

CROSBY Co 5-

ON Williams SK & Explanation  
of Surfs. in Crosby, Hale, Floyd  
& Lubbock Cos, etc.

Counter 20002

Statement of O. W. Williams  
 relation to original corners to Dummies in Crosby  
 Lubbock, Hall & Floyd Counties.

In the Summer of 1877 in conjunction with J. B. Ammerman I ran a line from Duncys Lake (E end) in Crosby County - up the Blanco Cañon to a point on the old Mr. Keuzie trail & to 6 miles N.W. of the (then called) Taster Trane (now I think occupied by H. C. Smith) - At this point (supposed to be on South Boundary line of Floyd County) we erected a small earth mound by the side of the trail. - Continuing on up the trail some 70 miles more - we erected a pretty fair sized earth mound close to where the Mr. Keuzie trail crossed a draw or arroyo which we supposed to be the draw of Upper Catfish Creek.

This was a corner (I think the N.W. cor of Sec 1) of a Dummy in D. & G. S. R. Co. Block C. - There were no beams - no marks but I remember - except that it seems to me there ~~was~~ <sup>were</sup> 1 or 2 small rocks in the mound.

- Our Dummy continuing West along said Mr. Keuzie trail some 60 or 70 miles further to a dry lake about  $\frac{1}{2}$  mile North of the trail - which was then full of water which we named Julia Lake - in honor of some secret heart of Joe Ammermans I think. - On the West side of this small lake - we erected a sod mound some 6 feet high capped with 7 buffalo heads. - From this point we turned back to the earth mound on the County Boundary of Floyd Co. -

From this point we dummyed West until we came to where the draw of North Fork of Yellowstone Cañon crossed the North line of Lubbock County. - Here on the divide about  $\frac{1}{4}$  mile East of the draw we erected an earth mound. - From thence - we meandered the Yellowstone as far as mouth of Plum Creek where we erected a stone mound on a hill just East of mouth of Plum Creek. -

1 We started this Survey from East end of Deerys Lake  
2 supposing it to be on East line of Survey 37 Block  
3 28 S.W. Ry. Survey - and Surveys were made  
4 in Block "C." Floyd Co. - "R" - rather Hale County  
5 & Blocks "A" & "B" Lubbock County - based on this  
6 connection. & if Deerys Lake is not on East line  
7 of Survey 37 Block 28 as its calls declare - then  
8 the Surveys in the Blocks I have enumerated  
9 tie to Deerys Lake & not to Survey 37 Block 28 -

10 In the Summer of 1878 I accom-  
11 -panied E.M. Powell of Dallas in a Survey which  
12 commenced in the center of Lubbock County - at the  
13 Forks of the Yellowhorse - We erected a rock monument  
14 at S.W. Corner of Survey 1 Block A. - as closely as we could  
15 approximate to the field notes of said Survey No 1 -  
16 From this as a starting point - we ran South & East  
17 down the Yellowhorse - putting up rock corners  
18 every mile down the Yellowhorse - on Block S. -

19 We then returned & beginning again at S.W.  
20 Corner Survey No 1 Block A. - we Surveyed North up the  
21 North fork - Surveying entirely by right angles - East  
22 & North - & finally erecting an earth monument at N.E. N.W.  
23 Corner of Survey No 1 Block 2 S.W. Ry. - at  
24 East side of Lamb Co. - and just North of a deep  
25 Spring or water-hole in the bed of the draw of  
26 North Fork. -

27 We then ran North across the Sand Hills to Upper  
28 Catfish Creek - Running down the Creek we established  
29 corners on Survey 1 Block T.B. & some surveys on Blocks  
30 K & K 3 -

31 From this it will be seen that Blocks "S.E." "E 2" "AK"  
32 "J.S." "D" "D 2" "D 3" "D 4" "D 5" "P.X" "C.K" & "P." in Lubbock

"K3" "K"

County & Block "DT." "D10" in Hale Co. - "A" & "J.B" Lamb Co.  
tie really to a point 650 yards South of S.E. corner of  
Deerup Lake. -

In Dec<sup>r</sup> 1878 & Jan<sup>y</sup> 1879 - Mr Daumfeld & I ran a  
joint line from Deerup Lake to Blocks "K" & "J.K"  
Hale County - We accepted Southeast corner of Deerup  
Lake as being 650 yards North of S.E. corner Survey 37  
Block 28 - & established from that the relative position  
of certain Blocks in N.W. part of Hale Co. - We  
supposed we were establishing their position relative  
to S.E. corner of said Survey 37 - but in reality, only  
establishing their situation relative to a point  
650 yards S. of E. end of Deerup Lake. - This line  
was accepted at G. S. O as determining true situa-  
tion of the Blocks in N.W. part of Hale Co. & the G. O.  
maps of that part of County are based on that line.

The Blocks thus certainly tied to Deerup  
Lake instead of Survey 37 - are "O" "O2" "J.K"  
"JK2" "O6" "S1" "S4" "C3" "JK2" "JK3"  
"M13" "r"

It is my impression also that Blocks "A1" "A2" "A3"  
"A4" "W" "D7" "D6" "D8" "N" "CL" "K" Hale County  
& "C" "R.G" "B9" "D7" "C2" "H" - Lubbock Co. &  
"M" "B" Crosby Co. - are in same condition - but as to this  
I am not certain - Mr J.B. Ammerman -  
or Mr C. U. Connelley - could probably know  
these facts -

O. K. Williams

Oct<sup>r</sup> 6/87

Crookston  
Sketches (5)

Statement of

O. W. Williams Esq  
in regard to  
surveys &c in  
Crookston, Hale, Floyd  
Libbick &c.

1887

See Tamm's D-10218 & 11249  
for connection.

4/22/87

R03

Counters 30000