1164 THE FEDERAL LAND BANK OF HOUSTON DIRECTORS S.A.LINDSEY, CHAIRMAN M. H. GOSSETT, PRESIDENT R. D. JOHNSON, VIGE-PRES. AND TREAS, JOHN V. VAN DE MARK, VICE-PRES. AND SEGY. DISTRICT NOID, STATE OF TEXAS ROY C. ARCHER S. P. BRITT ADDRESS ALL COMMUNICATIONS TO THE BANK M. H. GOSSETT LEON L. MOTT, ASSISTANT TO PRESIDENT LEWIS ROGERS, GENERAL ATTORNEY A.K. SHORT, CONSERVATION AND TERRACING AGENT RALPH H. HARRIS HOUSTON, TEXAS R. S. RODGERS RECEIVED E. F. SHROPSHIRE, CHIEF APPRAISER October 12, 1932. OCT 13 1932 Hon. J. H. Walker, REFERRED TO MAP

Land Commissioner, Austin, Texas.

> Re: Loan # 8590, Denton N. F. L. A., formerly G.A. Doering.

Dear Sir:

EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

This will acknowledge receipt of your letter of September 22nd returning to us the field notes to the 124.29 acres of land out of the T. & P. RR. Co. Survey No. 67, A. M. Feltus Survey and the J.R. Chambers Survey, situated in Denton County, Texas, certified to by C. F. Ballard at Denton, Texas; also map prepared by Mr. Ballard, of the survey, a part of which survey was made on the ground, but by reason of the expense to be incurred, the west part of the survey was not made. He surveyed and established the corners of the east part of the survey and followed the original field notes regarding the west part, believing that this would be sufficient to justify a correction of the patent.

We accept your statement that a correction patent could not be issued for the reason that there appears to be no conflict or partial conflict with other senior surveys, and there is no requirement for an adjustment of the acreage with an alternate school survey.

We also note your reference to the requirement of correcting the field notes, and in view of the fact that an actual survey of the entire tract would be necessary before filing the field notes in the surveyor's office, we thought of writing you relative to filing a claim for the excess acreage. The attorney examining the title for the purchaser of this land refuses to accept the same until the excess has been patented by the State. In view of your letter of the 22nd, it is indicated that you would not permit anyone to file on the excess acreage for the reason that it is your opinion that the excess acreage is already covered by the original patent, in view of the east boundary line calling for the southwest corner of the Burk Survey.

We would like to know if you will accept the filing of a claim by the present owners of this excess acreage with the view of having the same patented, and if so, at what price would the State have to sell this land? 11-3-32

Counter 20776

Hon. J. H. Walker.

October 12, 1932.

It is our idea that the better plan would be to have the Legislature pass a Bill authorizing the Governor to execute a patent describing the excess acreage, giving the title to the present owners by reason of their purchasing under the owners of the original T. & P. RR. Co. Survey No. 67. However, if you will accept the filing of claim for the excess by the owners now in possession, we will have the excess surveyed and file claim according to the requirements.

-2-

For your convenience and information, we are enclosing copy of the field notes, together with plat which has been forwarded to you before, but is enclosed at this time to advise you regarding the location of this land.

Yours very truly,

THE FEDERAL LAND BANK OF HOUSTON

By H. North Jones, Attorney

HWJ:ART Encls.

We enclose stamped envelope for reply.

Counter 20777

