

Memphis, Sept. 6, 1905.

Report of Work in relocating the various Surveys in Block K, Hall County, Texas as described and set forth enclosed Field Notes and Sketch.

It appears that this work was to be done in conformity to a recent decision of the District Court of Travis County, "The State of Texas vs. J. K. Zimmermann et al" W. E. Raydale working under order of said Court, placed marked pipe at each of the outside corners of Block A, Adams, Beatty and Morrison Grant. He placed no pipe, however east of the east boundary line of Surveys Nos 19^{2^d} & 45, Block No. 1, of Postever Grant. The stone corners of the various surveys of said Block 1, placed by Jas. H. Parker, in 1890 as District Surveyor of Donley Land District, seems to have been adjudged by said Court as having the true and legal value of original corners.

I was able to fully identify very nearly all the original stone corners of the various pre-emption surveys of Block K, and of the ^{surveys} of said Block No. 1, placed by Mr. Parker.

I reached each one of the corners of these pre-emption surveys and connected with the said Parker stone corners and the pipes placed by Rays in the E.B.L of said Nos 19^{2^d} & 45, and Block 1, and I also connected with all the said pipe corners of the Block A, surveys adjoining these homestead surveys.

I find that in this part of said Block 1, that all improvements as houses, fields, orchards and fences are based for their location upon the

various Parks work, and that any change in this work would create great confusion.

I find that there is some irregularity in distances and courses among these various corners

I would be pleased to call especial attention to the fact the distance between the East boundary line of Surs Nos 19 + 25 and the West boundary line of Surs. Nos. 43 and 45 is 1852.7 Vs. I first took my course from the pipe on the E. B. L. as said surveys Nos. 19 and 45 and find that I must change that course $0^{\circ} 24'$ to connect with the stone corners above mentioned. The course among the Radstock pipes is quite uniform save on the N and W. Boundary lines of Surs. Nos 34, where I find a change of about $0^{\circ} 16'$.

Very nearly all the interested parties were present while this work was being done on the ground and seemed to be satisfied with my relocation of these presumption surveys.

Jas. E. Hamme
County Surveyor
Hall County, Tex.

Sketch "C" ~~77~~
Report of Work "C"

Relocating Preemption

Surveys

Bloch & Hall Co

4145
713
4858

Sketch "C", Hall Co.
Sketch files.

Filed Oct. 4th 1905.

John J. Ferrell
Commissioner

Counter 24791