

Statement relating to Block 27.

H & T. C. Ry Co. Dixon County.

River Sur. No. 1905. is placed on the ground to fit No. 1904 as per original calls. No 1904. is tied to original bearings on its W. line & on N. bank of Middle Concho River at which point I made a large St. Md. Whence a Pecan 20<sup>0</sup> br. S 60<sup>0</sup> E 76<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> <sup>0</sup> 2. mkd H. a Walnut snag. br. S 50<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> E 64 <sup>0</sup> 2. shows marks indistinctly, these are original bearings I place the N.W. cor. of No 1904. 130 <sup>0</sup> 2. North of this monument, and put N.E. corner of No 1905. 600 <sup>0</sup> 2 N. of N.W. cor. No 1904. and No 1906 I tie to No 1907.

placing S.W. cor. 1906. - 1352 <sup>0</sup> 2. S. of S.E. cor. of 1907. No 1907. I tie to No 1908 the original S.E. cor. No 1908, being S.W. cor. No 1907. I find S.W. corner. of No 1908. a very old St. Md. 195.2 <sup>0</sup> 2 West of S.E. cor. This St. Md. I have reason to accept as original I find an old St. Md. on S. bank of Middle Concho river 55.6 <sup>0</sup> 2. N. of S.W. cor. of No 1908. This St. Md. I am satisfied <sup>original</sup> as S.E. cor. of No 1909. J. Blossman. Courses & distances from this Md. as called for in original <sup>field notes</sup> reaches in each call a clump of young wild chinas the old trees being gone.



The S.W. corner of No 1909. I place 1344  $\pm$  W. of its S.E. cor. This corner is in a shallow hill side drain, which will account for the destruction of the original St. Md. from this corner a monument on top of a Ridge bns. N88 $^{\circ}$ W about 600  $\pm$  distant. From this corner it is exactly 1185  $\pm$  to S bank of Middle Concho River which fits original call. The N.W. corner (original) of No 1909 is gone, and I established a new corner 1344  $\pm$  North of its S.W. corner.

I put S.W. corner of No. 1910. 400  $\pm$  N of S.W. cor. of 1909. The N.W. corner of 1910 I put 83  $\pm$  N. of S. bank of the river to satisfy old call on W. line which would place N.W. corner 83  $\pm$  N. of S. bank. This makes No. 1910 excessive N & S. 500  $\pm$

No. 1911. - I put S.E. corner of No 1911. 117  $\pm$  S. of S. bank of Middle Concho River & on the W. line of No. 1910. - 200  $\pm$  S. of N.W. corner of No 1910. to satisfy a call for S. bank of said river which would place said corner 117  $\pm$  S. of the S. bank. The N.W. corner of No 1911. I put 117  $\pm$  N. of South bank, after making North line 950  $\pm$  long, to satisfy a call for river bank, This makes No 1911. excessive N & S. 2200  $\pm$

No 1912. I place on the ground.

1838.65  
1777  
53.65

8-



in same position as surveyed by C.D. Foot for preceptor<sup>d</sup> Cass in 1880. its N.E. corner is 300  $\pm$  North of high North bank of the River and 267  $\pm$  N of NW corner of No 1911. J. Miller. The NW cor. of this sur. is on N. bank.

No 1913. I tie to No 1912, putting its N.E. cor. 767  $\pm$  N. of NW cor. 1912, which satisfies original call, both as to NW corner of No 1912, and for ~~A~~ bank of the river. I put NW corner of No 1913. 1803  $\pm$  W. of its N.E. corner, from this corner it is 468  $\pm$  to the N. bank of the river which fits original call. From West line of No 1913. to E. line of No 1915. there is <sup>only</sup> 449 ~~is~~ space or a shortage of 893 vs. Nos. 1913 & 1914 conflicting to that extent.

I here give field notes of a connecting line from NW cor. of No 1913. as placed by me to S.E. corner of No 1919. where I find original foot <sup>prints</sup> ~~steps~~ of the surveys

Beginning at a stake the NW cor. of No 1913. same being 767  $\pm$  N and 1803  $\pm$  E. of NW cor. of No 1912. J. Cass. Thence West 449  $\pm$  E. line of 1914 as established and recognized by the surveyors for many years. Thence South 70 $\frac{1}{2}$   $\pm$  to a stone on the N. bank of Middle Concho river. Whence a clump of young Walnuts from old stump br. S 65 - W 27 $\frac{1}{2}$   $\pm$ . this fits call for one of the old bearings on W. line of No 1914



The other bearing - is gone by reason of  
the washing away of the river <sup>bank</sup> for Mr.  
Keyland's field book of 1886. When he  
was at work on this block shows  
that the other stump was standing  
at that time. Mr. Foote who worked  
in this region as Co. Surveyor. 20  
years ago, is said to have identi-  
fied these trees as original bearings  
by marks, and I think myself, that  
they were the original trees.

This stone being on the N. bank of the  
river and as No 1915 - calls for N.  
bank. I take it - for S.E. Cor. of No 1915 -  
Beginning at said Stone.

Thence North 1371  $\pm$  to a st. Md. for N.E.  
Cor. 1915.  $\frac{1}{2}$   $\pm$  W. of a wire fence whence  
a Mesq. 5" br. N 9 W 16.  $\frac{1}{2}$  a Do. 6" br. S 44  $\frac{1}{2}$  E 14  $\frac{1}{2}$   $\pm$   
Thence West at 779  $\pm$  st. Md. for S.W. Cor.  
15. H + J.C. Ry Co. in all 1068  $\pm$  to a st.  
Md. on E. bank of Kiawa Creek, for N.W.  
Cor. No 1915. & N.E. Cor. 1915. Whence a  
Cogan 12" br. N 80  $\frac{1}{4}$  W 18  $\frac{1}{2}$   $\pm$  a Do 5" br. N 80 E 17  $\frac{1}{2}$   $\pm$   
Thence South at 569  $\pm$  N. bank of  
Kiawa Creek, crossing creek here, at  
1097  $\pm$  old Road. at 2347  $\pm$  a st.  
Md. made by me on N. bank of  
Middle Loucho River whence a Hackberry  
10" br. S 35  $\frac{1}{2}$  W 17  $\pm$  mkd. H. This tree  
I blocked out with an axe and  
revealed the marks as plainly as



letters on a box car, & young hickberries  
from old stump br. S 42 W 16<sup>3</sup>. these  
I used  $\frac{7}{8}$ . The tree above given  
and the stump are the original  
bearings called for on E. line of Sur.  
No 1919. I made st. Md. 131 2. S. of this  
st. Md. for S.E. cor. of Sur. 1919. Whence  
a Mesg. 5' brs N 66<sup>4</sup> W 19<sup>45</sup>  $\frac{1}{2}$  a Do. 5' brs N 21<sup>4</sup> W 43<sup>5</sup>  
Connections further on South and  
West are shown in red ink on the  
sketch. The bearing trees between Sur.  
1909 and 1919. are all gone. I made a  
diligent and exhaustive search for them  
I tried hard to find some evidence  
of these trees in order to be sure  
as to where the conflict of the old  
river surveys actually occurs  
and where the original Surveyor  
made the mistake. From 1909. to up  
to and including 1912 there is an excess  
in Northings and the surveys had to  
be shifted further N. to fit the  
calls for the river bank, this does  
not tie the surveys to each other ex-  
actly as to original calls for each  
other but the river being a natural  
object, it should I think govern.

No 1913. fits its river calls exactly  
when tied to No 1912. This led me to  
believe that the error of original  
Surveyor was made between 1913



and 1914. hence I place these Surveys  
in conflict - on the sketch. The old maps  
show that Major's Creek. (now called  
Kiawa) empties into - the Cocks on  
Sur 1915. I think that there can be  
no doubt of the correct location  
of 1915. as shown on the sketch.  
its N.W. cor. calls for E. bank of  
Major's Creek. it also crosses N. bank  
at 569 1/2. and road at 1094. on  
W. line running South. all of these  
calls fit - exactly and its W. line  
is on line N. with original point  
fixed by bearings on E. line of No. 1919  
at N. bank of Middle Cocks river  
Nos. 1919 & 1920 conflict - on the ground  
651 1/2. Constructing Surveys 11, 12, 13  
14 & 15 - H & T. C. Ry. Co. according to their  
calls. Nos. 13 & 14 will cover the  
same space. The said space being  
570 acres instead of 1280 acres  
Likewise Surveys 31 & 32 will cover  
the same space. the said space  
embracing 621.8 acres instead  
of 1280 acres. Hence there is a  
shortage of 136 3/4 - acres.

San Antonio Tex.  
March 30<sup>th</sup> 1900

I Geo. M. Williams State Sur.  
do hereby certify that the above  
and foregoing state ment is

25



true and correct and that  
all courses and distances and  
corners with objects and marks  
both natural and artificial  
are truly described and set  
forth as on the ground

Given under my hand  
this Mar 3<sup>rd</sup> 1900.

Geo. M. Williams  
S. Sur.



SKETCH FILE 5.

Statement

for

Block 27.

H & Y Co. Ry Co.

Irwin County

Geo. M. Williams

- 2/4/01.

Dated March 30, 1900.

Filed Feb. 4, 1901.

Statement relating to  
return of Block 27

to H & Y Co. Ry Co.

Irwin Co.

by Geo. M. Williams

Filed

2/4/01

42

counter 27470

697  
928  
167  
782