

Box, 202, San Diego, Texas

October, 31, 1935.

RECEIVED \$

NOV 1 1935

REFERRED TO *[Signature]*  
PAGE \_\_\_\_\_ LINE \_\_\_\_\_

Mr. J.H. Walker, Commissioner,  
General Land Office,  
Austin, Texas.

Dear Sir: RE: Sur. 236 G.B. & C N G R.R. Co. Cert 175  
Duval County, Texas.

On the 14th day of October I visited the Land Office, and asked about the approval of the Field Notes which I prepared for Mr. Philip T. Wright for the N $\frac{1}{2}$  of Sur No. 236, G.B. & C N. G R.R. Co. Cert No. 175, and Mr. Atlee informed me that the only objection to them at that time was that the passing call on the N. Line of 236 did not agree with sketch and statement sent in by me, and that the notice was sent to Mr. Philip T. Wright who did not notify me that he had received any reply from the Land Office, but sent the returned Field Notes to Mr. C.H. Chernosky, and I did not have an opportunity to make the required correction which was a typographical error, according to My Map the N.E. Cor of Sur. 236 was placed at the point ( 3398.68 N and 348.0 E ) the S.W. Cor. of Sur No. 117, and the S.E. Cor. Of Sur. No. 118 was placed at the point ( 3406.39 N and 283.31 W ) and the N.W. Cor of Sur. No 236 was placed at the point ( 3412.84 N and 811.0 W ) and these points were so staked on the ground with Mesquite stakes by Me. and the distance from the N.E. Cor of Sur. No. 236 to the S.W. Cor of Sur. No. 117 should have read in the Field Notes to be at 631.36 vrs instead of 667.36 vrs. The field Notes are still at Houston in the hands of Mr. Chernosky. I am ready to make any corrections required to clear this matter up, but I want to do it at the request of someone.

From what I can get from Mr. Wright, is that Mr. Chernosky came down here with Mr. J.S. Boyles and they made corrected Field Notes for Mr. Wright's part, and recorded them and increased the acreage, from

154.56 acres as I had it, to 164.44 acres and extended the west line to a distance of 1238.4 varas from the East line of the Survey. whereas the Survey No. 226 was originally only 1159 varas wide. Survey No. 307 up to My west line of 226 only contained 622.15 acres instead of 640 acres as Patented. The way Mr. J.S. Boyles has it 226 is now encroaching on Survey N<sup>o</sup>. 307 by some 70 varas. and Mr. J.R.Foster is not satisfied with the line run by Mr. Boyles, there is no excess land East and West as claimed by Mr. Boyles, between the West line of Sur. No. 226 and the East line of Sur. No. 307. Mr. Foster is recognizing the line I gave him as his east line which is the West line of 226 as staked on the ground by me.

The short fence Mr. Boyles calls for in his Notes is a fence to fence off a Sudan Patch Mr. Francis, the man in charge of Mr. Foster's Ranch, put in and has never been recognized by Mr. Foster as his East Boundary.

Should you require any futher explanation or corrections kindly notify me.

Yours very truly.

Licensed Land Surveyor.

*J. L. Bellis' name appears  
on envelope.*

Counter 21373

112

Duval Co  
SK files - Box 2  
See Sketch + Statement  
of # 35-17-13

S. P. S. - 12194  
✓ S. E. 13627  
E-79957  
E-40823

should you require any further explanation or corrections

Yours very truly,

the line run by Mr. Boyles, there is no excess land East and West  
307 by some 70 acres, and Mr. J. H. Foster is not satisfied with  
way Mr. J. G. Boyles has it. 338 is how encroaching on Survey No.  
contained 333.12 acres instead of 340 acres as patented. The  
acres wide. Survey No. 307 up to My west line of 338 only  
Survey, whereas the Survey No. 338 was originally only 1138  
line to a distance of 1338.4 verse from the East line of the  
134.38 acres as I had it to 134.44 acres and extended the west

and the East line of Sur. No. 307. Mr. Foster is recognizing the  
line I gave him as his east line which is the West line of 338 as  
the East line of Sur. No. 307. Mr. Foster is recognizing the

Mr. Boyles calls for in his Notes is a  
Patch Mr. Frenois, the man in charge of

SECT. 266

G. C. & S. F. R. R. 308

B. S. & F.

SECT. 118

117

SHOWING SURVEY OF 171 ACRES SOUTH HALF G. B. & C. G. N. R. R. NO. 226, FRANK E. CHERNOSKY, ASSNEE. DUVAL COUNTY, TEXAS. SURVEYED APRIL 11 TO 5 1935. SCALE 1" = 200 YR. FIELD BOOK K4, P. 227. OFFICE OF J. S. BOYLES C. E. HOUSTON.

MAP NO. 3592

J. S. Boyles  
LICENSED STATE SURVEYOR

G. B. & C. N. G. R. R. NO. 225

SECT. 222.

SECT. 272

G. C. & S. F. R. R. 307

F. E. CHERNOSKY 171 ACRES.

G. R. R. NO. 226

J. POITEVENT 384

SECT. 224

Found 3" Mesquite Stake - 12" Mesquite 2 fac. Cor. Imkd X above brs. E 176 Yr. and north 1 Vr. Gully 4 Vr. South and branch of same 3 Vr. East a cypress to road brs about 5752

#1 8897 - Found large dead mesquite South prong 1 Vr. Cir. mkd (U) brs 5678 68 Vr. tree Split roots north fork 1 Vr. Cir. and partially alive 1 mkd X. Also Root and part of the bole of a cypress brs 5742 34 Vr.

#1 8898 - Found a pointed (each end) mesquite stake lying on ground 3 1/2 Vr. West of fence corner.

From this point Dead mesquite 1 1/2 Vr. Cir. mkd (S) brs 5578 82 Vr. and mesquite 1 Vr. Cir. Split and part Center dead West fork V and dead part Vr. when combined make 3 Vr. or W. brs 51 35 E 62 Vr.

Found mesquite Sk. Set 2" pipe mkd. mesquite 1 Vr. Cir. 1 N 72 1/2 E 16 3/4 Vr. mesquite 8 Vr. Cir. 2 N. 41 1/4 W 2 3/4 Vr. Run N 89 E 18 45 Vr. and N 3 3/4 W 11 65 Vr. to well.

Harvey & Henderson Chernosky No. 1.

Found Pointed Mesquite Sk. - Claimed Orig. Cor. Set 2" pipe, marked 4" mesquite 8 brs N 27 1/2 W 11 3/4 Vr. mesquite forked - MKd A on North fork X on main bole brs. N 31 E 9 7 Vr.

N 10 1/4 W 2 1/2 Vr.

5" Pointed mesquite Stake 3 1/2 Vr. West of fence. Cut in Same 1 1/2 Vr. 80 Vr. 2 mkd 8" mesquite A N 28 1/4 W 22 3/4 Vr. and 6" mesquite A 33 3/4 W 5 4 Vr.

