

W. R. Garrett,  
COUNTY SURVEYOR  
AND NOTARY PUBLIC.

A. M. STAPLES, OFFICE ASSISTANT.

232

Cuero, Texas, March 9<sup>th</sup> 1897  
Dewitt Co

Hon A J Baker

Austin Tex

Dear Sir,

There is two questions which I wish to submit to you, 1<sup>st</sup>. Is there any vacancy between the Rufus Wright survey and the Wm L Lyttle Lg. If you notice the field notes of the Rufus Wright survey calls, N49W at 1633 rs to the south boundary of the Wm L. Lyttle Lg. I then with the said boundary W at 1596 rs to the N E corner of the A B Barrier survey from which a Mesq<sup>g</sup> Ink<sup>g</sup> Vrs 588<sup>3</sup>/<sub>4</sub> W 300 rs, - I have located the W H. H. Davis & A J Whitten & A. B. Barrier, & Wm L. Lyttle Lg which can be very easily be done by bearing trees & marked pines which leaves a vacuum between them from 160 rs to 186 rs wide. The bearing tree called for at the N E con of the Barrier could not be found but the N E con can be located by distance from

W. B. Garrett,  
COUNTY SURVEYOR  
AND NOTARY PUBLIC.

A. M. STAPLES, OFFICE ASSISTANT.

Cuero, Texas, \_\_\_\_\_ 189 \_\_\_\_\_

the S & corner & also by the bearing trees at the  
NW cor. The distance from the N. E. corner  
of the Barrier to the League line is 18600  
There is no mk<sup>d</sup> trees on the line from the N  
E. corner of Barrier East, but most of the  
timber is young and could not have been  
there when the survey of Rufus Wright was  
made in 1858. Mr C. Hartman re-surveyed  
the Lytle Lg. in 1883 & all the lines are clearly  
mk<sup>d</sup> on the ground, on the enclosed plat I  
mk<sup>d</sup> the distances called for in the field  
notes of the Survey in black ink & the distances  
as they are on the ground as measured by  
me in red ink. It seems to me that the  
Surveyor who made the W. H. Davis first  
made the error & the others called for the W  
L. Lytle Lg when they were not really on  
it. The second question, Mrs Butler

counter 28612

W. R. Garrett,  
COUNTY SURVEYOR  
AND NOTARY PUBLIC.

A. M. STAPLES, OFFICE ASSISTANT.

Cuero, Texas, \_\_\_\_\_ 189

who owns the Rufus Wright had it resurveyed several years ago and the surveyor established her corner on the Lyttle line and she has all the so called vacant strip in her possession & really believes it belongs to her. Mr Brumfield made application to me to purchase it. I accepted & filed his application. When I surveyed it for him I found most all of it in Mrs Butlers pasture and she has notified me that she would apply to purchase it, as the statutes gave her a prior right for six mos. If you decide that the land is vacant Mrs Butler has a prior right for six mos. Must I return Mr Brumfields application & the fee which I charged him, an early reply will be appreciated. Respectfully, W. R. Garrett

4 3 2 3 3 6

W R Garrett

3/9/97

WR Garrett's letter 13.  
dated Mar. 9, 1897.

Karnes Co. It fills  
in re to vacancy between  
Rufus Wright & W. L. Lyt-  
tle

The Rufus Wright survey in  
its second call N49°W at 725  
vrs. calls for the Helena + York-  
town road, while at 1176 vrs is  
a call for the head of Cabeza  
Creek, at 1632 vrs. the South  
line of the W. L. Lytle survey.

We would like to hear  
from the Surveyor as to whether  
these calls on the ground were  
identified, especially the head  
of Cabeza Creek before we  
can answer as to vacancy ex-  
isting between the Lytle + Rufus  
Wright surveys

3/11/97

Ellis

3-9-97

Return his sketch

3/12/97