

2535	3060	4087
4391	287	3800
692.6	3347	287
3347	1707	3000
3579	751	1773
	1858	5573
	3715	3579
	157	1994
	1707	
	5573	



Coleman County  
North of Camp Colorado

The distance between the E. line of No. 728 & the W. line of No. 167 is given too long by 622 vs. which will cause a conflict between No. 79 & No. 258. To wit:

No. 76 - 1900 vs	The distance from the
" 78 - 1900 "	S.E. cor. No. 728 to the
" 79 - 1777 "	N.W. cor. of No. 266 is
" 258 - 1187 "	1700 vs.
" 257 - 712 "	No. 266 - 3904 "
" 255 - 1425 "	" 259 - 4100 "
" 256 - 1425 "	9704
10326 vs.	

Difference 622 vs.  
Thiel paper

1844  
1350  
2694

The surveyor gives the distance from S.W. cor. of 82 to N.W. cor. of 13, 232 vs. The P.P. surveys Nos. 13 & 22 are square surveys of 1900 vs. each and according to above connection the distance will only be 169 vs.  
Thiel paper

???

The S.E. corner of No. 173  
S.W. corner of No. 168  
N.E. corner of No. 256 &  
N.W. corner of No. 167  
are all identical having the same bearings according to their field notes consequently are bound to intersect each other and the representation thereof in this sketch is erroneous.

October 22/73 Thiel paper



Sept 8<sup>th</sup> 1873

I Lt Sucketo Deputy Surveyor  
for Travis District do hereby certify  
that the distance from the S W cor  
to the S E cor of Sur No 167 is 2365<sup>rs</sup>

From the S W cor of Sur No 166  
South 845<sup>rs</sup> to the North line of No 13  
made for H J & B R R Co and thence  
East 2360<sup>rs</sup> to the N E cor of No 22  
made for said R R Co.

From the inward S E cor of No 83  
on the North line of No 13 made for  
said R R Co is West 232<sup>rs</sup> to the  
N W cor of said No 13.

From the N E cor of No 261  
made for Conway is East 1315<sup>rs</sup>  
to the West line of said R R Co  
Survey No 12, and North 1357<sup>rs</sup> to  
the N W cor of said No 12 that the above  
courses and distances called for were  
run and measured by me on the  
ground and that the courses and  
lines called for above were found  
and identified by this suspicious  
full notes and that the Plat on  
other page is made a part of this

Report

Lt Sucketo  
W T Dist

Examined and approved this 20<sup>th</sup> Sept 1873  
Geo E Campbell  
W T Dist

File 28.

Coleman Co.

Surveyors Sketch No 28.

Filed Sept. 20<sup>th</sup> 1873.

off. Sucketo

Courtesy of the  
Travis County  
Clerk's Office