

1526

CITY OF UVALDE

UVALDE, TEXAS

November 21st, 1934.

RECEIVED

NOV 22 1934

REFERRED TO MAP

Hon. J. H. Walker,
Austin, Texas.

Dear Sir:-

Replying to your favor of Nov. 17th, 1934 regarding corrected field notes of Sur. No. 12, Blk. No. 1, G. H. & H. Ry. Co., and the N. W. part of Sect. No. 22 and N. W. part of Sur. No. 14 same block, will say that apparently the draftsman who examined these notes did not have before him my letter which accompanied these field notes, in which I explained why my notes did not agree with the patented parts of these surveys.

Kindly have the draftsman read this letter, and in addition there-
to will say that at the S. W. ^{should read SE. cor.} corner of Sur. No. 22 I found an old rk. md. from which a Cedar 10" in dia. still green marked \bar{X} brs. S. $12\frac{1}{2}$ W. 29.6 vrs. a Cedar, dead, with top cut out, 12" brs. N. $49\frac{3}{4}$ E. 44 vrs., at the S. W. cor. of Sur. No. 22 found very old, large rk. md., undoubtable the original corner. Mr. Harwood was with me when I found the latter corner and he told me that he was with the surveyor who made the field notes of the East part of Sur. No. 22 and that he did not find this corner.

I take it that these two corners fix the South line of Surs. Nos. 22, 13, 12, 627, etc., and explains why I now locate the S. W. of 12 and S. W. of 629, 340 vrs. further South than I did in 1927, all of which was explained in my letter of Oct. 12th, 1934, since the only other original corner, the S. W. of 12, has been destroyed, and that the original N. W. of 629 fixes the Western boundary of 629, 12, 11, etc.

In 1927 I found the original N. W. corner of Sur. No. 629, same being at that time a rk. md. with the original bearing trees as follows:
A L. O. 10" N. 26 W. 17.7 vrs. do. 10" S. $27\frac{1}{2}$ E. 60 vrs. both marked \bar{X} .

The only discrepancy between the notes enclosed and my work in 1927 not heretofore explained is the difference in distance from the N. W. 629 to the West line of Blk. 7, T. & G. N., my former corrected notes for 629 giving this distance as 1981 vrs., whereas, the latter survey shows this distance to be 1900 vrs. I noticed this while in the field and rechecked the measurement from the S. W. cor. of 12 to the S. E. of 22 and found same correct as shown on plat enclosed.

Counter 29178

3232
Sketch File No. ~~32~~

Kinney County

Sur. E. R. Benson - Stat.

G. H. & H. BIK, 1

Filed Nov. 22nd 1934

J. H. Walker, Comm.

Atty.

File Clerk

Descriptive:

12 mi. North

Benson's letter of explanation, in re location of surs. in BIK 1, GH & HRR Co.

Dated Nov. 21, 1934.

Filed Nov. 22, 1934.

Counter 29180