

2635

P. O. BOX NO. 574
TELEPHONES 2441 & 1413-W

C. H. NEUHARDT, ARCHITECT

COOL & STILLEY

CONSULTING ENGINEERS · LICENSED LAND SURVEYORS

217 NORTH COLORADO STREET

MIDLAND, TEXAS

June 2, 1949

CLIFFORD C. COOL
J. C. STILLEY

351

RECEIVED

OCT 6 1949

GENERAL LAND OFFICE

H on. B ascom Giles
Commissioner
General Land Office
Austin, Texas

Dear Sir:

Attached hereto is a plat showing the results of my survey of the following listed surveys located in Concho County, Texas: Survey 249 through Survey 259 inclusive, H. F. Kramer Survey 287, S. R. Goodrum Survey #3, James P. Goodall 320 Acre Survey, and the Heinrich Schumacher Survey #310.

The bearings and distances of the lines are shown in both vertical and slant lettering. Vertical lettering denoting calls as cited in the original patents and field notes; slant lettering denoting the bearings and distances of the lines as relocated by me on the ground.

Included herewith are corrected field notes of the following surveys:

- H. F. Kramer No. 287
- S. R. Goodrum No. 3
- Heinrich Schumacher No. 310

Before initiating any field work in this area, I made a study of the patents and field notes of the surveys included in the area. These descriptions indicated some discrepancies between the tier of river surveys and those surveys lying adjacent to them on the south.

In my field work, I found no identifiable original corners on the ground. I, therefore, began my work at the recognized northwest corner of Survey 259 on the Concho River. This corner was originally witnessed by a pecan tree (said pecan having been washed away in the flood waters of 1936), the location of which is remembered by several of the local residents and which point was indicated to me by them on the ground individually; all agreeing upon approximately the same location. Further, the abrupt bend in the river at this point and course further upstream to the southwest conforms very closely to the configuration of the river as indicated by plotting the patent and field notes as originally surveyed. Also, there is at present a fence standing along the recognized west line of Survey 259 which is considered to have been placed on the original west line many years ago. This west line, if projected to the south, would lie along existing fences and roads also recognized as lines of surveys lying adjacent to them. I, therefore, feel that this point is very close to the original northwest corner of Survey 259.

From the northwest corner of Survey 259, I ran a line down the meanders of the Concho River to the east line of Survey 249, searching for the corners of all intermediate surveys along the river. I was unable to find any of these corners.

pe

Counter 19190

-2-

I then continued my traverse down the fenced east line of Survey 249, south to the south east corner of the Anton Schmidz Survey 312, continued west and north around the Anton Schmidz Surveys 312 and 311, west and north around the Edward Kramer Survey 308 and the Wilhelm Kramer Survey 309 and west and north around the H. F. Kramer Survey 287 continuing north along the west line of Survey 259 to the beginning point.

Intermediate lines were then run around all the surveys included in the above described traverse finding no identifiable original corners at any point.

To further verify the relocation of the recognized north west corner of Survey 259 and the recognized and occupied east line of Survey 249, I plotted the position of the Concho River at it now exists on the ground and superimposed the plotted field notes of the original surveyor showing the meanders of the river as he originally ran them upon said plotted position of the river as it now exists. I found that the river as it now lies conforms to the original field notes in its meanders to the south and west of my relocated position of the north west corner of Survey 259 and likewise the river agrees in its configuration with the original field notes to the south and east along its meanders from the relocated position of the north east corner of Survey 249. This further strengthens the positions of both these corners as the unique configuration of the river allows no other placement of these corners in such a manner as to agree with the original work.

By the same method of superimposing the plotted original field notes upon the present meanders of the Concho River, I find that to hold to the above mentioned corners as relocated it will be necessary to increase the width of the surveys east and west between the northeast corner of Survey 249 and the northwest corner of Survey 259 which therefore, indicates that excess area exists between the west line of Survey 259 and the east line of survey 249

By calculation of the actual chained distance between the west line of Survey 259 and the east line of Survey 249, I find this distance exceeds the distance called for in the original field notes by 660 varas. (ie., called distance- 5225 varas; actual distance - 5885 varas, therefore difference = 660 varas.)

The H. F. Kramer Survey 287, the James P. Goodall 320 acre Survey, the S. R. Goodrum Survey 3, and the Heinrick Schumacher Survey 310 lying south of the above mentioned tier of river Surveys 249 through 259 are located in their proper relation to the surveys adjacent to them on the west, south and east in this manner:

The west line of the H. F. Kramer is a continuation of the west line of Survey 259 projected southward. This west line of Survey 259 has been previously described as to the manner of its relocation.

pe'

Counter 19191

MIDLAND, TEXAS

-3-

The James P. Goodall Survey, the S. R. Goodrum and the Heinrich Schumacher while having been laid in by Hudson, Guion and Navarro at different dates call to adjoin one another along a common projection for their south lines. Therefore, I have located them in their proper relation and verified this relation by building back from surveys to the east, south, and west where creek calls may be used to check the relative positions of these surveys. Particularly I refer to the passing calls for creeks on the north and south line of the Cora Hartgrove Survey #2. Calls for crossing creeks or branches in Surveys 130, 129, 135, 136, 329 and 305. Further Jackson in his sketch of the M. Sansom lands with accompanying letter dated July 12, 1932, mentions the Menard-Paint Rock public road as being the line between NE corner of Survey 286 and the SW corner of 295 as accepted for some 35 years. The location of this road also serves to verify the relative position of the above mentioned surveys. Thus properly locating the above mentioned surveys in regards to adjacent surveys on all sides other than on the north side lying next to the river surveys.

I have prorated the excess distance between the east line of Survey 249 and the west line of Survey 259 among all the surveys lying between these lines, giving the intermediate surveys each an east-west distance of 535 varas as compared with their original calls for 475 varas. Also in locating the south lines of these surveys, I have given each survey its original called distance along its east line from the south high bank of the Concho River to its relocated south east corner, continued west 535 varas to its south west corner closing north to the river and down its meanders to the north east or beginning corner of each survey.

The surveys for which deed of acquittance are requested: H. F. Kramer 287, James P. Goodall #3, and the Heinrich Schumacher 310 can be relocated along their west, south, and east boundaries as above explained, they fail to adjoin the tier of river surveys on their north as called for in their original field notes. Therefore, to conform to my relocated positions of the river surveys, I have honored the calls the three surveys for which deeds of acquittance are requested, in which they originally called to adjoin the river surveys along their north boundaries and have relocated them on the ground and written the attached corrected field notes covering their adjointer with the river surveys as relocated, thus tying the surveys together compactly on all sides.

Respectfully Submitted,

Clifford C. Cool
Clifford C. Cool

Encl.
CCC/lc

RECEIVED

OCT 6 1949

GENERAL LAND OFFICE

he²

Counter 19192

SKETCH FILE

File No. 39

Concho County

Sketch File

Filed Oct 19 1949

Bascom Giles Com'r

V.E.S.
File Clerk

Report of a re-survey
of river surveys 249
thru 259 and
adjoining surveys.
Approx. 3 mi. N. 80° E.
From Paint Rock
By Clifford C. Cool
May 4, 1949
(see rolled sk #3)

sk
3

Counter 19193

RECEIVED

RECEIVED

OCT 2 1949

RECEIVED

RECEIVED

RECEIVED