

1264

San Diego, Texas.
July, 24th 1935

Hon. J.H. Walker, Commissioner,
General Land Office,
Austin, Texas.

Dear Sir: Enclosed you will please find an explanation of a re-survey of Sur. No. 226, G.B. & C.N. & R.R. Co, Cert No. 175 in Duval County, Texas showing the work I did and how I arrived at the dividing line between the N $\frac{1}{2}$ and the S $\frac{1}{2}$ of the Same and connections with all of the adjacent surveys.

The said N $\frac{1}{2}$ was surveyed for Philip T. Wright of San Diego, Texas, and under separate cover he is forwarding to you the Map and Field Notes for Sur. 226 and also for the S.W. $\frac{1}{4}$ of Sur. No. 118, B.S. & F Cert No. 1682.

Yours very truly,

J.L. Dellis
Licensed Land Surveyor.

RECEIVED

JUL 25 1935

REFERRED TO MAP

K counter 21380

E X P L I N A T I O N

Explanation of a re-survey of Sur. No. 226, G.B. & C.N.G R.R. Co. Cert. No. 175, in Duval County, Texas and the subdivision of the same into the N $\frac{1}{2}$ and the S $\frac{1}{2}$.

I began at the Original S.E. Cor. of Sur. No. 384, B.S. & F. Cert. No. 1/871, where I found the remains of the original post set for this corner and re-set it with a pointed Mesquite post 2ft. high Mkd. K from which the Cut off Stump of the Original Mesquite called for in the original Notes brs N 81 W 24 vrs and the stump of a Mesquite, trunk of which was dead and down, Mkd. J brs N 28 E 37 vrs;

THENCE I ran South 1951.42 varas where I found a very old 5 $\frac{1}{2}$ " Mesquite Stake, pointed, from which a very old Mesquite Mkd G faintly on account of decay brs N 56 E 47 vrs, the long recognized S.E. Cor. of Survey No. 385;

Taking the Bearing of the East line of Survey No. 385 as North and South and using the projection of the same North as my Meridian computed my latitudes and departures from the S.E. Cor. of Survey No. 384 for all points on My Map submitted for this area. (Variation to re-run the John J. Dix Surveys and thatv shown on my Map and Field Notes : 10 - 14' E)

All original corners in this area found by me at this time or on previous work by me are shown on the Map or ties to same are mentioned.

BEGINNING at the S.E. Cor. of said Sur. No. 384 as O.O N and O.O E ;

THENCE North 1900.0 vrs, found no established corner. But at 1899.76 vrs and 6.72 vs E. a Fence Cor Fence S and E, at 1904.96 vrs a fence Cor. 6.22 vrs E, fence S and W..

THENCE from the point 1900.0 N and O.O E East at 355.3 vs and 2.54 vrs N, I found a pointed Mesquite Stake in a fence Cor. which does not appear to be more than 10 yrs old and a 2" pipe set by J.S. Boyles and recognized by him as the S.W. Cor. of Sur. No. 225, and 1.96 vrs S. another fence runs E from the West for 5.3 vrs and turns N and joins fence E. & W. at 1514.96 vrs and 7.27 vrs S pass a fence Cor. Fences N., E. and W. near the S.E. Cor of Sur. No. 225 at 1907.98 vrs and 11.26 vrs S I came to a fence Cor. fence E. W and S, near the N.E. Cor. of Sur. No. 224. found no original corner.

Back to point 1900.0 N and O.O E ;

THENCE West at 881.89 vrs I found a 2" pipe set by J.S. Boyles for the S.W. Cor. of Sur. No. 226 3.61 vrs N, this pipe is in a fence E & W and in the center of a Sendero N. Cut by the Humble Surveyors no signs of any old cor. here, lying by the side of the said 2" pipe was found a This Spring's Mesquite Stake Mkd. in Blue crayon S.W. Cor. 226 Harvey-Henderson, Chernosky, at 1897.95 vrs and .6 vrs S I found a Pointed Mesquite Stake (in Fence Cor. Fence E & S.) set for the N.W. Cor. of Sur. No. 384 by somebody, but Hayes Dix has no knowledge as to who set it, it appears to be about the same age as that set by E.V. Spence in December 1919 for the N.W. Cor. of Sur. No. 383.

Back to point 1900.0 N and 1507 E;

THENCE North at 1484.52 vrs and .36 vrs W I found an Old Pointed Mesquite Stake and 3" pipe, at 1489.52 vrs and 11 vrs W. I found a Fence Cor. Post Fence W and S. Easterly for about 25 vrs and then East a few varas to a Cattle Guard. The said pointed stake was pointed out to me by Hayes Dix as the Original N.W. Cor. of Sur. No. 222 and the N.E. Cor. of Sur. No. 225 and that he said he knows the same to be in its present location since before 1901 and it checks within .36 varas in departure with my line. that makes the location of this stake 3384.52 N and 1506.64 E.

This proved to me that Surveys Nos. 222, 224, 225 and 226: all of the same series of Surveys made by John J. Dix on or about the same day were located from the S.E. Cor. of Survey No. 384

and that my survey is retracing the original work, A working sketch of this area will show that the S.E. Cor. of 225 is on the N. line of 224 and 393 vrs W from its N.E. Corner or 1507 vrs East from its N.W. Corner which is the N.E. Cor. of Sur. No. 384, the width of Sur. No. 225 is 1159 vrs which would place the S.W. Cor. of the same at a point 348 vrs East of the N.E. Cor. of Sur. No. 384. The S.W. Cor. of Sur. No. 225 is also the S.E. Cor. of Sur. No. 226 and this would place it where I have established it. (at 1900.0 N and 348 E.)

From this point I projected the East Line of Corrected Sur. No. 226 North to intersect the South line of Sur. No. 117 and established the N.E. Cor. of the same at the intersection of said lines, at the point 3398.68 N and 348.0 E;

The width of Survey No. 226 is 1159 vrs so I established the S.W. Cor. of the same at the point 1900.0 N and 811.0 W and projected the West line of Corrected Sur. No. 226 North from this point to intersect the South line of Sur. No. 118 and established the N.W. Cor. of said Corrected Sur. at the intersection of said lines at the point 3412.84 N and 811.0 W ;

Surveys Nos, 117, 118, and 120 are Senior Surveys to that of Survey No. 226 which must stop with the South line of Sur. No. 117 and 118, which was located by L. Caldwell in 1876.

To Determine the South Line of said Surveys Nos. 117, 118 and 120:

I began at the established N.W. Cor. of Sur. No. 222 (at the point 3384.52 N and 1506.64 E) which is located on the South line of Sur. No. 117 as evidenced by the original Field Notes prepared by John J. Dix .

THENCE to the point 3331.01 N and 3595.74 E, where I found a Pointed Mesquite Corner Fence Post 7 ft high Mkd W and a 2" Galv. Iron Pipe which post was identified and is recognized by Hayes Dix as being in the exact location of the Original Cor. Post Mkd W and set for the S.W. Cor. of Sur. No. 119, found by him in a re-survey of Sur. No. 119 in March 1904 ;

From this point I ran East 2352.73 vrs and North 1916.34 vrs to where I found a Fence Cor. Post where 3 fences meet, at the original N.E. Cor. of Sur. No. 119 from which the Original Mesquite Mkd G (Found) brs N 73 W 17 vrs.

Back to the S.W. Cor. of Sur. No. 119 or the point 3331.01 N and 3595.74 E;

THENCE I ran along a row of old fence posts , wire removed, N 0 - 42' E 3858.95 vrs where I found a Fence Cor. Post from which a Double Mesquite the South prong Mkd J brs N 43 E 4 vrs, the Original Bearing Tree for the N.E. Cor. of Survey No. 112; from this Fence Cor. a Fence W and N and at a point 1.5 vrs N a fence E;

THENCE N 89 - 11' - 30" W with the old Marked line with numerous old line trees standing 1938.71 vrs to a point;

THENCE N 0 - 42' E at 288 vrs I found an old forked Mesquite both prongs Mkd /// on the west side and is recognized as a side line tree . for the line between Sur. No. 111 and 113.

Back up 288 varas ; (Continued N 89 - 11' - 30" W with the same count) at 3879.95 vrs I pass 1.5 vrs South of a Fence Cor. Fence W and S for about 30 ft and then East with the South line of Public Road, Public Road N and E. and on the East side of said Cor. Post is buried a front axle of a Car appears to have been set for the N.W. Cor. of Sur. No. 115 , at 4037.79 vrs I found a Line Mesquite Tree Mkd /// on the East and West sides several side line trees were found along this line to a total distance of 5813.04 vrs or to the point 7271.76 N and 2169.64 W , which point is .95 vrs N and .04 vrs West of a Fence Cor Post and Iron Rod, Fence S and E.

THENCE N 0 - 48' - 30" E at 719.7 vrs I found an old side line Mesquite Tree Mkd. /// on the East side and about 20 vrs back on the same line passed another old side line Mesquite Mkd /// of the West side, the line passing between the two said trees and .5 vr East of the first mentioned tree. This established the West

line of Survey No. 114;

Back to the point 7271.76 N and 3167.64 W;

THENCE S 0 -21' - 30" W at 1927.77 vrs found an old 6" Pointed Mesquite Post Mkd /// set for the N.W. Cor of Sur. No. 118 by others, stake I judge to be at least 30 years old at a fence Corner (at the point 5344.00 N and 2181.78 W) fence N and E, and at a point 9.1 vrs E a fence S., the Fence E. bears S 89 18' E for over two miles.

THENCE S 0 -42' W 1914.28 vrs to an intersection with a line bearing N 89 -18' W running through the old pointed post recognized as the N.W. Cor of Sur. No 222, (at the point 3384.52 N and 1506.64 E) as the South line of Surveys No. 117 and 118;

Thus establishing the S.W. Cor. of Sur. 118 by intersection at the point 3429.87 N and 2205.16 W.

The reason for using the bearing of the South line of 117, and Sur. No. 118 as N 89 -18' W was to parallel the North line of said Surveys, determined by projecting a line from the point 5344.0 N and 2181.78 W to the point 5247.35 N and 5948.47 E or in other words from the 6" pointed Mesquite Stake set for the N.W. Cor of Sur. No. 118 to the fence Cor. Post from which the Mesquite Mkd G brs N 72 W 17 vrs at the N.E. Corner of Survey No. 119, and the fence of the North side of Sur. No. 118 and No. 117 follow this line;

Thus the South lines of Surveys Nos. 117 and 118 were established and the East and West lines of Corrected Sur. No. 226 were run North from S.E. and S.W. Corners of said Sur. No. 226 to an intersection with this line, as herein above stated.

The East line of Survey No. 118 was established by projecting a line S 0 - 42 " W to an intersection with both lines bearing N 89 - 18' W from the Cor. Post and Front Car Axle at the N.W. Cor. of Sur. No. 115. Thus making Survey No. 118 1914.28 vrs by 1921.85 vrs and the S.W. $\frac{1}{4}$ of the same was staked out for Philip T. Wright.

The location of the 2" pipes set by J.S. Boyles for the S $\frac{1}{2}$ of Survey No. 226 for Frank E. Chernosky are shown on my Map submitted for this area just as I found them on the ground.

The above referred Map is hereby made a part of this report and explanation.

I find Survey No. 225 to contain 306.22 acres instead of 320 acres; Survey No. 226 to contain 309.12 acres instead of 320 acres and the Philip T. Wright is entitled to purchase only one-half ($\frac{1}{2}$) of the same, and Frank E. Chernosky is entitled to purchase only one-half ($\frac{1}{2}$) of the Survey free of conflict with the Senior Surveys. Or in other words they are entitled to purchase 154.56 acres each.

I find Survey No. 307 to contain only 622.15 acres instead of 640 acres.

Respectfully submitted this the
24th day of July, 1935.

J. L. Dallis
Licensed Land Surveyor.

