RECEIVED-GENERAL LAND OFFICE
AMT. REC'D \$
ENTERED BY
JUN C - 1933
FUND No. CLASS No. AMOUNT ITEM No.
<u> </u>
B 229

Uvalde, Texas, May 31st. 1933.

mound

Barnert

Hon. J. H. Walker, Austin, Texas.

Dear Sir:

Time.

Your favor of May 3rd enclosing photostatic copies of terms sketches and two surveyor's certificates duly received, for which please accept my thanks.

Following the measurements set forth on the Homeyer sketch and also in the certificate by Hector, I tried to locate the corners on the north lines of Surveys Nos. 10, 11 and 12, by beginning my measurements from the crossing of the Salado on the north line of Survey No. 10. Beginning there I ran west 2022 varas-870 varas_ 1152 varas, at this point could find no sign of corner. This point is 159.8 varas east of corner of fence recognized as the northwest corner of Survey No. 10 for over twenty years. I then went back to Salado Crossing on north line of No. 10, and ran east at 870 varas--no sign of corner, on east 1989 varas more--no sign of corner, on east 2036 varas more--no sign of corner nor does there ever appear to have been any Mesquite trees larger than two or three inches in diameter within a quarter of a mile radius.

counter 29171

called for in Hector's certificate is 49 vrs. to far S. 32° W. to fit the original S. W. of No. 220, which is a well known original corner.

2

Back to S. W. $22\frac{3}{4}$ I ran N. 32° E. 1344-142 vrs.= 1202 vrs.; this point corners on N. slope of a hill, but I could find no sign of rk. md. called for in Hector's certificate.

Thence S. 58° E. 1344 vrs. found no corner. Thence N. 32° E. 1344 vrs. found no corner. Thence N. 58° W. 647 vrs. found no corner. Thence N. 32° E. at 150 vrs. cross Salado Creek 2041 found no corner. Thence East 627 vrs. and North 380 vrs. to a point 175 vrs. East and 45 vrs. north of corner of fence above referred to as the recognized N. W. corner of Survey No. 10, Blk. M.

From these connections you will see that the point where I hegan my measurements to search for the corners of 10, 11 and 12 (the intersection of Salado Creek and North line of 10) stands at approximately its proper position from the S. W. corner of Survey No. 22³/₄ as shown by the Hector Certificate and the old sketch.

I am enclosing a blue prime of various Surveys in the locality which shows the present ownership and the location of the fences. The fences in the western part of Block **B**. have evidently been located from the iron pipe at the original N.W. of Survey No. 6, Blk. 4, I. & G.N., and the ones in the eastern part of the Block from the N.E. corner of Survey No. 1, same block. Connections over a round about way which I have made between these corners show a surplus E and W. of about 36 vrs. for the six miles and that the N.W. 6 stands about 14 vrs. south of and E. and W. line from the N.E. of No. 1.

The fences on the above sections of Blk. **B**. have been in existence for many years and should the corners as shown on the old sketch be found none of the property owners would recognize such an upheaval and radical change in their now recognized lines, as some sections would be very excessive and some very short.

counter 29172

I can assure you that no one will ever find these corners as there is absolutely no timber or even stumps of any size where they are supposed to be.

It appears to me that the reasonable and proper course would be to determine the S. E. corner of 21 from its call for the N. W. 6, I. & G. N., which would be close to the fence which has been recognized as the west lines of 21 and 10 for over twenty years.

Yours very truly,

EnBeno

Licensed Land Surveyor.

After our conversation regarding the construction of Sur. No. 22, I decided that to be absolutely sure of my of my statements regarding connection from N.E. corner of Survey No. 1, Blk. No. 4, and the N.W. corner of Survey No. 6, same block, that I had better make a direct connection between these two corners, the result of which I find as follows:

Beginning at an iron pipe stamped 1 Blk. 4 and 3 Blk. 7 the original N.E. corner of ^Survey No. 1, Thence west with fence 1910.8 vs. to iron pipe stamped 1-2, Blk. 4, the N.W. corner of Survey No. 1 and the N.E. corner of Survey No. 2, a fence runs north from this corner. On west with fence 1913.vrs. to iron pipe stamped 2-3 Blk. 4, the N.W. corner of Survey No. 2 and the N.E. corner of Survey No. 3, a fence runs north from this corner. On west with fence 1912.6 vs. an iron pipe stamped 3-4 Blk. 4, the N.W. corner of Survey No. 3, and the N.E. corner of Survey No. 4. On west with fence 1913.4 vs. to an iron pipe stamped 4-5 Blk. 4, the N.W. corner of Survey No. 4 and the N.E. corner of Survey No. 5, a fence runs north from this corner. On west with fence 1875.6 vs. corner of fence, a fence runs

Counter 29173

south, no sign of iron pipe; this corner is on low rocky hill where probably a pipe could not have been driven. On west with fence 1913.4 vs. an iron pipe stamped 6-7 Blk. 4, the N.W corner of Survey No. 6 and the N.E. corner of Survey No. 7, corner of fence which runs north bears west 8.6 vs.

You will note that I have increased the second call in the field notes of Survey No. 22 from 384 vs. to 409.6 vs. I did this on account of the south line of 22 bearing S. 89° 03' W. making a variation of 25.6 vs. from a true west line and also by so increasing this distance it makes all of the other lines of No. 22 more nearly conform to its original calls, especially the north line.

Trusting you will find everything in proper order,

I am,

Yours very truly

counter 29174

Licensed Land Surveyor.

30 Sketch File No. 30: 23; Kinney County - Benson's Report-Block, B. Filed June 6th 1983 J. H. Walker, Comm. OF Blucher File Clerk Descriptive: 13 Miles South of County Site See St File 29. 22, Benson's Report, Surs, in BIK'B Dated May, 31. 1933. Filed June, 6, 1933.

and Products and and and