

Austin 22nd August 1874

Hon. J. J. Groos, Comr. Ge. Land Office
Austin, Texas.

Dear Sir: I hand you herewith
Certified Copy of the report of Surveyors
in the Case of Hawkins et al v Poor, with
other evidence in the case. The report
of the Surveyors was confirmed by
the judgment of the Court, which
has become final. By this you
will perceive the impropriety of
any change in the map of Falls County,
as it was in April last, and as it now
is, according to the photographic copy
to day certified to me. Against any
change altering the situation ~~of~~
thereon of the boundaries of the ~~Gregorio~~

Basquez grant, in either of its surveys,
in the name of and behalf of the pres-
ent owners of that grant, I most
solemnly protest.

With very high esteem and
respect, Your most obt. Servt.

F. S. Stockdale

Atty & C.

Baldw.

F. S. Stockdale.

filed Aug 21. 1893
F. S. Stockdale

No 696

Rhoda B Hickins Et al; Now at the
Vs term of the

Judgment
of the
Court

J 6 Pool

Court Came

the Plffs in this cause to wit Rhoda
B Hickman Sarah A Davis Water S Davis
and Larrie B Hickins by their Atlys +
the Wft J 6 Pool by her Atlys + the cause
coming in to be heard first upon the
motion filed by Wft to set aside the
report of surveyor filed in the cause +
the same having been presented argued +
considered it was adjudged by the court
that the motion to set aside said report
be overruled to which Wft by her Council
accepted + also came on the malum to quash
the writ of sequestration in ^{this} their cause
which which the Plff by Atly consented
might prevail + said writ be quashed which
the court accordingly adjudged + it is there-
fore considered that the Said writ be qua-
shed + vacated + held for nought + the pos-
session of said land sequestered restored
to Wft + that + that the Wft have + recover
of Plff all the costs attending the issuance
execution + returns in relation to said writ
of sequestration for which he may have
his execution Thereupon came on to be heard
the exception of Wft general + special
which having been heard + argued the
Court being of opinion the law on it

with the Plff: it is adjudged that the said exception be & the same are hereby overruled to which Wft Excepted Whereupon both & all the parties having renounced themselves ready for trial thereupon came a jury of good & lawful men to wit L G Scogin & Eleven other who having been duly elected tried empaneled & sworn to try the issue joined hearing the pleadings evidence & argument of council & Charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict & return into open Court the following verdict to wit Its the jury finds for the Pff the land without damages L G. Scogin foreman, it is therefore Considered by the Court, that the Plaintiff have & recover of Wft the land described in their petition, to wit, Part of four leagues a subdivision of part of Eleven leagues of land originally granted to Gregorio Basquez through his Atty Jonathan G Payton situated below the falls of the Brazos river in said County of Falls comprising all that tract which is bounded on the West by the Brazos river on the South by the Northerly line of the James Marlin league so called on the East by a league of land once owned by John Marlin & granted to him on the North by the upper or northerly line of the said four league grant to

Inigoro Basque containing nine hundred acres excepting such part of the said tract as may be covered by the Sanchez grant now in possession of and owned by Churchill Jones' heirs, and that the said Plaintiff has their writ of habeas corpus issued to put the effects in possession of said land & all acts of the court except the act of the writ of sequestration for which execution may issue. It is further ordered adjudged and decreed that execution issue in favor of the offices of Court against said party respectively for the act in this behalf mentioned.

Clark's Office, Supreme Court

Austin Texas Dec 5 1872

I P. P. Admonander, Clerk of the Supreme Court of Texas hereby certify that the above and preceding two pages contain a correct copy of the judgment entered in the District Court of Falls County at the March Term 1871, as appears from the records of that office, which said judgment appealed to the Supreme Court and dismissed by the appellant on the 30th day of March 1872

Witness my hand and seal
of said Court the day and
year above written

P. P. Admonander

Clerk

File 20.

Judgement **B**
of the District Court
of Fallr Co.
in the case of
Rhoda B. Hawkins
et al.

J. C. ^{vs.} Pool
filed in L. T. Office
Dec. 7/1872.

L. Klemm
Mr. Draftsman