H. W. STONEHAM Licensed Land Surveyor of Texas Colorado, Texas

REPORT OF SURVEY.

BLOCK SIXTY (60) PUBLIC SCHOOL LANDS in

Culberson County, Texas.

Colorado, Texas, October 12th 1926

1497

Hon, J. T. Robison,

Austin, Texas.

Dear Sir:

In accordance with a request from Mrs. **5. F**. Seay of Towah, Texas, the owners of the lands in said Block 60, Public School Lands, field notes of which are herewith sent you, I went upon the ground and began at the South-west corner of Block 59, Township 7 of the Texas & Pacific Railway Co., surveys in said Culberson County, which is a large stone mound, surmownted with a large white slab of rock marked "6T7 59 B 60" as described in the patent to Section 43, Block 59 Township 6 of said Texas & Pacific Rty Co., surveys, which field notes I am informed are based on a re-survey of said lands by Paul McCombs.

From the SW Cor of said Section 43, Block 59 Tsp 6, a stone mound as above described, we ran North (with variation of needle allowedd as 12 East) and at 1155 varas North of said corner we erected a large stone mound for the North-east corner of Block 90, and Section 1 thereof, and continued the same course along the entire West line of said Block 59, Township 6 to it's North-west corner, which is also a large rock mound with marked white slab, as established by said Paul McCombs. The entire distance between the West corners of said Block 59, Township 6, T & P R*y Co., being 16,438 varas, which is approximately that called in the field notes of said block 59 tsp 6; We had an error of about 10 minutes in course and went back over the line corrected all corners, and took bearings as shown in field notes. After allowing the 1155 varas for Section 1, Block 90, this left 8 miles with an excess of 10 varas to the mile for the sections in Block 60, Public School Land Lying just to the West of Township 6, Block 59 Texas & Pacific R*y Co., and in setting in the sorners this excess was allowed as shown by field notes.

From the field notes of Block 90, Public School Lands it is apparent that there is an excess of at least 258 varas over and above 6 miles in width and by actual measurment of the line it developed that this was true. The owners of the surveys in Block 60 refused to permit the inclusion of this excess with the areas of their lands, stating that they were land poor now and in securing a loan would have to pay for the additional excess and interest and that their margin in loan would not permit of it, as a consequent there will be a vacancy between Block 60 Public School Land and the blocks lying West of it, which in all probability is more than 258 varas wide. The land is rough and rocky cactus covered hills and not worth much, and therefore I have returned the field notes without including any excess East and West for the reasons stated, and while in a way it is unusual (to me) it would seem under the circumstances placing a burden on the owner to **pinn**ehave to buy the land; there will be other applicants for it I feel sure.

Respectfully submitted, Sucham

H. W. STONEHAM Licensed Land Surveyor of Texas Colorado, Texas

Counter 20/72

1011 Connection - 11ª Filed Dec 27-1926 g.J. Robison - com H.F. McDonaed - clerk Sketch File Noll CULBERSON Co