

THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

JIM MATTOX ATTORNEY GENERAL

August 29, 1986

Mr. Spencer Reid Director, Asset Analysis & Disposition General Land Office, Room 738 Stephen F. Austin Building 1700 N. Congress Austin, Texas 78701

Energy to State on Eckert's Banon.

INTER-AGENCY MAIL

Re: Inquiry Pertaining to Ownership of Submerged Parcels of Land on West Galveston Island

Counter 23681

Stephen Der Barrie Color Barrier 31

Dear Spence:

As you are aware, an informal opinion from a staff member of the Attorney General's Office is not tantamount to an Attorney General's Opinion. With this caveat, I am providing the following informal assessment regarding ownership of certain lands located on West Galveston Island, being those tracts known as Eckert's Bayou and Lake Como and a lot which appears on the Trimble and Lindsey Survey opposite Teichman's Point. This latter "upland" tract is now submerged; the former two not only are currently submerged, but also were submerged at the time of original survey.

After reviewing applicable evidence and law, I am of the opinion that the judiciary would reject the State's claims to Lake Como and Eckert's Bayou in favor of private interests. As for the "upland" lot, the mirror doctrine of erosion/accretion applies.

512/475-2501 SUPREME COURT BUILDING AUSTIN, TEXAS 78711-2548

See Galveston Co. SK File 73 For Quitclaim from Mitchell



THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

JIM MATTON ATTORNEY GENERAL

August 29, 1986

Mr. Spencer Reid Director, Asset Analysis & Disposition General Land Office, Room 738 Stephen F. Austin Building 1700 N. Congress Austin, Texas 78701

INTER-AGENCY MAIL

Re: Inquiry Pertaining to Ownership of Submerged Parcels of Land on West Galveston Island

stine to pressive the state of the state of

Dotopar 31

Dear Spence:

As you are aware, an informal opinion from a staff member of the Attorney General's Office is not tantamount to an Attorney General's Opinion. With this caveat, I am providing the following informal assessment regarding ownership of certain lands located on West Galveston Island, being those tracts known as Eckert's Bayou and Lake Como and a lot which appears on the Trimble and Lindsey Survey opposite Teichman's Point. This latter "upland" tract is now submerged; the former two not only are currently submerged, but also were submerged at the time of original survey.

After reviewing applicable evidence and law, I am of the opinion that the judiciary would reject the State's claims to Lake Como and Eckert's Bayou in favor of private interests. As for the "upland" lot, the mirror doctrine of erosion/accretion

512/475-2501

SUPREME COURT BUILDING

AUSTIN, TEXAS 78711-25-18

COUNTER 76791

Mr. Spencer Reid Page 2 August 29, 1986

If you should desire further elaboration on this topic, please do not hesitate to contact me. I will be happy to furnish any information you may request.

It is always a pleasure to work with you.

Sincerely,

Sharon

SHARON GILLESPIE Assistant Attorney General

Energy Division P. O. Box 12548, Capitol Station Austin, Texas 78711-2548 (512) 463-2012

SG:csa

Mr. Spencer Reid Page 2 August 29, 1986

If you should desire further elaboration on this topic, please do not hesitate to contact me. I will be happy to furnish any information you may request.

It is always a pleasure to work with you.

Sincerely,

Sharon

SHARON GILLESPIE Assistant Attorney General

Energy Division P. O. Box 12548, Capitol Station Austin, Texas 78711-2548 (512) 463-2012

Counter 23682

SG:csa

FROM THE DESK OF

KEN CROSS Assistant Attorney General



2 11.00

Tom:

Enclosed is a copy of the "open records request" we received yesterday from Ms. Fritiofson re: Eckert's Bayou.

Ken 3-8-90



2407-37th St. Jahreston, Terf. 7755 to yaland har one to be to be a start of the March 1, 1999 Mrs. Nancy N. Lynch Chief, Environmental Protection Div. attorney General's Office P. O. Box 12548 austin, Tex. 78711-2548 Dear Mrs. Lynch; Ri: Echert's Bayou & west Salveston Ssland It has come to my attention recently that Eckert's Bayou on west Jahreston Stand is now disignated as a "Private" bayou on Land Commission maps and now belongs to mitchell Development conforation. This, as you can imagine, was a startling discovery. During the Coastal Management Plan public hearing in Valueston yesterday, February 28, 1990, 2 was advised by Land Commissioner Garry mauro that his office was so directed to do same by the attorney General's office and that it was the result of an attorney Deneral's opinion, which information was positively shocking. counter 23684

our, from Time immemorial, public bayou has been handed over ong silver platter to the Thitchell Developement Corporation by our new respected and looked up to attorney General's Office. We, the public, were not awary that this high legal office was in the business of giving away public preperty. At is totally unheard of unheard of -Lam enclosing newspaper article which will ging you some insight on our situation in General and Dassure you that you will find same self-explanatory. Dam also enclosing Copy of deed from the year 1930 in which my grandfatter, John Egert, S., gans to Schoston County a strike his land on the east bank of Echert's for the henefit of the public's easy and more Convenient access to Eckert's Bayou by auto from Stewart Road instead of coming by boat from the west Salueston Day side. One could either launch their loats of just enjoy fishing and picnicing along the shore line. Eckent's Bayon is used daily by the general public as mell as our local commercial fishing community.

counter 23685

Stis the only such site left and is absolutely precep charge. The other site on Lake Como was closed by mitchelf Development to prey the public out of the area of the exclusive Pirate 's Cone subdivision. The state Park Lake Como side shoreline is not allowed to have a launch site either for that very reason . There are also property owners on Eckert's Bayou of which sam one (on west bank >. Eckert's Bayou is the major breeding and feeding grounds for shrimp fish, etc., and its importance to our ever rapidly deteriorating taheston Bay system goes without saying. and now, sam requesting to be furnished the following information under the provisions of the Treytas Open Records act ! 1. all documentation, maps, etc. presented agency might have done this for them, that initiated this request to make Estert's hayou private.

Counter 23686

2. all documentation make, etc. of the attorney General's office concerning their participation in this matter including all letters written by an attorney sharon Gillespie. Copyof deed. 3. Copy of the laws whereby the attorney General's Office can confiscate public property and give it away to a private entity without due process. 4. Was this an official or unofficial opinion by the attorney General's office. 2, and many others, are and iously awaiting your reply. Thanking you in advance, 2am yours very buly, Sna J. Fritigson

Copy with enclosures to: m. Larry Laurent Chief, Energy division attorney kineral's office 0.0. my 12548 auslin, Ter. 78711-2548

• •		
John Egert	The State of Texas I	
To	County of GALVESTON I Know all Men by these Presents:	1.
County of	That I, John Egert of Galveston of the County of Galveston State of Texas for	
Galveston,	and in consideration of the sum of ONE AND No/100 (\$1.00) Dollars, to me in hand paid	
Texas		1
Deed	by the County of Galveston, Texas, the receipt of which is hereby acknowledged have	L
	Granted, Sold and Conveyed, and by these presents do Grant, Sell and Convey, unto the	
	said County of Galveston, Texas, ==of=the=Gounty=of===================================	
-	certain tract or parcel of land situated in Galveston County, Texas, and more particularly	
	described as follows:	1.
	" Fifty (50) feet off of the northerly and of Lot Number 467, Section Two (2), Trimble	1
	and Lindsey Survey of Galveston Island, Galveston County, Texas."	1.
	TO HAVE AND TO HOLD the above described premises, together with all and singular the	1
	rights and appurtenances thereto in anywise belonging, unto the said County of Galveston,	1.
	Texas, its successors heirs and assigns forever, and I do hereby bind myself and my	1.
	heirs, executors and administrators, to Warrant and Forever Defend , all and singular	
	the said premises unto the said County of Galvestoh, Texas, its successors heire	1.
	and assigns, against every person whomsoever lawfully claiming or to claim the same or	
	any part thereof.	
	Witness my hand at Galveston, Tex. this 29 day of Septembers A.D.1930.	1
	Investone mi umin an Adviencetteeve euro pa dala or Gebramper è dentrane	1
•••	Witnenses at Request of Grantor.	
	Witnesses at Request of Grantor.	
	Witnenses at Request of Grantor. John Egert	
	Witnenses at Request of Grantor. John Egert	
496	Witnenses at Request of Grantor. John Egert	
496	Witnenses at Request of Grantor. John Egert	
496	Witnenses at Request of Grantor. John Egert	
496	Witnenses at Request of Grantor. John Egert	
496	Witnesses at Request of Grantor. John Egert The State of Texas, County of Galveston	
496	Witnesses at Request of Grantor. John Egert The State of Texas, I County of Galveston J Before me, E.T.Holman, A Notary Public in and for Galveston County, Texas, on this day personally appeared John Egert known to me to be the person whose name is	
496	Witnenses at Request of Grantor. John Egert The State of Texas, I County of Galveston I Before me, E.T.Holman, A Notary Public in and for Galveston County, Texas, on this day personally appeared John Egert known to me to be the person whose name is subscribed to the foregoing instrument, and .acknowledged to me that he executed the	
496	Witnenses at Request of Grantor. John Egert The State of Texas, County of Galveston J Before me, E.T.Holman, A Notary Public in and for Galveston County, Texas, on this day personally appeared John Egert known to me to be the person whose name is subscribed to the foregoing instrument, and .acknowledged to me that he executed the same for the purposes and .consideration therein expressed.	
496	Witnesses at Request of Grantor. John Egert The State of Texas, County of Galveston J Before me, E.T.Holman, A Notary Public in and for Galveston County, Texas, on this day personally appeared John Egert known to me to be the person whose name is subscribed to the foregoing instrument, and .acknowledged to me that he executed the same for the purposes and consideration therein expressed. Given under my hand and seal of office this 29 day of Saptember, A.D.1930.	
496	Witnenses at Request of Grantor. John Egert The State of Texas, I County of Galveston I Before me, E.T.Holman, A Notary Public in and for Galveston County, Texas, on this day personally appeared John Egert known to me to be the person whose name is subscribed to the foregoing instrument, and acknowledged to me that he executed the same for the purposes and consideration therein expressed. Given under my hand and seal of office this 29 day of Saptember, A.D.1930. (SEAL) E.T.Holman	
	Witnenses at Request of Grantor. John Egert The State of Texas, I County of Galveston I Before me, E.T.Holman, A Notary Public in and for Galveston County, Texas, on this day personally appeared John Egert known to me to be the person whose name is subscribed to the foregoing instrument, and acknowledged to me that he executed the same for the purposes and consideration therein expressed. Given under my hand and seal of office this 29 day of Saptember, A.D.1930. (SEAL) <u>E.T.Holman</u> Notary Public in and for Galveston County, Texas.	
496	Witnenses at Request of Grantor. John Egert The State of Texas, I County of Galveston I Before me, E.T.Holman, A Notary Public in and for Galveston County, Texas, on this day personally appeared John Egert known to me to be the person whose name is subscribed to the foregoing instrument, and . acknowledged to me that he executed the same for the purposes and consideration therein expressed. Given under my hand and seal of office this 29 day of Saptember, A.D.1930. (SEAL) E.T.Holman Notary Public in and for Galveston County, Texas. Filed for Record October 2nd 1930, at 8:50 o'clock, A.M.	
	Witnenses at Request of Grantor. John Egert The State of Texas, County of Galveston Before me, E.T.Holman, A Notary Public in and for Galveston County, Texas, on this day personally appeared John Egert known to me to be the person whose name is subscribed to the foregoing instrument, and .acknowledged to me that he executed the same for the purposes and consideration therein expressed. Given under my hand and seal of office this 29 day of Saptember, A.D.1930. (SEAL) E.T.Holman Notary Public in and for Galveston County, Texas. Filed for Record October 2nd 1930, at 8:50 o'clock, A.M. Recorded Qctober 2nd 1930, at 11:25 o'clock, A.M.	
	Witnenses at Request of Grantor. John Egert The State of Texas, I County of Galveston I Before me, E.T.Holman, A Notary Public in and for Galveston County, Texas, on this day personally appeared John Egert known to me to be the person whose name is subscribed to the foregoing instrument, and .acknowledged to se that he executed the same for the purposes and consideration therein expressed. Given under my hand and seal of office this 29 day of Saptember, A.D.1930. (SEAL) <u>E.T.Holman</u> Notary Public in and for Galveston County, Texas. Filed for Record October 2nd 1930, at 8:50 o'clock, A.W. Recorded Qotober 2nd 1930, at 11:25 o'clock, A.M.	

. .

··· ···

'THE STATE OF TEXAS,

.

County of Galveston.

I, GERTRUDE McKENNA, County Clerk, in and for Galveston County, State of Texas, do hereby CERTIFY that the above and foregoing is a true and correct copy of A Deed

JOHN EGERT

TO

COUNTY OF GALVESTON, TEXAS

as the same appears of record in my office, in Deed Record Book No. 455 Pages Nos. 495 to 496 Inc.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the impress of the official seal of said County Court, at my office, in the City and County of Galveston, State of Texas. on A. D., 1974 this the 28th . day of August

GERTRUDE MCKENNA,

County Clerk, in and for Galveston County, Texas. .

đ.

By Gelma Boudreauf Deputy.

File No.	Sketch File 63B		
	Golveston County col Counsel Reply to Letter of Statistic Enclosed Moore		
640 bena	rol Counsel Reply to Letter of Statistic Tre Report & Moore		
Filed	March 12 19 30		
	GARRY MAURO, Com'r		
By De	uglas Howard		

Texas General Land Office

James M. Phillips General Counsel Garry Mauro Commissioner

March 12, 1990

Mr. Robert M. Moore Moore Professional Building 7511 Broadway Galveston, Texas 77554-8921

Re: Fritiofson, et al v. Alexander, et al

Dear Mr. Moore:

Commissioner Mauro referred to me your letter of February 9, 1990. Though you did not supply the commissioner with the pleadings in this case, I have reviewed the exhibits you sent to familiarize myself with the issues.

The Texas Historical Commission, Texas Water Commission, Texas Parks and Wildlife Department, Texas Department of Highways and Public Transportation, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, and the National Marine Fisheries Service have monitored the project and consulted with the Corps of Engineers and the applicant since it was proposed. At this point, their expertise and their knowledge of the project have obviously put these agencies in the best position to gauge the project's impact.

According to the materials you provided, no resource agency has objected to the lack of an EIS or a full Section 106 review process. Concerns raised by the commenting agencies appear to have been addressed to their satisfaction by placing additional requirements in the Corps of Engineers permit for this project. Specifically, the Texas Historical Commission has succeeded in protecting the undisturbed portion of the archaeological site by having Mitchell redesign the project to avoid the site and place it under a conservation easement in favor of the commission. The General Land Office applauds these and similar steps taken by the resource agencies.

I can not recommend to Commissioner Mauro that he take a position that essentially implies the Corps of Engineers acted unreasonably in this case. Based on the information you have supplied, I see insufficient justification for the General Land Office to second guess the resource agencies at this late date in the proceedings.

> Stephen F. Austin Building 1700 N. Congress Avenue Austin, Texas 78701 (512) 463-5235

Mr. Robert M. Moore March 9, 1990 Page 2

I would like to take this opportunity to clarify the situation on ownership of Eckert's Bayou. You have publicly implied that the General Land Office has relinquished title to all of Eckert's Bayou to Mitchell Development Corporation of the Southwest. You have mistakenly concluded that a land office map designating the bayou as "private" is equivalent to designating the bayou as "Mitchell's".

Mitchell claims the bayou was included in the state's grant of the west part of Galveston Island to Edward Hall and Levi Jones in 1840, which the legislature confirmed in 1854. Mitchell's claim is not exclusive of other littoral owners and is not based on any act of the current or any former land commissioner. If the state conveyed Eckert's Bayou into private hands, it was done 150 years ago to Hall and Jones.

The map in question is one among a set that our surveying division is updating. The preliminary "private" designation resulted from the informal opinion letter from an assistant attorney general being in the survey file. That letter resulted from a mineral leasing inquiry completely unrelated to the Mitchell project. The map has not been approved by the Commissioner or School Land Board, nor is it the official ownership map.

There is no basis either in the assistant attorney general's letter or from the land office map for your inference that the General Land Office or attorney general's office gave Mitchell all or any part of the bayou. Title to Eckert's Bayou has never been ajudicated to be in private hands and the General Land Office has never recognized private claims to submerged land under the Hall and Jones grant.

I hope this resolves any misunderstanding you may have had on this matter.

Sincerely

Jin Phillips General Counsel

JP/TN/car

	Markon Sketch File 63C
	Galveston County
GENERAL LAND	OFFICE October 7 19 96
GARRY MAURO COMMISSIONER	GARRY MAURO, Com'r By Douglas Howard MEMORANDUM

DATE: March 14, 1995

TO: Galveston Island File

FROM: LaNell Aston

SUBJECT: Ownership of lakes on Galveston Island

Galveston Island was subdivided by Trimble and Lindsey according to the 1837 map on file in the GLO. Some of the lots were sold to individuals and then the lots that were sold were patented.

The Republic of Texas granted the entire island to Hall & Jones and issued a patent on same November 28, 1840. Some of the lots that had been sold and patented previously were listed in the Hall & Jones patent as being excluded from the patent. These lots are colored red on the attached map. The remainder of the lots that were patented but not listed in the Hall & Jones patent as being excluded are colored in green on the attached map.

The lots that are not colored on the attached map were not sold or patented separately from the Hall & Jones patent.

It appears that the green lots were patented twice: in the patent for the individual lots and in the patent to the entire island to Hall & Jones.

The Hall and Jones patent calls for a meander call around the island. The patents for the lots call for a specific lot and division number.

It is the opinion of this office that the patents to the lots that are located on any of the interior lakes also conveyed title out of the state to that portion of the lake. That is, the patents on the red and green lots conveyed that portion of the lake.

The lots that are not colored were conveyed out of the state under the Hall & Jones patent. Since the Hall & Jones patent contains meander calls, it is the opinion of this office that the submerged lands would not have been conveyed out of the state on any tidally influenced interior body of water. The lots that are not colored on the attached map will require an easement from the GLO on any activity affecting submerged tide waters.

These conclusions were drawn from research and discussions with Spencer Reid, Senior Deputy Commissioner and Ben Thomson, Director of Surveying and Associate Commissioner.

Pg. 1 of 1



2201 Timberloch Place The Woodlands, Texas 77380 (713) 377-5700

> Pla Ha. St March 20, 1997 Galveston Filed April GARRY MAURO, Com's Ownership of Submerged Lands in Eckert Bayou

Mr. C. Bruce Smith General Land Office State of Texas Stephen F. Austin Building 1700 North Congress Avenue Austin, Texas 78701

Dear Mr. Smith:

Re:

It has come to our attention that several land owners whose property is adjacent to Eckert Bayou have received letters from the LaPorte Field Office of Texas General Land Office ("GLO") alledging that these property owners are required to obtain a permit from GLO because they have constructed structures on coastal public lands. Our records reflect that these structures are on privately owned land.

The majority of the submerged lands in Eckert Bayou are owned by The Woodlands Corporation, successor by merger to Mitchell Development Corporation of the Southwest ("TWC"). Attached for your reference is a copy of a letter dated June 1, 1987, written by Sharon Mattox of Vinson & Elkins which sets forth TWC's legal position in this matter. Also enclosed is a copy of a letter dated August 26, 1986, from the then Assistant Attorney General, Sharon Gillespie. After reviewing the evidence and the law, it was Ms. Gillespie's opinion that a court would likely reject the State's claim to Eckert Bayou in favor of TWC's private ownership interest. In North American Dredging Co. v. Jennings, 184 S.W. 287 (Tex.Civ.App.--Galveston 1916, no writ), the Court held that Offatts Bayou is privately owned even though it is submerged beneath navigable water. That Court's holding is consistent with Ms. Gillespie's opinion regarding the ownership of Eckert Bayou.

It is, therefore, our position that no land owner is required to obtain a permit from the GLO for structures that extend into Eckert Bayou because those structures are on privately owned land. If the information contained in this letter, including the enclosures, does not resolve this matter, please contact me at (713) 377-6731.

Mr. C. Bruce Smith March 20, 1997

Page 2

8

Your cooperation in resolving this matter is appreciated.

Sincerely,

Karen West A. Karen West

A. Karen Wes Counsel

AKW/djz Enclosures

1.

Galveston Lo. SK. F. 63D B.2 of 3

Counter 23694



Stephen F. Austin Building 1700 North Congress Avenue Austin, Texas 78701-1495 (512) 463-5001

County 23695

March 25, 1997

A. Karen West, Counsel The Woodlands Corporation 2201 Timberloch Place The Woodlands, Texas 77380-1181

Dear Ms. West:

Thank you for your letter of March 20, 1997, regarding ownership of submerged lands in Eckert Bayou, Galveston County, Texas.

Please note that the letter was received without the referenced enclosures. We are, however, initiating a detailed evaluation of your concern and will advise you by letter of our findings in coming weeks.

Please call me at (512) 463-5055 if you have questions or need additional information.

Sincerely,

C. Bruce Smith Director of Permitting

Galveston Co. Sk. F. 63D Pg. 3 of 3 Tex.)

ment from Mexistate, they being El Paso county laws of the Unitimportation, all knew; that deispect the cattle, ithout inspection

ted to the judge oon he granted a er, and set the ection for Novem-

general and spe-; specially to the Admit that it e application to uestration under he cattle held by nd possibly all of handled as the hat the true and e might have opor the proceeds he plaintiff, who, inlawfully acquiras inspector and ls, are bound unf office to perform e statute, are subloing so, and will rder of court, etc. low have or ever ig any false report f El Paso county, ceed against said r than as the law

ivil Statutes 1911: e power to and may e power to and may iarked or unbranded freshly marked or he fresh marks or h are about to be out of the county, ccompanied by the ntified by the pres-om the person pro-signed by him or his etc. etc. \Box

e power to and may of branded animals of les upon which the certained, which are of slaughtered, unless ientified as provided

April

MAURO

Com

Ga S

Ne

5

ton

Const

the injunction upor idence having been whis duty to do. for seizure only

e unbranded, or if rked or unbranded, that the cattle were described in the peo not deny that the illeged by plaintiff.

he brands could not

plaintiff, it is not sufficient for them to say that they did not intend to "proceed against the cattle in any other manner than as provided by law" to relieve them from the injunctive relief prayed for; for the allegations in plaintiff's petition were sufficient to authorize the court to grant the writ prayed for unless the defendants deny the material allegations thereof and show by allegations of fact under oath that the cattle were subject to seizure under the statutes. This they have

For this reason, the order granting the innot done. junction must be affirmed.

On Rehearing.

Appellants urge the well-settled principle of law that a public officer will not be enjoined from performing the duties of his oflice under a valid law, and cites many authorities.

We are of opinion that the record presents no reason for us to pass upon the validity or constitutionality of the statutes involved, nor as to whether shipments of cattle from the republic of Mexico through Texas, if stopped in transit, are subject to inspection or seizure thereunder by the inspector, because, granting that the statutes are valid and applicable to such shipments in proper cases, the plaintiff, by sworn pleading, shows that the cattle in question were not subject to seizure under any of the provisions of the statutes, and the inspector having admitted that he intended to sequestrate the cattle, if not enjoined by a court of competent jurisdiction, and in his answer failed to allege any facts which would authorize the process, in view of the plaintiff's allegations that none existed. We simply hold that there is no authority for the writ, and therefore no duty to be performed by the hide and animal inspector, and his

declaration that he intended to act without showing authority to act clearly means that he was to act outside of the statutory line of his duty, and upon the latter theory alone 0 is the opinion of the court predicated. The motion is therefore overruled.

NORTH AMERICAN DREDGING CO. et al. v. JENNINGS et al. (No. 7069.)* (Court of Civil Appeals of Texas. Galveston. T. Feb. 24, 1916. Rehearing Denied March 16, 1916.)

FISH \$==7(2)-OYSTER BEDS-GRANT BY PUB-

FISH @==7(2)-OYSTER BEDS-GRANT BY PUB-LIC AUTHORITIES-VALIDITY. Under Vernon's Sayles' Ann. Civ. St. 1914, [Irt. 3982, providing that, whenever any creek, bayou, lake, or cove is within the bounds of an original grant, the lawful occupant thereof shall have the exclusive right to use it for gath-ering, planting, or sowing oysters, although the original grant from the Republic of Texas, con-firmed by the Legislature of the state of Texas, did not give such exclusive right, the grantee

be ascertained. Having admitted that they of bayou land has the exclusive right to take oysters within the limits of his grant, the statute being a valid exercise of legislative power to the being a valid exercise of legislative power of the the force of the state by the Legislature in encouraging production of oysters.

[Ed. Note.—For other cases. see Fish, Cent. Dig. § 10; Dec. Dig. ⇔7(2).]

Appeal from District Court, Galveston County; Clay S. Briggs, Judge.

Suit for an injunction by Walter Jennings and others against the North American Dredging Company and others. Judgment for defendants, and plaintiffs appeal. Reversed and rendered.

Stewarts, of Galveston, for appellants. Frank S. Anderson, of Galveston, for appellees.

PLEASANTS, C. J. This suit was brought by appellants against appellees to enjoin them from taking oysters from that portion of Offatt's bayou, in Galveston county, which is embraced within the boundaries of a grant from the state, under which appellants hold title to land in said bayou. It is unnecessary, for the purpose of this opinion, to set out the pleadings of appellants. The defenses set up in the court below are thus summarized in appellees' brief:

"(a) That said Offatt's bayou is, and always has been, an inlet or small bay, forming a part of the tidewaters of Galveston Bay and the Gulf of Mexico, and through and into which the tide from said gulf and bay ebbs and flows. "(b) That said bayou is navigable water, and that the same is navigated, and always has been, by small sailboats and other craft; egress and ingress being had thereto from the said Galveston Bay.

and ingress being had thereto from the said Galveston Bay. "(c) That said bayou is, and always has been, a natural oyster bed, and that as many as five barrels of oysters may be found within 2,500 square feet of any position of said bayou, and that the same has always been used by the pub-lic for the purpose of fishing and digging oysters therein and therefrom. "(d) That the plaintiffs, nor either of them. have ever been granted exclusive right to fish and remove oysters therefrom."

The trial in the court below without a jury resulted in a judgment in favor of the defendants, denying the injunction asked by plaintiffs. The learned trial judge filed a written opinion, which contains the following fact findings that are sustained by the uncontroverted evidence, and which we adopt as our conclusions of fact:

"That Offatt's bayou is a navigable stream, where the tide ebbs and flows, and has always been such, and a part of the public waters of the state of Texas and the former Republic of Texas; that the oysters in said bayou are, and constitute, and have always been, natural oyster beds and reefs, and there have been no private oyster beds, or oysters planted by plain-tiffs or those under whom they claim, in said bayou, or upon the lands thereunder involved in this suit. "That Offatt's bayou is a navigable stream,

bayou, or upon the lands thereunder intere-in this suit. "I further find that from that part of said bayou, and the land thereunder involved in this suit, defendants and others have always openly and constantly, without interruption or interfer-ence on the part of any one claiming to own or occupy the lands under the waters thereof, or

For other cases see same topic and KEY-NUMBER in all Key-Numbered Digests and Indexes *Application for writ of error pending in Supreme Court.

Galveston Co. Sk. File 63 E Pg. 1 of 2

288

"I find that the plaintiffs are the owners of the land under Offatt's bayou designated in their petition, both under patent from the Republic of Texas on November 28, 1840, to said Hall and Jones, and by special act of the Legisla-ture of Texas, February 9, 1854, confirming said grant, decree of partition, and mesne conveyance thereunder."

In adopting these fact findings we understand the first finding to mean only that Offatt's bayou, being a navigable stream in which the tide ebbs and flows, is a public water of which the state has jurisdiction and control for any and all purposes except such as it may have relinquished to private individuals.

The third finding of fact by the trial court shows that the state has parted with its title to the land under said bayou involved in this suit.

It may be conceded that the trial court is correct in the legal conclusion that the grant by the sovereignty of the title to the land under navigable waters does not carry with it the grant to the exclusive right of fishing in the waters covering said grant, unless the grant expressly includes such right. The original grant under which appellants hold title does not give such right, but an act of the Legislature of this state passed in 1905 contains the following provisions:

"Whenever any creek, bayou, lake or cove shall be included within the metes and bounds of any original grant or location in this state, of any original grant or location in this state, the lawful occupant of such grant or location shall have the exclusive right to use said creek, lake, bayou or cove for gathering, planting or sowing oysters, within the metes and bounds of the official grant or patent of said land. Provid-ed that the fish and owster commissioner may ed, that the fish and oyster commissioner may ed, that the hsh and of ster commissioner may require the owner of oysters produced on such land when offered for sale to make an affidavit that such oysters were produced on his land." Vernon's Sayles' Statutes, art. 3982.

It seems to us that the language of this statute is so plain and definite that there is no room for construction. The authority of the Legislature to grant the exclusive right to the owners of the land covered by the public navigable waters of this state to take oysters therefrom or to plant oysters in said waters cannot be doubted. Jones v. Johnson, 6 Tex. Civ. App. 262, 25 S. W. 650. There is nothing in the act of which the article above quoted is a part, inconsistent with said article. On the contrary, it seems to us that granting to the owners of the land covered by navigable waters the exclusive right to gather and plant oysters in such waters subserves the manifest purpose of the act, which was to preserve and protect the natural oyster beds of this state, encourage the planting and growing, and thus increase the supply of oysters.

We think the trial court erred in denying the injunction prayed for by plaintiffs, and, the facts being undisputed, the judgment should be reversed, and judgment here ren- Langenberg Hat Company, secured a judg-

otherwise, exercised the right of fishing and dig-| dered, granting the injunction in accordance with the prayer of plaintiffs' petition, and it has been so ordered.

Reversed and rendered.

GAUSS-LANGENBERG HAT CO. v. AL-LUMS et al. (No. 67.)

(Court of Civil Appeals of Texas. Beaun Jan. 28, 1916. On Motion for Rehear-Beaumont. Jan. 28, 1916. On Motion for ing, March 2, 1916.)

1. JUDGMENT 5787 - PRIORITY BETWEEN JUDGMENTS AND CONVEYANCES-EBRONEOUS DESCRIPTION IN CONVEYANCE.

Though a deed executed and delivered be-fore the recovery of a judgment against the grantors described the property as lots 2 and 3 in block 2, instead of block 1, it conveyed the property and passed the title to the grantees; and the fact that a new deed was subsequently executed to correct the description did not change the legal status of the grantor and gran-tee, especially where the grantee took immediate possession of the land intended to be conveyed judgment lien did not attach to the land.

[Ed. Note.-For other cases, see Judgment, Cent. Dig. §§ 1361, 1363-1367; Dec. Dig.

2. PARTNERSHIP ⇐=6S(1) - CONVEYANCE TO PARTNERSHIP-LEGAL TITLE IN PARTNER. A deed to C. R. H. & Co., a firm incapable of holding title, and composed of C. R. H. and another, placed the title in C. R. H. in trust for the firm or partnership.

[Ed. Note.-For other cases, see Partnership, Cent. Dig. §§ 101-107, 109-111; Dec. Dig. 68(1).1

Appeal from District Court, Hardin Coun-

ty; L. B. Hightower, Judge. Action by J. J. Allums and another against the Gauss-Langenberg Hat Company. From a judgment for plaintiffs, defendant appeals. Affirmed.

B. L. Aycock, of Kountze, for appellant. Coe & Coe and Singleton & Nall, all of Kountze, for appellees.

MIDDLEBROOK, J. Briefly stated, this was an injunction suit brought by J. J. Allums and C. R. Hooks, composing the firm of C. R. Hooks & Co., to enjoin the sale of lots Nos. 2 and 3, in block No. 1, Williams' addition to the town of Kountze. A temporary injunction was granted, and upon final hearing the injunction was perpetuated. Hooks & Co. bought the property described on the 17th day of May, 1907, from L. G. Roberts and wife, Dora Roberts, and the deed was duly recorded. They paid \$1,500 for the lot and store building which stood upon it, and immediately went into posses-SIGN. O. the TRADUCTS, MANNER & the Same time purchased the stock of goods then in the building, and Hooks & Co. proceeded with the business and was the occupant of said building and property at the time of the bringing of the suit, still doing business there. In December, 1908, appellant, Gauss-

tes 23697

For other cases see same topic and KEY-NUMBER in all Key-Numbered Digests and Indexes

Gaiveston Co. Sk. File 63E B. 2 of 2

1

n

R



The Woodlands Corporation

2201 Timberloch Place The Woodlands, Texas 77380 (713) 377-5700

March 31, 1997

Mr. C. Bruce Smith General Land Office State of Texas Stephen F. Austin Building 1700 North Congress Avenue Austin, Texas 78701

Re: Ownership of Submerged Lands in Eckert Bayou

Dear Mr. Smith:

Attached please find the referenced enclosures which were inadvertantly omitted from my letter dated March 20, 1997.

I appreciate your attention in proceeding with my request as you have indicated. I look forward to receiving your evaluation of the above-referenced matter.

Sincerely, Koren Ubst

counter 23698

A. Karen West Counsel



AKW/djz Enclosures

file

VINSON & ELKINS

3300 FIRST CITY TOWER

HOUSTON, TEXAS 77002-6760

TELEPHONE 713 651-2222 TELEX 762146

FIRST CITY CENTRE BIG CONGRESS AVENUE AUSTIN, TEXAS 78701-2496 TELEPHONE 512 495-8400

2020 LTV CENTER 2001 ROSS AVENUE DALLAS, TEXAS 75201-2916 TELEPHONE 214 979-8600

THE WILLARD OFFICE BUILDING 1455 PENNSYLVANIA AVE. N.W. WASHINGTON, D. C. 20004-1007 TELEPHONE 202 639-6500 TELEX 69660

· . .

47 CHARLES ST. BERKELEY SQUARE LONDON W1X 7PB, ENGLAND TELEPHONE OI 441 491-7236 CABLE VINELKINS LONDON W1-TELEX 24140

June 1, 1987

Mr. C. Bruce Smith Encroachment Program Coordinator General Land Office Stephen F. Austin Building 1700 North Congress Avenue Austin, Texas 78701

Dear Mr. Smith:

By letter dated August 15, 1986, your office asserted that portions of the work proposed in Corps of Engineers Permit Number 17800 by Mitchell Development Corporation of the Southwest would affect state-owned submerged land. The work is proposed to take place in Eckert Bayou, Galveston County, Texas. Mr. G. David Baumgardner, of Mitchell Development Corporation of the Southwest, subsequently informed your office that all land proposed to be affected in Eckert Bayou was privately owned. Your office requested that Mitchell provide analysis to support this position. Mitchell Development Corporation of the Southwest has requested that we review the reported cases concerning private ownership of submerged lands on Galveston Island for the specific purpose of determining whether it must obtain authorization from your office for the work proposed by Permit Number 17800. While we have not made an examination of the chain of title, the reported cases indicate that the State of Texas does not own these submerged lands, rather, title long ago passed to private parties. Thus, all activity authorized by Corps Permit Number 17800 are to be done on privately owned lands.

Title to the property in Eckert Bayou affected by Permit Number 17800 originally passed out of Government hands by a patent from the Republic of Texas, through its President, Mirabeau B. Lamar, to Edward Hall and Levi Jones,

Golveston"Sk. F. 63 F Pg. 2 of 7 Page 2

..

which was issued on November 28, 1840. This initial patent of 18,215 acres was held void by the Supreme Court of the Republic of Texas in <u>State v. Delesdenier</u>, 7 Tex. 75 (1851). The Texas legislature, by an act dated February 8, 1854, confirmed the patent and stated that "Texas disclaims any title in and to the lands described in said patent."

The clear intent of the Jones and Hall patent was to grant title to certain submerged lands to private parties. Indeed, the proposition that the State can convey land beneath navigable waters to private parties was established as early as 1899, in a case involving land submerged beneath Offats Bayou, Galveston Island, Texas. In Baylor V. Tillebach, 49 S.W. 720 (Tex. Civ. App. - Galveston 1899, no writ), the court considered a trespass to try title suit involving a tract of land submerged beneath Offats Bayou. There, the original survey expressly called for meander of the Bay. The court held that the effect of the patent was to convey the land comprising the bed of the bayou. The court also expressly held that the sovereign could convey lands beneath navigable waters. Accord State v. Bradford, 50 S.W.2d 1065 (Tex. Supp. 1931); North American Dredging Company v. Jennings, 184 S.W. 287 (Tex. Civ. App. -Galveston 1916, no writ).

Survey calls that meander the bay, such as those contained in the Jones and Hall patent across the mouth of Eckert Bayou, clearly convey the bed of the water body inside the mouth to a private party. The Texas Supreme Court has clearly ruled on the issue of where a bay survey line is located:

> [I]n following the shoreline of a bay...the survey, when it comes to a smaller body of water or a river entering a bay, should go from headland to headland rather than up the river or smaller body of water to the limits of the tide.

Giles v. Basore, 278 S.W.2d 830, 836 (Tex. Supp. 1955). The Giles court also cited as supporting authority for this proposition Knight v. United States Land Association, 142 U.S. 161 (1891), State v. Bradford, 121 Texas 515 (1932), and Horton v. Pace, 9 Tex. 81 (1854).

Galveston Co, SK.F. G3F 房. 3 of 7 Page 3

5. 4

Once title to land has been granted in a patent, the sovereign is bound and is not entitled to disregard its grant. Courts do not look favorably on tardy arguments that a patent is invalid, or should be radically reinterpreted. In <u>United States v. Certain Tracts of Land</u>, 93 F.Supp 182 (S. D. Tex. 1950), the court was asked to determine whether private persons, or the State of Texas were to receive condemnation monies for lands submerged beneath Oyster Creek, Brazoria County, Texas. The court found that the landowners held the land under grants over one hundred years old, and that during that period the sovereign had not questioned their validity. The court noted that the grants were shown on official state maps and the state had received tax monies on the land, thereby acquiescing in the landowners' claims. The court wrote,

> Texas courts recognize the sanctity of grants and patents and do not set them aside, wholly or in part, except for grave reasons. The grants here should not be lightly regarded. If this were a suit by the State to set the grants aside, or to recover excess therein, the State would have a laboring oar. It would, without doubt, have to combat all the presumption of regularity indulged in favor of the long asserted claim and title of landowners. It would unquestionably have the burden of showing that such surveys were and are excessive.

<u>Id</u>. at 185. The federal district court clearly and correctly stated Texas law. In considering the Jones and Hall patent, which is the original grant covering the property in Eckert Bayou, the Houston Court of Civil Appeals held that title had passed to private parties, and further held that a grant by the sovereign must be upheld as though it were a controversy between private persons: "The sovereign must fully honor its valid conveyances and contracts." <u>Seaway Company v. State</u>, 375 S.W.2d 923, 929 (Tex. Civ. App. - Houston 1964, writ ref'd N.R.E.). Other reported cases considering title to submerged lands around Galveston Island have uniformly concluded that title passed to private parties. <u>E.g.</u>, <u>Chuoke v. Filipas</u>, 10 S.W.2d 807 (Tex. Civ. App. - Galveston 1928); <u>State of Texas v. Chuoke</u>, 154 F 2nd 1 (5th Cir. 1946), <u>cert. denied</u>, 329 U.S. 714 (1946).

Galveston Co. sk. F. 63F Pg. 4 of 7 Page 4

Moreover, the conveyance to private parties made by the Jones and Hall grant has long been recognized in the community. The reputation of the submerged lands at issue is plainly that it belongs to private parties. Numerous maps exist showing lot lines on the disputed portion of Eckert Bayou. Private parties have paid taxes on the land for many years.

In summary, the state has not previously litigated the ownership of these particular lots submerged beneath the waters of Eckert Bayou, but the holdings of both state and Federal courts faced with similar questions over the ownership of land granted under the Jones and Hall patent have indicated that ownership of these similarly situated lands have passed out of the state and into private parties. Therefore, we believe that the work proposed under Corps of Engineers Permit 17800 will not affect state-owned submerged land. Accordingly, we do not intend to make application for an easement for this work. Should you have any questions, please feel free to telephone me at 713-654-4598.

Very truly yours,

tarow Matter

Sharon M. Mattox Attorney for Mitchell Development Corporation of the Southwest

0559/2939 cc: Mr.-David Baumgardner MITCH5/07

Galveston Co. SKF. 63F Pg. 5 of 7



LANELL ASTON -> WLF GENERAL LANDOFFICA 11-16-89

county 23703

THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

JIM MATTOX ATTORNEY GENERAL

August 29, 1986

Mr. Spencer Reid Director, Asset Analysis & Disposition General Land Office, Room 738 Stephen F. Austin Building 1700 N. Congress Austin, Texas 78701

INTER-AGENCY MAIL

Re: Inquiry Pertaining to Ownership of Submerged Parcels of Land on West Galveston Island

10.

PASTINO ENERS

Dear Spence:

As you are aware, an informal opinion from a staff member of the Attorney General's Office is not tantamount to an Attorney General's Opinion. With this caveat, I am providing the following informal assessment regarding ownership of certain lands located on West Galveston Island, being those tracts known as Eckert's Bayou and Lake Como and a lot which appears on the Trimble and Lindsey Survey opposite Teichman's Point. This latter "upland" tract is now submerged; the former two not only are currently submerged, but also were submerged at the time of original survey.

After reviewing applicable evidence and law, I am of the opinion that the judiciary would reject the State's claims to Lake Como and Eckert's Bayou in favor of private interests. As for the "upland" lot, the mirror doctrine of erosion/accretion applies.

Galveston Co. SK. F. 63 F Pg. 6 of 7

512/175-2501

SUPREME COURT BUILDING AUSTIN, TEXAS 78711-2548

Mr. Spencer Reid Page 2 August 29, 1986

If you should desire further elaboration on this topic, please do not hesitate to contact me. I will be happy to furnish any information you may request.

It is always a pleasure to work with you.

Sincerely,

.

Sharon

SHARON GILLESPIE Assistant Attorney General

Energy Division P. O. Box 12548, Capitol Station Austin, Texas 78711-2548 (512) 463-2012

counter 23704

the set of the statement of the statement of the set of the set of the

SG:csa

Galveston Co. SK.F. 63 F Pg. 7 of 7