Curaga M. Twett 15/05 J.WB3-34. WB with at Burton 2979.74 Oany. te Steph Wm So meardand Eaton Smith ? JWB25. 00+24/35 2 JAV58-8 Jan 27/05. 060 Movel and Dec 15/1834 1026 534 020 W 3'12 24 NYSWYT -200 1001 4 2 WW TS TW 558 W 2 200 - September 7 W 558 W 2 W350 - OUTO 10 W-020 SE4 6 2 Pr. 012 N1968 254.6 5 Ait a B torcorri Port 2 B torcorri Proto Star 2 B Lag 2/ 8 2/2 20 400 8750, 32 0 S374W counter 28203

BEAUMONT, TEXAS, Sept', 20th, 1900.

Com'r.Gen'l Land Office, Hon.Charles Rogan,Com'r., Austin,Texas.

DeareSir:-

Can the Stephen Faton survey (calling for the Pevitot line) claim this strip of land North of this Stephen Eaton survey and South of the M. Pevitot being 246.9 varas wide and containing 88.2 acres,or is it to be considered vacant?

The same with the triangular strip North of the Wm. Smith as shown on enclosed sketch, containing 65.16 acres. Can the Wm. Smith survey hold this strip or not?

The South line of the M. Pevitot runs in the prairie, and there is absolutely no indication of any natural object, but the Stephen Eaton, Wm. Smith and Wm. Carr all have calls for natural objects to start from and the surveys close surprisingly well.

The N.E. corner of the M. Pevitot has never been disputed and the B.B.& C. Ry.Co., tract has been surveyed from this corner.

You will oblige me very much if you give me your decision in this matter as soon as possible.

Yours Very Truly,

E. Peperkon ep't. Surveyor.

counter 28204



