

H. L. GEORGE

CONSULTING CIVIL ENGINEER
LICENSED STATE LAND SURVEYOR
ROOM 214 CENTRAL NATIONAL BANK BUILDING

SAN ANGELO, TEXAS

3526

October 24, 1935

RECEIVED

OCT 25 1935

REFERRED TO MAP

Mr. J. H. Walker,
Commissioner General Land Office,
Austin, Texas.

1953
21

Dear Sir:

I am enclosing herewith a sketch showing Survey No. 1148, A. D. Anderson, in Irion County, Texas, and the adjoining surveys. This sketch is a copy of the map that I made last year at the time the land was divided among the six heirs. On this map I have constructed Survey No. 1148 to contain the land to which it is entitled by virtue of its corrected field notes, and you will note that south and east of this survey is a strip of land bounded on the south by Surveys 2, 3, 4, and 5, Block 38, University Lands, Survey 1, Block 52, University Land, Survey 1164 and 1165, G. C. & S. F. R. R. Co.; on the north by A. D. Anderson Survey No. 1148, Survey No. 1154, Francisco Valdez, 1153, J. Montague, and Tom Green County School Land; on the east by Survey No. 38, T. H. Sharp; and on the west by A. D. Anderson, Survey No. 1147.

Several months ago I was in the Land Office, and discussed this situation briefly with Mr. Blucher, and at the time, because of the great amount of excess in the north and south lines of Survey No. 1148, Mr. Blucher was not willing to state, without going into the matter thoroughly, whether this would be classed as vacant land or excess land. Both Surveys 1147 and 1148 call to tie to the north line of the University. This call, however, for the east line of Survey No. 1147, is 630 varas, whereas the west line of Survey No. 1148, which is the same line, calls for only 425 varas. I do not know the reason for this difference. The actual distance I find between the north line of Survey No. 2, Block 38, University Land, and the south lines of Survey No. 1145, C. & M. R. R. Co., is 748.3 varas, which you see leaves an excess over field note call for Survey No. 1148 of 328.3 varas.

I ran sufficient lines to thoroughly establish the north lines of the University blocks, and have located the following corners on this line; the northwest corner of Survey No. 18, Block 40, the northeast corner of Surveys 2, 3, 4, and 5, Block 38, and the northeast corner of Surveys 1 and 4, Block 52. The accompanying sketch will show the courses and distances between these corners. In reading the field notes of Survey No. 1148, A. D. Anderson, I found that its most northerly north east corner calls for a stake

Write 11-25-35
Blucher

H. L. GEORGE

CONSULTING CIVIL ENGINEER
LICENSED STATE LAND SURVEYOR
ROOM 214 CENTRAL NATIONAL BANK BUILDING

SAN ANGELO, TEXAS

2.

in the south line of Survey No. 1154, Francisco Valdez, 180 varas east of the southwest corner of Survey No. 1151. The field notes of 1165, G. C. & S. F. R. R. Co., however, call for a stone mound at its northwest corner, also described as being in the south line of Survey No. 1154. I also noticed from the field notes that the locating surveyor of Surveys 1163 to 1166 inclusive, G. C. & S. F. R. Co., was the same man that had previously located Block 52, University Lands, and I naturally expected to find these G. C. & S. F. R. R. Co. Surveys to conform to the adjoining surveys in Block 52. Before locating the corners in Survey No. 1165, I therefore first located on the ground the University corners, and from them, found the northwest and northeast corners of Survey No. 1163, G. C. & S. F. R. R. Co. From the northwest corner of 1163, I ran north, and located the northwest corner of Survey No. 1165, which was marked by an old stone mound, and found the same some distance south of Survey No. 1154, and some distance east of its position as set out in the field notes. You will note also from the accompanying sketch that I have located the four corners of 1137, C. & M. R. R. Co.: 1140, L. P. Hinde, 1141, C. & M. R. R. Co., 1144, L. P. Hinde, 1143, C. & M. R. R. Co., and 1146, L. P. Hinde; also the four corners of Surveys 3 and 4, J. T. Plowman. I have also located the southwest and northeast corners of Survey No. 1154, Francisco Valdez, and the most southerly southwest and southeast corner of Tom Green County School Land. I have also located what I take to be the original northwest corner of Survey No. 1164, G. C. & S. F. R. R. Co.

I have shown courses and distances between practically all these corners just mentioned, and you may see from the enclosed sketch that I have tied in many other corners in this area, which are in direct support of the ones just mentioned. If you so desire, I will be very glad to furnish courses and distances between these corners.

This land is owned by some heirs by the name of Gibson, and the senior Mr. Gibson desires to acquire title to this land which is not already covered by another survey at the earliest possible time.

The questions I would like to submit to you are as follows: Can Mr. Gibson surrender the patent to Survey No. 1148, and take out a corrected patent to cover all the land shown on the enclosed sketch? Shall the strip of land lying between Survey No. 1154, 1151, and Tom Green County School Land on the north, and Survey No. 1165 on the south be classed as unsurveyed land, or may we extend the north line of Survey No. 1165 to reach these lines?

I have this morning discussed with Mr. Goodfellow some field notes in this same area, which he is making for corrected patents on several surveys, including Survey No. 1166, G. C. & R. R. Co.

H. L. GEORGE

CONSULTING CIVIL ENGINEER

LICENSED STATE LAND SURVEYOR

ROOM 214 CENTRAL NATIONAL BANK BUILDING

SAN ANGELO, TEXAS

3.

He informed me that there was some question about the construction of these surveys, since 1166 and 1163 are short of their original acreage when constructed from their original corners, which are still on the ground. Also he told me that, because of the fact that Survey No. 1164 had been patented on field notes submitted by J. A. Simpson, and since he had failed to find the original northeast corner of Survey No. 1164 which is still on the ground, and is south 25° 14' E 68.3 varas from the northeast corner of Survey No. 1164, according to the patent notes by Simpson, it was entirely possible that you would want to extend the north line of Survey No. 1164 eastward across Survey 1163 and 1166 from the original northwest corner of Survey No. 1164, which would make these lines conform closer to the corner established by Simpson.

I have talked to Mr. Noelke about this situation, and I believe that he will be willing to correct his patent again, and have it conform to the original corners.

I believe it is best to correct the patent of 1148 and take in all the excess, but I am doubtful about extending the north line of Survey No. 1165 northwards, ignoring the original corner at its northwest corner, because this would put excess into 1165, which could not be acquired in the Survey under the present law.

As I stated before, Mr. Gibson is very anxious to acquire absolute title to all the land inside his pasture which is not covered by senior surveys, and I will appreciate your early advice in this matter in order that I may proceed with the field notes.

As I also stated before, the enclosed sketch is not to be considered as a final construction, but I am submitting it to you at this time only because it shows all the facts concerning these corners in this area.

Thanking you for this information, I remain,

Very truly yours,

H. L. George

Licensed Land Surveyor

Copy: Harris, Harris, & Sedberry,
San Angelo, Texas.

H. L. GEORGE

CORPORATE CIVIL ENGINEER
LICENSED STATE LAND SURVEYOR
ROOM 212 CENTRAL NATIONAL BANK BUILDING

SAN ANGELO, TEXAS

Irion Co. Rolled Sketch ②

3.

He informed me that there was some question about the construction of these surveys, since 1163 and 1164 are short of their original acreage when constructed from their original corners, which are still on the ground. Also he told me that, because of the fact that Survey No. 1164 had been patented on field notes submitted by J. A. Simpson, and since he had failed to find the original northeast corner of Survey No. 1164 which is still on the ground, and is south 28° 14' E 68.3 varies from the northeast corner of Survey No. 1164, according to the patent notes by Simpson, it was entirely possible that you would want to extend the north line of Survey No. 1164 eastward across Survey 1163 and 1164 from the original northeast corner of Survey No. 1164, which would make these lines conform closer to the corner established by Simpson.

I have talked to Mr. Noelke about this situation, and I believe that he will be willing to correct his patent again, and have it conform to the original corners.

I believe it is best to correct the patent of 1163 and take in all the excess, but I am doubtful about extending the north line of Survey No. 1163 northward, ignoring the original corner at its northwest corner, because this would put excess into 1163, which could not be secured in the Survey under the present law.

As I stated before, Mr. Gibson is very anxious to acquire absolute title to all the land inside his pasture which is not covered by prior surveys, and I will appreciate your early advice in this matter in order that I may proceed with the field notes.

As I also stated before, the enclosed sketch is not to be considered as a final construction, but I am submitting it to you at this time only because it shows all the facts concerning these corners in this area.

Thanking you for this information, I remain,

Very truly yours,
Keep With

Irion County Rolled Sketch No 6.

Report Filed with above Sketch

By H. L. George

Filed. 10-25-35

counter 27498

Irion Sketch File 18
8.3 of 3