

The State of Texas, J. G. H. Ragsdale, County
 County of Cook, Surveyor for Cook County
 do hereby Certify that the surveys shown on
 this Plat with the blue margin, are from
 actual survey and measurement upon the ground
 and I also Certify that the red lines
 shown on this plat are lines that I run
 and measured in getting up a connection
 between the survey on the Prairie and
 the survey on Fish Creek, I further Certify
 that I have corrected the Cromwell and
 Hawley survey by actual survey, and that
 the true position of it and the B B B & C
 R R Co survey, adjoining, are shown
 upon this sketch. In testimony whereof I
 hereunto affix my official signature, at
 Office this the 26th day of March AD 1873.

J. G. H. Ragsdale,
 C. S. C. S.



Note: The surveys with the blue margin, are subject to Mr. Horn's orders,
 excepting J. E. Hilliards Preemption.

Filed April 5th 73.

Counter 19295

Gainesville, Cooke County Texas
March 27th 1873

Jacob Kuechler,
Comr. G. L. O.,
Austin Tex.

Sir,

I herewith enclose sundry
Connections of Surveys on the waters of Southfork
Creek, in this County, and an elaborate
Sketch of that locality. My work has
been based on corners which are established
by bearings, the principal ones corners being
W. B. McCreaws West corner, Hiram Sadders S. W.
Cor, G. D. Hill N. E. cor, J. M. Lindsay (H. Barney)
S. W. & N. W. corners, George Dobby S. E.
Cor, B. B. B. C. R. R. Co 160 acres (sic Gooding
acre) S. W. cor, B. B. B. C. R. Co 160, forming
E. Garcia N. E. cor, Robert McFall, N. W.
Cor, B. B. B. C. R. R. Co 640 acre N. E. S. W.
and T. M. Gray N. E. cor, making a
complete and unmistakable connection
between the surveys on the Prairie, and
those on Fish Creek. — Bagbys, Leases
Lehars Gossatts, Holmes, and McLellands cor-
ners are all well defined by stone mounts
and differing but little from the calls
of their respective field notes.
You will see that Bagby does not begin quite as
far from McCreaws Corner, as called for in
Bagbys field notes, which may be ac-
counted for in Careless Chaining and the
uneven ground that the Connecting line was
measured over. — You will see from the
Sketch, that the Call in the M. Charo field

File 32.

Cooke - County

Sketch & Explanation about
surveys on Southfork Creek

Filed April 5/73

"Notes for P. Fleming line 1262 vs N60 E of his N.W. Cor." was made by the Surveyor, in connecting Gossells with Cox, Sur, and making Fleming N.W. cor on Gossells line; The real space between Charo's 3^d Cor & Gossells East line on a course of S. 60 W. is about 1300 acs. In a former sketch I have shown that there is not enough space between A.J. Mann's N.W. line and W.B. Mc Craws West-cor, and the Fleming having its connection from the Mann Sur, runs further N.W. than represented on the Land Office map, and consequently leaves less space for the Millard Surveys. The mapping of the J. Addington SE line 1/2 mile off from the Mc Craw N.W. line, is simply one grand error, that is as yet unexplained.

By actual and careful measurement I have got the connection between Henry Barney, and Geo Dooley. The connection of Cromwell and Hawley 255 acres, covers exactly the same ground as originally, except a conflict with Wm Cartton, on the east, which amount has been added on the west, you will see by these field notes that the B.B.B. & C.R.R. Co, 160 acres has always been placed wrong in the Land Office, aside from being deficient in quantity.

as is shown by the marked boundaries. The discrepancy on the South of B.B.B. & C.R.R. Co surveys for 640 acres, No 1 & 3 is not explained. The E Garcia 160 acres began further South than it calls for but the call of B.B.B. & C.R.R. Co Sur 160, for McHalls North line is correct, thereby making No 1 & 2 for B.B. correct, No 4, cannot conflict with No 3 as

it begins on it, (no 3,) but it miscalls for N.E. cor of No 3, and runs further North, In Easting it does, ^{not} pass McGrays N.E. cor as is shown by bearings, thereby making the North line too short. How the Mc Gray North line gets so far north is not explained. The Cromwell and Hawley Patent, will soon be returned for cancellation.

Respectfully submitted
G. H. Raymond,
Esq.