

Hon. J. H. Walker  
Commissioner General Land Office  
Austin Texas

See report Col.  
W.T. Johnson of  
6-10-37  
to

Marfa Texas  
March 9th 1934

Dear Sir.

Following is a report of a survey made by me in Jeff Davis and Culberson Counties. I had prepared a more detailed report and plat but it has been lost enroute from Marfa to San Antonio. I will make a more complete report in few days. But this will cover the principal points involved.

In Blk 5- H. & T.C. we ran from SW 39 East and South, as shown plat, along survey lines, to NE cor. of S 1/2 58, and checked the Chiapa Corner, and from the SE corner of Survey 54, South and west along survey lines to SW 70 Blk 5. - we also checked location of NE 63 and NW 70, as called for in report of R.S. Dod in 1914. (Sub.)

From SW 70, we ran South 953 varas to SE 932, and west <sup>944</sup> 711, to SW 932 west 1900 to SW 931, ~~west~~ north 302 to SE 933, and west 1609 to SW 933, at which point a stone stake is call for as a bearing, this we found as called for in reports.

On locating surveys in Blk 10, we began at SE 337. Van Horn Well, and ran South 5729 varas and East 781 varas and connected with McCombs Monument, on east <sup>410</sup> found another mound and at 582 varas East from the monument another large old Rock Mound.

Returning to McCombs monument. we ran South 2781 varas and East 844 varas and connected with an iron stake and Rock mound, set by R.S. Dod. in October 1915, as SE corner Survey 6 Blk 1 D.C. & S.F. on East 1347 another old Rock mound and down stake at NE 4 Blk 1, from this point we ran East 780 varas and south 29 varas and connected with an iron pipe set at NW 39 Blk 5. H. & T.C.

The R.S. Dod corners connected with in Blk 1 D.C. & S.F. were placed by him from connections to the west in April and October 1915, some of which are discussed in his report to you of April 14, 1915., these mentioned in that report were for corners in Blk 2 D.C. & S.F. I was with my father when he placed the corners in Blk 1 and on part of the Blk 2 work, but I have not been able to find his report to the Land Office on Block 1 corners.

Returning to our point 5729 South of SE 337, and 781 varas west of McCombs Mon. we ran west at 491 found Rock mound and iron stake, at 640 another Rock mound.

Returning to a point 12 varas East of the 491 mound we ran South 1900 varas to SW 1 Blk 1 Tex Mex. and East 760 varas to the West Line Survey 6 Blk 1 D.C. & S.F. 888 varas north of SW corner same as

The last paragraph of this statement is added for correction 10-20-34 J.H.W.

250 varas East and 114 varas north of a pipe at NE 10 Blk 2 T.C. & S.F.  
 Returning again to our 491 mound we ran west and at 550 passed 1.6 varas north of an old pipe set in ground, on at 1770 varas an old Rock mound on our line. on west 2121 varas in all but from the 1770 vara mound the line passed over a very steep mountain and I was unable to determine whether the piles of rock found near the line were natural or artificial.

Returning to our NW 4 Block 1 T.C. & S.F. which is by this connection 1069 varas East and 2510 varas South of SE 337, we ran north 1097 varas and west 164 varas and established a point for NW 15 and NE 16 Blk 5 B.B. and from this point ran in our Block 5 Survey 932

Returning to our point at SE 934, we ran west <sup>as by the R.S. 1000</sup> 302 varas to a point in south line survey 934, which the interested parties agreed on a division line for a fence, and ran north to a pipe set 58 va East of n w corner survey 2 Blk 2 - I find the distance between the south line survey 934 to north line survey 2 to be 13980 varas - while call distance is 13800. This Excess distance was placed in surveys 932 - 931 - 933 - 934.

The field notes transmitted here with cover all surveys in Blk 5 B.B. and Blk 5, the outside lines of which we ran.

The difference in the surveys along the Blk 5 line is sufficiently covered I believe from connections at NE 4 and NW 39, placed the west line of 18-23-932-, 944 varas west of Block line.

Respectfully,

James P. Bod  
 Licensed Land Surveyor.

P.S. no field notes were prepared on following surveys in Blk 5 because they were interior surveys and all ~~1/4 sections of one~~ and regularly surrounded by a chain of corners.

Surveys. 47-54-55-56-59-60-61-62-63-64-65-69. Blk 5

File No. 22

Culberson County

Report of surveys in Jeff  
Davis & Culberson Counties

Filed 3/9/1934

HASCOM GLEBE Com'r

French  
File Clerk

counter 20236

W2