

General Land Office.

State of Texas.

Austin.

JOHN J. TERRELL, COMMISSIONER. J.T. ROBISON, CHIEF CLERK.

February 2nd 1906.

Capt. R. M. Kenney

Clinton, Okla.

Dear Sir :-

Your favor of the 20th ult, duly received. In regard to correcting and returning new field notes for the surveys in block M, made for R. M. Thomson, and block E, for Matador Cattle Co; just east of the Stephens block in Cottle county. From the records I find that the A. Forsyth block B, was surveyed May 1st 1882 by P. Toberman, depty, and approved by D. H. Jones.

Surveys 1 to 8, J. H. Stephens were made May 19th 1882 by D. H. Jones, surveys 5 to 8, inclusive calling for the Forsyth section on its east, and surveys 8, 9 and 24 call for the W. &. N. W. Ry. Co; surveys on \pm its north, describing bearing trees &c.

R. M. Thomson block M, was surveyed August 24th to 26th 1882 by W. A. Jones; survey #5 calls for J. H. Stephens surveys 4, 5, and 6. Block E, surveyed for Matador Cattle Co; by D. H. Jones October 12th

and 13th 1882, calling for the Stephens and Thomson surveys. From the above facts it is doubtful if a vacancy could be recognized; nor could they be separated.

In regard to distributing the excess in the several surveys between original corners and the calls for each other, is left entirely with you; as you are on the ground and being familiar with the different surveyor's work.

Enclosed find blue print copies of original sketches, returned with the field notes of the several blocks. They may be of some use.

Respectfully,

Enc. E.von R-Gk. Commissioner.

Corenter 19736

Matador Texas June 6 th. 1904.

72

Hon.J.J.Terrell Comr.

. 8. 6/9/0× de-

Austin Texas.

Dear Sir.

Some time since your office furnished me with a blue print showing a vacancy opened up by J.W.Carroll, sur. of Cottle County between <u>Block M. R.M.Thomson and block E. M.C. Co. and filed on by J.S.Harris</u> and F.e.Fosdick .If you will examine Mr.Carroll .s map and then the original, you willfind the field notes of survey # 2Block E.at its N.E. corner call for the rock mound at the S.E. 3.Block M.and this corner according to Mr.Carroll, sreport is found on the ground. Now in the absence of any other corner found at the N.E. of # 2

Block .E.I do not see by what rule or law of surveying you can separate the two surveys. Then if you reccognize this old corner at the S.E. of 3.Block M. as the N.E. of 2 Block E.____that makes all

the lines and corners of surveys 6.7.8. &10 Hock M. and 5.6.7. & 8. Block E.common lines and corners. In other words I take the position that this vacancy does not exist. I am going shortly to that part of the country to work and I will be obliged to you if you if you will pass on this matter at once and give me instructions how to adjust these surveys.

Very Truly Yours Row, Henney State Pur.

Counter 19437

Enning: Put a.a. mi 535406 Hutch C/3/My all a Cile # 6 2 20#10 CO. St. • 2.5 Counter 19438 inn at the N. · unu o round . A S RTOO N.B. N

Clinton, 0.T. 1,20, 06.

Hon. J.J.Terrell, Comr.

Dear Sir:-

After writing to you about the J.H.Stephens Block, in Cottle County, and inclosing a sketch of said Block, as I find the old corners on the ground, I have taken up the work in blocks E. & M. just East of the Stephens. Some time ago I sent you a connecting line from the S.E. corner of #18 Block E. to the N.E. #7 Block M. R.M.Thomson. Thence N. & E to the N.E. #1 Block M. From the S.E. #18 to the N.E. #7 there is an excess of 190 vrs., and you will see from J.W.Carroll's report of june 25, 1903, that he makes an opening between these Blocks, locating two surveys in name of J.S.Harris and P.E.Fosdick. This strip you instructed me to close up and hold the two Blocks togather. The field notes of #1 Block E. and #5 Block M/ call for each other, and both call for the N.E. #4 J.H.Stephens. As there are no original corners on the East line of the Stephens, I believe the S.E. of #5 of the Stephens Block should be located by the S.W. # 5Block M. Thomson, and distribute the excess in the Stephens Block from the S.E. #1 to the S.E. #5, and make the same distrbution in Block E. from the S.W. #15 to the N.W. #1, which is also the S.W. #5 Block M. The N.W. # 5 R.M. Thomson is located due West from the N.E. #1, the Owl Springs corner, and this will locate the N.E. #5 J.H.Stephens. I would then distribute the balance of the excess in Northing that shows between the N.E. of #5 and N.W. # 73, J.H.Stephens. This would make no difference in the outline of the Stephens Block, merely a change in the distrbution of the excess in Northing. It is my recollection that these three Blocks were located by the same surveyor, D.H.Jones, and if I am correct, we would be justifiable in locating his work on the East line of the Stephens, in the absence of original corners, from his corners in Block M. See Carroll's map, dated June, 25, 1903. Please let me hear from you and I will make out corrected notes for these Blocks. Very truly yours,

695

Austin, Texas.

aus 2/2/06

Rem. Kenney Del carbon copy-

Counter 19439



General Land Office,

State of Texas,

Austin.

June 15, 1904.

JOHN J. TERRELL, COMMISSIONER. J. T. ROBISON, CHIEF CLERK.

> Capt. R. M. Kenney, State Surveyor, Matador, Texas.

Dear Sir:

Your favor of 6th inst. to hand. In reply will say that according to the calls in the field notes of surveys 1, 2, 4, to 8 inclusive in block E, calling for surveys 5, 4, 3, 5 to 10, block M. no vacaney can be admitted. Should there be an excess, it would be on the South line of surveys 3 to 8, block E, and be a part of said sections. In oth r words the surveys in block E, as patented, call to begin on block M, and thence youth to the corners as described in the several patents. For explanation see rough pencil sketch herewith.

I would suggest that corrected field notes be filed for the several surveys as to including the excess, and the abstract be corrected accordingly. The county assessor could then assess each survey its proper area.

Very respectfully,

E.von Rosenberg -Ewing.

Commissioner.

Counter 19420

726 An the field - Bek. J. B. mothy County Jox. How. J.J. Jerree Cour. Austra Jer. Dear Sir, I will finish Bek. J. B. in about ten days - Wee you please suspense action - in the Survey made for Jack Stames in Cotte Cobeleven BOR. E. Malacor Catter Co. and R. M. Thomprim Bek_ I will the matter up at once Jours Iner R. M. Kenny

Sketch"E" Cottle County Hiled Jany. 15th 1906. Sketch file" I Cotto Co Stetches succor YIT NO D By R JABenney Sting Commissioner, Counter 19442 geled Mandel 6/1900 posetion o the correct the several surveys :03 Willed in Facturing - 13948 Com Roaking Brafficiary J. 88.10E. 14769 VYS. 2208 24 9 37.88.21E 9 37.49.21E.8 5-7 2001 56 1893 41 1893 40 1913 25-as. 22.9.25 J. H. Stephens Block 19.08 Beier 2081. 4-41 2005 20 32 2020 33 9 2000 1013 0 5.967 1898 1898 0 1933 . 1994 3013 83 0 2789.53E 0 1900 37:89.51E 0. 1.8 1160.4A. 842.59. 2 1043.50





0 R. H. Payne 1690 2213 85 5. va. 10.6 84 Scale 2000vrs. 1-inch. 19 1934 H.2 Resurveyed Sapt. 9. 1901. Stephens 3 J. 183 184 R. W. Kenney State Sur. Indianola H. Stephens, BUK, B. J. R.R. to. Austin, Jexas Jany. 20=1906. After a full investigation of the field notes of the numerous patented surveys in the above block, together with certified sketch and report marked "C", and field notes of connecting line marked "A" both filed in Bottle county sketch file, returned by 13 14 A. A. + m. State Surveyor R.M. Kenney, statement and deree of Redeval Court March term 1901 in Case of Matador Land & Battle Go. vs. V. H. Goldston et al, on file in approved Jan'y. 31-John John Schell Const L. L. Of S.F.5576, I find that the above sketch correctly represents the relative position of the several surveys, and as they should be recognized on the ground. 906. 6. vou Nosenberg Counter 19443 Aramining Draftoman