W. D. TWICHELL

JUN 25 1915

790

Amarillo. Texas, June 23"195

Hon J. T. Robison, Referred to Map Austin, Texas, Dear Sir::

I have finished the work on the ground in Blk. H and G in Gainess county and I am preparing my report. The chain carriers I had on the ground in 1902 were thoroughly trained and stretcheed/heir chain so perfectly that chain carriers with less skill are not able to reach their distances without adding two to five varas/for each mile. The country is slightly rolling, some of it hilly, and practically all of it covered with shinnery. My experiance is that shinnery will consume two to five varas distance per mile with ordinary chainimen. All this has led me to comclude that the chaining in 1902 is entirely correct and the length of mile at that time should be addopted as the correct distance in reporting this survey. Please let me know if you entirely agree with me and if you consider it proper to report the survey on this basis.

Another difficulty is presented because the E.N. and S lines of this large block were fixed by the 1902 surveyso that now when the perimeter is completed by projecting the spherical rect angle around the west part of Blk G an actual spherical excess appears in the interior of the Blk. amounting to about lach mide three varas. It is quite proper to take care of this spherical excess east and west by adding it to the distance called in . the field notes along the parallels but the same excess also appears on the meridian because the perimiter was first established and I am at a loss to know what is proper in reporting these lines N and S. The work done in 1902 is unusually accurateso I have comformed this work implicitly. A further reason for this is that surveys made since 1902 have undertaken to conform to my 1902 corners . In most instances the surveyors were unusually successful hence the differences thruout the Blk are very slight. A few large differences appear in the older locations but all concede these older locations are not correct hence they cause no friction.

The result is that conforming with the 1902 survey implicitly appears to give complete satisfaction to all inter-Counter 23/88 W. D. TWICHELL AMARILLO, TEXAS

> ested including all setlers and all land owners.No other construction would do this, hence it occurs to me that the 1902 survey should not be distorted on account of a slight spherical excessor on account of a slight personal/parallax in the chain carriers.

Please advise me promptly in these matters.Other duties are pressing me with this report.

1906

190.2

Corintes 23189

YY1

Yours truly, WD Twichell State Surveyor.

Sketch File No. 7" Gaines County Letter from MD Twichell Filed June 25 1985 23190 File Clerk Descriptive: Letter from Twichell stating that he had finished ground work in Blocks H. &G. 42