10 R H Harris, at S E corner # 10 R H Harris and S W corner of # 9 H & 0 B as called for in the original notes and H M Henderson statement of 2/27/84; distance from S W corner of # 9 H & O B to S W corner of # 51 D & S E R R Bk Z chains out as called for in original notes; distances as called for for S W corner of # 55 with reference to pecan grove are correct thus establishing northeast corner of # 50 which ties to S W corner of # 49 by field notes; if any excess, it is taken up in the sections south of # 51 and north of the south line of the block Z, and can not be held as vacancy.

Because of the above findings and reasoning I report that there is no vacancy that you can claim.

Respectfully submitted

Copy to L. a. Cary " L. Offices

A.C. Kellersberger Licensed State Land Surveyor

3104 Grandview, Austin, Texas.

21

Counter 18616

Coke Caunty Ske Files Kellishergers Report Fried April 28, 1927, Carl F. Blucker