

State of Texas,
County of Coleman

I know all men by these presents that S. W. T. Knox, County Surveyor of said County, in order to ascertain the space existing between the River Surveys and the Coleman County School Land Sur. No. 90, as located by its patented Field Notes, do hereby certify that on the 7th, 8th, 9th, 10th & 11th days of November 1893, I made the following runnings to wit: - Beginning at a st. md. on a high bluff on N. bank of the Colorado River, whence a mesquite (now dead and nearly down) show as marks and suits ^{for} the bearing tree. This is claimed to be the S.E. cor. of the John D. Claiborne Sur. No. 358. & S.W. cor. of Simon Garcia No. 359.

The same as pronounced by W^m Bramlette in the presence of a No. of men to be the undisputable S.E. cor. of said Claiborne Sur. Taking this as the best established cor. of the River Surveys near the Coleman Co. Sch. Land, I made this my beginning point. Thence N. measuring carefully ^(chain & run in 2 rods) 5668 vs. a st. md. & iron pipe made for N.W. cor. of Simon Garcia Sur No. 359. (Vait 9° E) Thence E. at 1425 vs. (with 15 vs. thrown in) make st md for S.E. cor. of said No. 359, continue E. 1425 vs. ^{further} (with 15 thrown in) to E. line of Sur No. 12.

Waco Mfg. Co. (here mark the point)

From here I go to the N.W. cor. of the Saml J. Bell Sur No. 286. & N.E. cor. of Coleman Co. Sch Land No. 90., wh. a L.C. br. S. 36. W. 169 1/2 vs. Thence I measure W. 119 vs. to a st. md. Thence

I run S. 2448 vs. to a point E. 5 vs. of a st. md. made for Ell cor of Coleman Co. Sch. Bd. No. 90 and S. E. cor of No. 91. - - - Thence E. at 331 vs. an old st. md. and N. 238 vs. from where Ex. Co. Surveyor J. T. Evans makes this cor. (He runs this line E. 569 vs. when the call is 331 vs.) Thence S. at 1360 vs. cross line extended E. from N. W. cor. of Simon Garcia and N. 197 vs. of Complement of M. H. Torc. No. 360, and N. 232 1/2 vs. from N. line of Coleman Co. Sch. Bd. No. 90, as run by J. T. Evans, Ex. Co. Surveyor. Continue S. 2088 vs. (with 10 vs. thrown in) to a point in L. O. Harrison Pre. Sur. Thence E. at 228 1/2 vs. to N. line of No. 90, as located by J. T. Evans, and N. 15 vs. from where M^r Elrath places S. W. cor. of said No. 90 and N. W. cor. of The D. B. F. Knight Pre. Thence S. 674 vs. pass E. 114 vs. of a st. md. claimed to be an Ell cor of the L. O. Harrison Pre. and the N. W. cor of the C. Montes Sur. No. 361, at 72.5 vs. a point E. 28 vs. of a st. md. made for the N. W. cor. of said No. 361 by J. A. M^r Elrath, Ex. Co. Surveyor. + the S. W. cor of said Knight's Pre. + S. 51 vs. + E. 91 vs. from the st. md. made for the S. W. cor of said L. O. Harrison Pre. (Here I offset ²⁸ vs. to said st. md.) Thence S. at 4620 vs. cross branch, 4680 vs. N. bank of Bull Creek, 4690 vs. S. bank of same, cross creek again, at 5380 vs. cross creek 3rd time, at 5780 cross slough, 5880 vs. a point N. 5 vs. of an old cor with two Hackberry mkd x on N. bank of River.

Next I began at the N. E. cor of the Garcia

Sur. No 359. Thence S. 703 rs. (with 7 thrown in)
 Thence E. at 1237 rs. cross line run S. from
 Ell cor of No. 90. 1425 complement for E. line
 of No. 360. Thence S. 760 rs. to a point W $1\frac{1}{2}$ rs.
 from st. md. made by M^c Elrath for N. W. cor
 No. 361 & S. W. cor. of said Knight Pre.
 Thence E. at 1164 rs. cross E. line of Blk No
 5. Coleman Co. Sch L^d No. 90, at 1336 rs. Grass S. 460 rs.
 of st md made by J. T. Evans for N. E. cor No 361.
 1427 rs to a st md 5 rs S. and made by M^c Elrath
 for N. E. cor of No. 361. - - - Thence S. at 637 rs.
 pass st md. made for N. W. cor of Carl Batz' Pre
 at 1317 rs. to a point 3 rs. W of st md made by
 M^c Elrath for S. W. cor of said Batz Pre. and
 N. W. cor of No. 362. - and North 90 rs. & E 93 rs.
 of an old st. md. made by Evans for N. W.
 cor No. 362 & Ell cor of No. 90 (In L. O. m^d
 for bearing trees) - - - Thence E. at 1336 rs.
 cross St. line of Blk No. 8. Coleman Co. Sch L^d No. 90.
 1425 rs. (with 15 thrown in) a point N. $84\frac{1}{2}$ rs. &
 E 101 rs. of st md. made by Evans for N. E. cor
 No 362. - - - Thence S. at $84\frac{1}{2}$ rs. pass E.
 101 rs. of st. md. Thence S. at 545 rs. pass N.
 86. rs. of st md. made for N. W. cor of Horseman's
 Pre. continue S. 704 rs. further to a point E. 110 rs.
 of an old st md. made by Evans for N. W. cor
 No 363 & Ell cor No 90. - - - Thence E. at
 86. rs. pass point for S. W. cor of said Horseman's
 Pre. at 1322 rs. pass N. 8 rs. of an old st md
 supposed to have been made for N. E. cor of
 No 363. at 1425 rs. (with 15 thrown in) a point
 N. 5 rs of st md made by M^c Elrath for

S.E. cor of said Horseman's Pre + N.E. cor of No. 363) - E. 123. rs. of st. md. made by Evans for said N.E. cor No. 363. - - - Thence S. 153 rs. to a point E. 125 rs. of a st. md. made for N.W. cor. No. 364. - - - Thence E. at 394 rs. pass S.W. cor of Blk No. Coleman Co. Sch. 25 No. 90. at 1105. rs. stone set for cor between Blk Nos. 14 + 17. made by Evans in the subdivision of said No. 90, at 1302 rs. pass st. md. made by Evans for N.E. cor of No. 364., 1425 rs. (with 15 thrown in) st. md. made by M^cElraith for N.E. cor of No. 364. Thence S. at 634 rs. a point E. 137 rs. of st. md. made by Evans for N.W. cor. No. 365. - - - Thence E. at 1283 rs. pass st. 5 rs. of st. md. made for N.E. cor No. 365., 1425 rs. (with 15 thrown in) to a point for N.E. cor of No. 365. - - - Thence N. $344\frac{1}{2}$ rs. to a point E. 157 rs. from st. md. made by Evans for N.W. cor. No. 366. + S. 285 rs. from Ell cor Blackwell Pre + No. 90. Thence E. with N. line of No. 366. (at 816 rs. pass stake set by N. B. Davidson for N.E. cor of No. 366. as located by beginning at a point 530 rs. W. of the S.E. cor of No. 358 where it began. Davidson don't recognize this cor as there is 530 rs. excess going E. to get to this cor.) at 1275 rs. pass st. md. made by Evans for N.E. cor No. 366., 1425 rs. (with 15 thrown in) st. md. made for N.E. cor No. 366. by M^cElraith Thence S. at 524 rs. pass st. md. made for N.W. cor of Goad's Pre + Ell cor of No. 90. at 1135 rs. st. md. S.W. cor of same + E. 170 rs. from st. md. made by Evans for N.W. cor No. 367. Thence E. at 1425 rs. (with 15 thrown in) + E. 187 rs.

of Evans' st md for N.E. cor No. 367.

Thence S. at 8¹/₄ vs. pass St. 10 vs. of st md made by M^r Elrath, 1260 vs. to a point E. 187²/₁₀ of Evans' E. line of No. 367. Thence E. at 1153 vs. (with 15 thrown in) to the N. line of No. 369.

(as located by me & also M^r Elrath from its S.E. cor. which has two L.O. bearings both standing and all right. This is the first cor that I have ever been able to find down the river from No. 358 that in every way suits the description as given in the F.S.

The S.E. cor. of L. J. Pease No. 369 is indisputable.) Thence N. 123 vs. to N.W. Cor. of said Pease Sur. No. 369, as located by me from the its S.E. cor.

This shows the space in going E. from S.E. cor of No. 358, to N. line of Pease No. 369 to be short 257 vs. (giving 15 vs to each Sur. except No. 361 which was only 2 vs. M^r Elrath also makes it short; about 20 vs. shorter than I do. J. T. Evans placed the N.E. cor of No. 368, 105 vs. East of the N. line as located from S.E. cor of No. 369. In your letter to T. J. White dated Nov. 9th you say the river surveys are excessive in width which is not the case on the ground.

The inclosed sketch shows that the East line of Coleman Co. Sch. Rd. Sur. No. 90, by commencing E. 331 vs. from the S.E. cor of Coleman Co. Sch. Rd. Sur. No. 91, instead of 569 vs. E. from it, as Evans did, and platting S. line by course & distance, will cross

The W. line of the L. J. Pease Sur. 2570s. before
reaching the complement for the width of No 368.
Witness my hand this 2nd day of Nov. 1893.

W. T. Knox,
County Surveyor of
Coleman County, Texas.

I, W. T. Knox, County Surveyor in and
for said County, do, hereby Certify that
this certificate is recorded in my office
in Book A1 pages 279, 280, 281, 282+283

W. T. Knox, Co. Sur.
Coleman Co. Texas

✓ File: 44.

Coleman Co.

Connecting line filed
in the General Land Office
Novemb. 27/93.

A. J. [Signature]
Chief Clerk.

