Midland Texas. November 714-1931.

-

5 W N

NOV 9- 1931

D TO MAP

Hon.J.H.Walker, Commsr Gen Land Office, Austin Texas.

Dear Sir:

I beg to offer for your consideration the corrected field notes to 16 sections of land in Block B.27, made for J.B.Tubb, and six sections in same Block for W.N.Waddell, also 9 sections in Blk.B.28, with 3 half sections made for W.A.Estes, and 3 half sections same Block made for W.N. Waddell, all in Crane County.

In making this survey I place the West line of Public School Block 32 parallel to and 18 miles West from the East line of University Block 30, which line takes a course of S.15 42'E.

The South line of Block 32,I make co-extensive with the North line of Block X. C.C.S.D.&.R.G.N.G. as placed on the ground by me, and field notes filed in August 1930. This construction will place the North line of Block 32 slightly farther North than the Van Camp survey lying along the North of Univ Blks.30 and 31. would place it. In other words if the Van Camp vacancy exists, it would be about half as wide at the West end, according to my survey, as he made it. or the South tier of sections in School Blks 24,25 and 26 to join up as called for with the North line of Univ.Blks 30 and 31. and School Blk.32. would not have as much excess distance in their Southings by my survey, as by the Van Camp survey.

If the owners of Block 32 wish to file their field notes giving them permanent position on the ground, they would of course be permitted to do so. For the sake of hair splitting accuracy I do not think I would be justified in placing Blt.32 on the ground with its South line in conflict with Block X. and leave a vacancy on the North either to be left as a vacancy or to be added to the junior surveys on the North. thereby making a junior survey larger at the expense of an older. At this time I am not filing the field notes to any of the sections in Blk.32, but will do so later, but I do not see that there would be any resistance offered in filing the field notes to Block B27 and B28, as the East line of Block B-27 would be free to join the West line of Block 32 irrespective of where h the corners of Blk.32 are placed in said line.

As I see it there can be no controversy over where to place the West line of Block 32. there are no contenders to place it any farther West than 18 form the East line of University

place it any farther West than 18 form the East line of Universit Block 30. which is the position shown on all the maps now in use by the State. Mr Twichell called to tie Blk.B-27 to the West line of Blk.32, with a distance in the East tier of sections of 2157 vrs. but if the distance is found to be greater than that, then the last tier of sections would have a longer East-West distance. There is another fact that I want to stress relative to placing the North line of Block 32 on the ground,-Starting at the N.E.cor of Univ Blk.30.which is the original S.W. cor of T.&.P. Blk.42 Tsp 4 South, then running a line Westward to and with the North line of Blv.32 where my survey places it, this line would not be but a few minutes out of a right angle course from the East line of Univ.Blk.30.as it now stands. I found and identified the North line of Blk.B-28 as placed

from the East line of Univ.Blk.30.as it now stands. I found and identified the North line of Blk.B-28 as placed on the ground by the locating surveyor, also the West line of Blk.B-27, The North line of Blk.B27, having no corners called for is an extension of the North line of Blk.B28. and is at right angles to the identified West line of Blk.B-27. I made correct ties to the H.& T.C. Blks.3 and 4. and ident-ified the corners of same, which is slightly different from the way Twichell shows in his fied notes to sections in Blks B27 and

Counter 19593

Q



Sketch File No. 23 A Crane County Robt E. Estes Report. B145 B. 27 + B. 28 Public School Filed November 9th - 1931 J. H. Welker, Comm. C.F.Bluche File Clerk Descriptive: See St. File 23 fer Surveyors Stetch Q2 1

ter 19595