

CONTENTS

1. Application to Surveyor
2. Field Notes
3. Application to Purchase
4. Sketch

Hardeman Co

11.

Connecting lines 1, 2, 3 & sketch.  
Filed January 29, 1884-

See No 10, Cert of Nabors <sup>Co</sup> as to  
errors, in these lines,  
~~SCHOOL LAND~~

Acres


Survey No.

Block No.

Surveyed for

ACT APRIL 15, 1905

county 25008



I, W. A. Westmoreland Surveyor  
of Wilbarger Land District do hereby  
certify that the above plat is cor-  
rect as shown by the surveys as  
they are on the ground

W. A. Westmoreland Sur  
Wilbarger Land Dist.

Filed January 29th 1884

L. N. Robinson  
Chf. Clk.

FJ

counter 25009

State of Texas

No 1.

Wilbarger Land Dist. Plat of field notes of a boundary line in Hardeman Co. T<sup>o</sup> 45" East showing the true position of Block H. of N<sup>o</sup> 1

N. W. R. Co Surveys relative other surveys adjacent to said Block Beginning at a stake in a mesquite prairie set for the N.E. Cor of Sur No 1. of Block H. of W<sup>o</sup> N. W. R. Co surveys; from which a cottonwood tree plainly marked  $\approx$  190 vs south from the south bank of prairie dog river by N 73<sup>o</sup> E 1370 vs. Said corner is also 4150 vs north 1310 vs west from



the S.E. Cor of Sur No 11. Blk 10 Thence west at a variation of Cor of Sur No 2. 1900 vs south of Prairie Dog River.

The N.E. corners of surveys six miles to the N.W. Cor south crossing Burress Creek South Bank of Burress Creek surveys Nos 12, 27, & 28.

N.W. Cor. of Sur No 28. Continue a range of high sand hills 1900 vs point 1667 vs south from the S.

bank of Prairie Dog fork of Red River. Continue west at 495 vs cross Burress Creek; at 1730 vs cross

Grosbeck Creek at 1900 vs the N.W. Cor. of said Sur No 73. at a point 900 vs north of the N bank of Grosbeck ing; exact; by actual measurement west past the N.E. corners of 121; 122; to the N.W. Cor of said Bears North 100 vs (found full of wa-




of H<sup>o</sup> T<sup>o</sup> R<sup>o</sup> Co surveys 9<sup>o</sup> 45' two miles to the N.W. from the south bank Continue west past Nos 5; 16; 15; 14; 13; & 12; of Sur. No. 12 Thence

1900 vs to a stake on the common corner of Thence west 1900 vs to the west along the north edge of to the N.E. Cor of Sur No 73 a

Thence continue surveys nos 74; 97; 98; No 122. a pond of water and in a good state of preservation) a clump of bushes on top of a sand hill by N 5<sup>o</sup> E. (also found) Continue west 1900 vs to the N.W. Cor of No 145 & S.E. of No 146. a point in a ravine from which 2 wild chimneys both marked  $\approx$  bear S 24 E 100 vs. (marked on one on plain, on the other somewhat defaced and hard to discern) Thence continue west at exactly 1506 vs corner

County 25010



Crossbeck Creek at 1900 vs  
 pass the N.W. Cor. of Sur. No 146. at  
 3800 vs the N.W. Cor. of Sur. No 169  
 Thence North at 450 vs crossing Crossbeck  
 Creek at 800 vs cross north fork of Crossbeck  
 or Lennie creek at 1900 vs the N.W. Cor. of  
 No 170. thence west at 620 vs cross Lennie  
 Creek continue west past the N.E. corner  
 of Survey 198. 204, 233, 241, 262, 277, 296,  
 315, 345, 330, 351, thirteen miles to N.E. cor  
 of Sur. No 381, 1850 vs west of the crossing  
 of a large branch. A Gypsum hillock  
 and cave brs N 31 E; 20 vs. a clump of  
 Hackberry bushes growing out of the  
 mouth of the cave brs N 51 E 20 vs (also  
 charred remains of an old Hackberry tree)  
 thence North at 900 vs cross branch at  
 1400 vs cross branch at 1900 vs N.W. Cor  
 of Sur. No 361. thence continue north at  
 1280 vs cross North fork of Crossbeck Cr.  
 at 4 miles S.E. Cor. of No 375. from which  
 a wild China tree about 20 inches in Dia.

tree N 6 W 5-00 vs. (This is the largest wild China I  
 I have ever seen. Has had a large limb about 8 in in Dia. on South side of tree cut off) thence contin  
 ue North at 237 vs cross branch at 1900 vs a point the N.E. Cor of Sur No 375  
 whence two lone post oak standing on the summit of a high hill brs N 78 W  
 200 vs. (from this point north there rises an elevated plateau covered with small  
 Shinoak bushes) thence, Beginning again at the S.E. Cor. of Sur No 375; East 5 miles  
 to the S.W. Cor of Sur. No. 271. thence North 2 miles to the N.W. Cor. of Sur No 270.  
 thence East 3800 vs to N.E. cor No 269 thence South two miles to the N.W. Cor of  
 Sur No 236 thence East 3800 vs to the N.E. Cor of Sur No 235; thence South at  
 1200 vs crossing Balders Creek at 8700 vs N.W. Cor No 202. thence East 3800 vs  
 to N.E. Cor. No 201. thence South 3800 vs to N.W. Cor No 172 thence East 3800  
 vs to N.E. Cor. No. 171 in Sand hills thence South at exactly 3420 vs crossing  
 Crossbeck Creek at 3800 vs. Common Cor. of Nos 146; 169 and 170. Variation  
 7 1/2 E.

Begin again at a stake 947 2/3 vs north 1591 vs East of the N.E.  
 Cor. of Sur No 269 in Block H of N.W. R.R. Co. surveys set for the N.E. Cor. of Sur No 202.  
 Bert. No. 774. E.L. R.R. Co. also an ell cor. of a 3 league sur for Wheeler Co.  
 from which a tripple Hackberry 2 of which are marked X brs S. 43 E 110 vs  
 a Hackberry 10 inches in Dia. brs S 26 1/2 E 171 vs another brs N 25 E 102 vs  
 (marks on bearing trees plain and easily distinguishable) thence South  
 at a variation of 11 E 1900 vs thence west 3800 vs to a stake in a  
 mesquite prairie 1036 vs South and 290 vs west of the N.E. Cor of Sur  
 No 270 of Block H. thence North 1900 vs. thence East 3800 vs to the  
 counter 25011

A

N. E. Cor of Sur No 2. The beginning point. Variation of these line  $11^{\circ}$  East. Thence South with a variation of  $11^{\circ}$  East 5700 vs to an ell cor. of a 3 league sur for Wheeler Co.  $95^{\circ}2\frac{1}{2}'$  vs South and  $207\frac{1}{10}$  vs west of the N. E. cor. of Sur No 236 of Block H. Thence east 3800 vs to an ell cor of said Wheeler Co School land. 3 small stones from which a mesquite brs  $N 5\frac{1}{2}^{\circ} E$  & vs another brs  $S 2^{\circ} W 6\frac{1}{2}'$  vs both MKD  $\equiv$  (2 stones in a good state of preservation) Thence, south 3800 vs to a stake from which a mound (stone) brs  $S 35^{\circ} E$  another mound on west end of range of mounds brs  $S 80^{\circ} W$  (the last mound a large and conspicuous one) This is the most southern S.W. cor of the 3 league Wheeler Co School land Sur and is situated  $870$  vs South and  $120$  vs west of the N. E. cor of Sur No 202 of Block H. Thence east 1951 vs to a pile of bones for the most southern S. E. cor of said 3 league Sur from which a hill brs  $N 5^{\circ} E$  another (a sand hill) with bushes on top brs  $N 53^{\circ} E$  and other brs  $N 57^{\circ} E$ .

Thence continue East 1621 vs to the S. E. cor of another survey for Wheeler Co the same being the most western S.W. cor of a sur for John H Gibson No 2. Thence North 3948.7 vs to the N.W. cor said Gibson sur. S. E. cor of said Sur of Wheeler Co School land a point on the S. bank of Prairie Dogtown fork of Red River from which a Hackberry Marked  $\times$  brs  $N 59\frac{1}{4}^{\circ} W 490$  vs.

Thence begin again at the most western S.W. cor of the said John H Gibson Sur. Thence east 228 vs to an ell cor of said Sur. Thence South 348.2 vs its most southern S.W. cor. Thence South 3451.8 vs a stake from which a small Hackberry MKD  $\equiv$  brs  $S 34^{\circ} W 8$  vs a hill brs  $S 34^{\circ} W$  another brs  $S 33^{\circ} E$ . This cor. is situated  $789\frac{1}{10}$  vs South and  $25$  vs West, of the N.W. cor of Sur No 171 of Block H. Thence east 2853.2 vs to the S. E. cor of a Wheeler Co School land Sur. The same being also the S.W. cor of Sur No 4 Cert. No. 667 for the Tyler Tap R.R. Co. Thence continue East 946.4 vs to an ell cor of said Sur. No. 4. Thence South at 1090.2 vs pass a stake set for the N.W. cor. of Sur No. 3 for same Co and S.W. cor of No. 4. aforesaid. Thence continue South at 2105 vs pass two wild China trees in a ravine MKD  $\times$  at 2709.8 vs a stake set for the S.W. cor of said Sur No 3 situated  $704\frac{1}{10}$  vs South and  $25$  vs East of the N. E. cor of Sur No 146 of Block H.

Begin again at the mouth of Grosbeck Creek on the S. bank of Prairie Dog fork of Red River. Thence down the river with its meanders  $N 85\frac{1}{2}^{\circ} E 70$  vs,  $N 75\frac{1}{4}^{\circ} E 329$  vs  $S 82\frac{1}{4}^{\circ} E 265$  vs. a forked Cott. brs  $N 72^{\circ} W 6$  vs MKD  $\times$   $S 72^{\circ} E 150$  vs. point from which one of seven Cotton Woods marked  $\times$  brs  $N 87\frac{1}{2}^{\circ} E 10$  vs one  $N 89^{\circ} E 11\frac{1}{2}$  vs one  $S 83^{\circ} E 11$  vs. Bearing trees in a good state of preservation.  $S 83\frac{1}{2}^{\circ} E 187$  vs Cott<sup>d</sup> MKD  $\times$  brs  $S 5^{\circ} W 1\frac{1}{2}$  vs  $N 5^{\circ} E 375$  vs. Thence South South  $229\frac{1}{10}$  vs to the S. E. cor of Sur No 7, Cert No 830 for the E. and R.R. R.R. Co. at a point, 380.8 vs South and 450 vs west of the N.W. cor of Sur No 28.

in Block H. about 2 miles East of Groesbeck Creek. All the above lines are run at a variation of 11° E Except those of Block H of W<sup>nd</sup> & W R R Co Survey which are found to have been made on the ground with a variation of 9° 45' E.

Surveyed from Dec 25<sup>th</sup> to 15<sup>th</sup> 1883

Frank McSherry Chain  
H. C. Ratcliffe } Carriers

J. W. J. Westmoreland Surveyor of Wilbarger Land District do hereby certify that the foregoing Survey was actually made in the field and according to law and that the limits boundaries and corners with the marks natural and artificial are truly described in the foregoing plat and field notes and that they were actually found on the ground in the position described. and that the foregoing plat and field notes are recorded recorded in my office in Book A 3 Pages 369. 370. 371 + 372

W. J. Westmoreland  
Surveyor Wilbarger Land District

Hardeman Co.  
Field notes of  
Connecting line No 1

Dated January 29<sup>th</sup> 1884  
G. M. Robinson  
Chf. Clerk.

For later connections & statement  
see file: 8.

W. VON ROSENBERG,  
Land & General Agt.,  
AUSTIN, TEXAS.

HARDEMAN Co. SK. FILE #11.

A3

Wilbarger County Plat of field notes of a Connecting line in Hardeman's variation (11° E.) Wilbarger Counties showing the true position on the ground of Block 10 of **H. & T. C. R.R. Co** Surveys Relative to Block H of **W. & N. W. R.R. Co** Surveys and other surveys Begin in Wilbarger County at the Mouth of Mauderine Creek. Thence South 18° East 1070 vs to the N.E. Cor of Sur. No 1 of said Block 10. Thence S 72° W crossing Mauderine Creek 1700 vs to the N.W. Cor of said Sur. No. 1. a Gum Elastic Tree brs 432 1/2 W 62 vs Os brs 1276 W 50 vs continue S 72° W 1900 vs to the N.W. Cor of Sur. No 10 of said Block 10 Thence S 18° E at 1900 vs to the S.W. Cor of said Sur. No 10. a Hackberry **MRD X** brs S 24° W 176 1/2 vs; at 2488 varas the S.E. Cor. of Sur. No 11 on the West ern bank of Mauderine Creek from which a Cottonwood **MRD X** brs S 4 1/2° W 9 vs. a Gum elastic brs S 48 1/2° E 12 vs. Bearing trees in a good state of preservation and plainly marked. Thence changing the variation to 9° 45' E; 7122 1/2 vs Thence North

at 2250 vs pass the S.E. Cor of Sur. No 1. of Block H. of **W. & N. W. R.R. Co** Surveys at 4450 vs the N.E. Cor said Sur. from which a plainly marked and well preserved Cottonwood, marked **X**, situated 190 vs South of the South bank of Prairie Dog fork of Red River, brs N 73° E 1370 vs.

Thence beginning again at the S.E. Cor. of Sur. No 11. in Block 10 and changing the variation back to 11° E; S 72° W 3800 vs to the S.W. Cor. of Sur. No 23. Thence S 18° E 4940 vs to the S.E. Cor.

of Sur. No 23. 50 vs North of Mauderine Cr. a Hackberry brs S 48° E 25 vs; an Elm brs S 1° W 50 vs both marked **X** Thence S 72° W four miles to S.W. Cor. No 46 Thence S 18° E 580 vs to the S.E. Cor of Sur. No 51 on bank of Mauderine Creek, an Elm plainly marked **X** brs S 18° E 8 1/4 vs. Thence S 72° W 3800 vs to the S.W. Cor. of Sur. No 60 of said Block 10 Thence N 18° W fifty seven hundred varas to the N.W. Cor. of Sur. No 62. Thence N 72° E 120 vs to a stake set for the S.W. Cor. of Sur. No 9. for the **E. & W. R.R. Co** Thence North at 687 vs the N.W. Cor. of said Sur. 290 vs South 118 vs East of the S.W. Cor. of Sur. No 24 in Block H. of **W. & N. W. R.R. Co** Surveys. Thence Begin again at the

Electric Trans. Co.



Scale 800 vs to an inch

See Connecting line of Block H. for position of Block H. to variation

W. & N. W. R.R. Co. Austin, Texas.

N.W. Cor of Sur No 62 of Block 10 the same being likewise  
the North corner of Sur No 2 for the 2<sup>d</sup> & 3<sup>d</sup> R R R R Co  
thence South at 3056 W a stake the S.W. Cor of said Sur No 2  
at 4077 W a stake in prairie the most western S.W. Cor  
of Sur. No 1. for said 2<sup>d</sup> & 3<sup>d</sup> R R R R Co then E West 73 W  
to the N.W. Cor of a Sur. in the name of Reason Banks

(There is a slight excess in the Banks Survey) also in the F. J. Cook Sur.  
a Stone set in the ground marked H. thence South with west Boundary line of  
said R. Banks Sur at 2820 W the S.W. Cor. of said Sur. and N.W. Cor of a Sur for F. J.  
Cook. at 2838 W a Stone marked W. H. set in the ground. situated  
540 W South 4<sup>th</sup> 50 W East of the N.E. Cor. of Sur. No 41. of Block H of N<sup>o</sup> 1  
N W R R Co surveys. This Stone as well as the one at the N.W. Cor of the Banks  
Sur was originally set for the corner of an illegal Sur in the name  
of W. M. Linn; and adopted as the S.W. Cor. of the F. J. Cook's Sur. and there being  
an excess in said Linn Sur, accounts for these corners being a little further  
west on the ground than they otherwise should be.

Begin again at the S.W. Cor of Sur No 24 in Block  
H of N<sup>o</sup> 1 N W R R Co surveys and changing the variation  
to 9<sup>th</sup> 45' E (see field notes of connecting line No 1) thence West at  
1900 W the S.W. Cor. of Sur No 25; the same being also the S.E.  
Cor of Sur No 30 and N.E. Cor. of Sur. No. 32. at 3800 W the S.W.  
Cor. of Sur No 30. the N.W. of 32 and the N.E. cor of No 31 (see  
field notes of Sur. No 31. and of connecting line No 1) thence  
North three miles to the N.W. Cor. of Sur. No 28 a stake  
on the N.E. point of a range of sand hills; 3630 W East of  
Grosbeck Cr. and 2395 W East of Berre Creek and 2200 W  
west of Burress Cr (see connecting line No 1)

Surveyed from Dec. 1<sup>st</sup> to 5<sup>th</sup> 1883

Frank McQueen 3 Chain  
H. C. Ratcliffe 3 men

I, W. J. Westmoreland Surveyor of Wilbarger Land District do here by certify  
that the foregoing survey was actually made in the field and according to law  
and that the limits boundaries and corners with the marks natural and artificial  
are truly described in the foregoing plat and field notes and that they were actually  
found on the ground in the position described and that the foregoing plat and  
field notes are recorded in my office in Book No 3 Pages 374 + 375

W. Westmoreland  
Surveyor of Wilbarger Land District 4



Field Notes of  
Connecting line  
No 2.

Filed January 29<sup>th</sup> 1884.

J N Robinson

Chf Clerk.

*For later connections & statement  
see folio: 8.*

4

Milbarger County

Plat and Field notes of a connecting line in Milbarger and Hardeman Co showing the true variation

Variation 8° E

of Block 16 of H and T. L. R. R. Co surveys as it actually is on the ground the position of said block relative to adjacent surveys.

Beginning at the S.E. Cor of Sec. No. 1. in said Block 16 on the North side of Buffalo Cr. from which bears 2 cuts: N 70° E 175 vs Do N 57° E 200 vs

both trees standing and in good state of preservation Thence running (as through out this sur) at a variation of 8° E; N 18° W at 750 vs the N.E. Cor. of No 4 Blk

16 a China tree S 7° E 650 vs; one of 4 Hackberry S 27° E; both trees standing. at seven miles the N.E. Cor. of Sur No 7. fr. wh. bears a cut. S 14° W Do S 25° W

Do S 28° W. all of the trees standing at 8 miles the N.E. Cor of Sec. No 8 Blk 16 at 9 miles a stake marked N.W. 9. the U.W. Cor of Sur No 9 in Block 16

of Hand T. L. R. R. Co surveys fr. wh. bears a peak N 21° E Do N 21 1/8° E Do N 22 1/2° E Do S 54° W Do S 56° W

The E.B. line of Sur No 5 of Block 10. At 26 + 60 vs the mouth of Maudersers Cr.

Begin again at the N.E. Cor of Sec No 5 of Block 10 Thence S 72° W ten miles to the N.W. Cor of Sec No 70 in said Block 16 of H and T. L. R. R. Co surveys. Situated 890 vs west and 96 vs south of the S.W. Cor. of Sur No 5-6 of Block 10. and 690 vs west and 9.6 vs south of a stake set for the N.W. Cor. of Sur. No. 1 Cert. No. 204 for the E.L. and R.R. R. Co. Va 8° E.


Begin again at the S.W. Cor. of Sur No 5-6 in Block 10 of H and T. L. R. R. Co surveys 197 vs East of the N.W. Cor of Sur No 1 cert no 204 for E L and R R R Co Thence changing the variation from 8° E to 11° E (see connecting line No 1) N 18° W at 150 vs cross the N.B. line of Sur No 70 of Block 10 at four miles the N.W. Cor of Sur No 5-9. Thence N 72° E 3800 vs to the N.E. Cor of Sur No 5-2 of Block 10 of H and T. L. R. R. Co surveys from which an Elm remains marked X bears S 100° E

Mouth of Maudersers Cr

Blk 15

Scale 3000 ft = 1 in

Block 16 of H and T. L. R. R. Co



See connecting line No 2<sup>nd</sup> also No. 1 for Relative position of Block H and  
the survey tied to said Block H.

Surveyed from Nov. 28<sup>th</sup>  
to Dec 1<sup>st</sup> 1888

Frank McShree } Chain  
Fred Ratcliffe } Men

I Wm J West more land Surveyor of Wilbarger Land District  
do here by certify that the foregoing survey was actually  
made in the field; according to law and that the limits bounda-  
ries and corners with the marks both natural and artificial  
are correctly described in the foregoing plat and field  
notes and were found on the ground in the position  
therein described. and that they are recorded in my office in Book  
No 3 page 376 & 377. Wm J West more land  
Surveyor of Wilbarger Land District

FOR REGISTER  
LORD & GENERAL AGT.  
ASTORIA, OREGON

Field Notes of,  
Connecting line  
No. 3.

Filed January 29<sup>th</sup> 1884  
D. N. Robinson  
Chf. Clerk.

For later connections & statement see  
file: 8.

5