## J. P. DOD

# TOPOGRAPHIC, LAND AND IRRIGATION SURVEYING RECEIVED

ALPINE, TEXAS April 27, 1985.

Hon. J.T.Robison Commissioner of the General Land Office Austin Texas.

Dear Sir

I am sending you under separate cover the Fieldnotes of the Dallam and Hartley County Lands.

You have my fathers report and plats of the survey made but if you desire me to make a separate plat and report let me know and I will get it right out.

I am sorry there was such a long delay in getting these notes out but at the time your letter of authorization came I was out in the field and did not get in for two weeks, and then was taken sick. In checking over my fathers notes and the Partition Line notes

I find that they each call for the south line of 70,71,72, and 73, to be 3626 varas in all, I find the should read N 89955'W 3639 varas and I found it that distance in my fathers figures, so I judge it is a error in copying. The South Line of Survey 83, should read 670 varas instead of 662 varas, this makes the acerage of Survey 83, a little larger. These are the only corrections to be made in the report except

in regard to Mr. Tatums letter about the fences along the East line survey 22, and the North line of surveys 34, 35,36, and 37, which fences are privately ownedd.

Hopeing that you recieve the notes soon and that they meet, with your approval, I beg to remain

Respectfully yours, James P. Dod

Counter 20367

1222

APR 3.0 1925

REFERRED TO COMR.

How AJRobison REPERED TO MAP Dear fir Tenclose Adnotes of the meanders of the rim rock where used on event side lot 2+5 and north live lot 10. as boundaries Attice survey. This live is indicatedon the Map you have - but in preparing the map for printing they will something more accurate in detail than the line alleteled in on Respectfully Ribod / Rod Counter 20368

10-10-	07.7	Field notes subdivision No 2
N 35 2°2	97.7	
N 772° W	154.0	
N 402 W N 762 8	88. 269.	
N 86° W	287.	
365°W	81	
N 73 W	38	
N 3610 W	48	
S 72° W S 392° W	71	
N 810 W	166	
563°80'W	90	
NISOW	179	
\$ 5810 W	103	
S 2°W	43	
S 44° W	207	
N 902	90	
N 35°30 W		
S 87°W	81	
N STON N 11200	37	
N 1122 N 5720E	22 91	
N 20°W	92	
N 580 E	85.5	
N 7502	185.0	
584° W	82	
58120	133	
N 1420 W	65	
N 92°2	23	
N 5122	139	
N 3º 57'2	156	
N 89°53'W		
S 0°49'2	2178	>
\$ 890532	2787.8	
South	2174	
west	1850	
-		
481	acres	
	1.8. 48. 51	Counter 20369 3

Ho nto Sub. 10 talles Sontheline 171 for its northline 12. northring

Mart	58
8 28°W	173
8 882°W	184
8 612°2	123
8 5° 2	84
8 732°W	155
8 13°W	106
West	416
8 12°W	254
West	27
8 0°49'2	1162
2 auto	860
SDº49'E	1162
Earl-	860
North	1900.8

\*

284.5 acres

Counter 20370

Field notes subdivision Nº 5 Wesh 58 North 53 N61° W 175 N26° W 21 N83°W 187 N62°E 47.5 N 132°E 100. N 48°W 98. 128. 56802 N 452°E 143 N 542W 103 N 18°W 575 N 770 E 127 N 192° 2 198 N 730 W 265 N 35:02 155.3 1850.5 East South 950.4 west 1900.8 320.6 acres Counter 20371

-	0 210.6	68	h
4	277.4	00	2
:542		-	
ñ.	1934		200
	1934	69	13.90 th
6	- 27		-
10	277:37		
200		38.6	
	1206 5 89025'W	513	74

Lo	Dial ,	M	ا د	2	w	Amd	68
N0025W	775.4	775.4			5,6	5.6	4342
East				2106.4		209 h. 8	3128196
51200 W			775.4		156.4	4034.3	2/3132535
Walt					1984.	19341	1566269
		775.4	775,4	2206.	2106.		= 277, 4 acres
						1.	

Hartley Co.

co.	Dist	K	5	٤	w	suis .	· (69)
10025 W			1223930		6.2	6.2	535.4
East				1934		1921,6	
512008 W			677,4		145.5	3710.1	2513221
west	36.8				36.7	3527.9	584 510
513611°W	174		169.2		3916	3451.6	
589025 W	1706.		17.0		170600	1706	29 002
		· ·	863.6	1934	1934.		
		000.0					1566048

counter 20372



Alpine, Texas, July 17 1924

Hen.J.T.Rebisen Commissioner Gen.Land Office Austin, Texas

1 - -----

Dear Sir.

I herewith enclose my report on the survey of the State land in Dallam and Hartley Counties.

I think I have introduced all the points you mentioned as advisable to insert, if anything is lacking please let me know, and I can add it.

I have not received the field notes but as the Attorney General has written me relative to the survey he wants made to which you made reference in your letter, I thought I could get this report ready while the facts were fresh in my mind.

As seen as I recieve the last voucher I shall be ready to take up the work in Harris County for both Mr.Caves and Mr.R.H.Kirby, so that I shall probably be in Austin in a few days to consult with you as to those surveys.

Respectfully

R.S.Ded Lic.Land Sur.

JUL 1 9 1924

REFERRED TO COMR.

8

and a second states of

Counter 20374

### Alpine Texas July 15 1924.

Hen. J.T.Rebisen Commissioner General Land Office Austin Texas.

#### Dear Sir

I berrewed a Channing Map from one of the neighbors as I had ne copy and checked it ever and find it O.K. , but in the letter in which youv refer to the cutting of the Channing tract 68 into 2 tracts , 68 and 69, You enclosed a pencil sketch showing how it was to be cut, these figures de net close and do net ( if cerrected) cover 1/2 the tract. So I enclose fieldnetes for 68 and 69.

In looking ever the Dallam County tract, I find an error in Survey 85, the acerage given 463.2 is the acerage of the tract under fence. The tract as finally subdivided centains 490.9 acres. This is probably my mis-take as I think I put the acerage of all tracts on the sketch I made you, and must have get the figures mixed. I enclose fieldnetes showing true acora

age as shown by survey and my map. In figuring tatal accrage, I find Hartley County tract on plat and under our recent survey contains 30225 acres, Under the Partition it should contain 30226.9/ acres, a shortage of 1.9 acres. This is due to accepting the markod line of the fonce along wheat field at Channing instead of line called for in Cap. Syn. fieldnetes, as explained to you on the ground. The Deeds to the wheat field tract called for rocks and pipes along the fense. The fieldnetes of Cap.Syn. Survey 36 called for a course beginning at the N W corner of the fence and running eastward to a point 19.5 varas south of the N E corner of the wheat field. It was decided not to contend for the two acres cut off by marked fence line and the survey was so made.

The tetal of the Dallam County tract by our survey and map is 27611 acres, by the fieldnetes of Decree of Partition should be 27613.6 acres or acres, by the fieldnetes of Decree of Partition should be 27613.6 acres or 2.6 acres short. This is due to the fact that the distance from N E corner 65 Etack (map) to the newth line of Survey 39 Block 47 1/2 H&TC was given in Cap. Syn. fieldnetes as 1415 varas, but the Partitioners found no corner to step them and took the 1415 varas, When we came to survey the tract and locate the true line of Survey 69 we found the distance to be 1401.5 varas instead of 1415 varas, hence a shortage, consequently the shortage was unaveidable, but an error of 4 1/2 acres in 57000 is fairly close and as the error is against the State it can be no cause for contention.

Respectfully

Redod Sie tud sur

JUL 13 1924 REFERRED TO COMR.

Counter 20375

9

File Dallam 3 Hartley countres Recovered SCHOOL LAND ----Correspondence from Russied-For full Reporting. Plat - See Bound Volume of Field Notes-Block No. C S. Dallamag Hartley Cos-Dod Hp7/2 Surveyed for **ACT APRIL 15, 1905** 

- File 10 -

10

## CONTENTS

- 1. Letter of Inquiry
- 2. Application to Surveyor
- 3. Field Notes
- 4. Application to Purchase

Counter 20376