

Hon. O. Kennedy
Grassbeck Tex.

Dear sir;

Referring to your favor of 25th Inst enclosing copy of field notes & surveyor's certificate relating to survey in name of Samuel Halloway in Limestone County, will say that if the surveyor's theory is correct, that there is 60 rods distance between the north line of the W. M. Williams & the south line of the Wolf then the original field notes of which you enclose a copy would still be in conflict, they calling for 126 rods between said 2 surveys. They also appear to conflict with surveys just west of the Wolf & north of the Williams. So in the event there is a vacancy corrected field notes would be necessary to remove all conflict with older & ptd surveys. This office can not act, however, nor state whether or not patent can issue, on the surveyor's certificate submitted, for the reason that same does not show how he locates the corners & establishes the lines of the older surveys & does not show whether or not there is anything on the ground which will entitle the

Kiger and Wolf surveys to embrace
any excess. Before definite advice
could be given, this office would
have to have a full report of the
surveyor's work on the ground, showing
the correct locations of all surrounding
surveys, how their corners are found
or established, lines actually run & a
sketch showing his construction of the
surveys affected; then if a vacancy ex-
ists on the ground, corrected f. n. &
will be necessary embracing whatever
the original bounds of this survey
includes, respecting, of course, all
older & ptd surveys -

Enclosed please find copy of f. n. &
surveyor's certificate returned -
Rob. 1-13 24 $\frac{1}{2}$ 2/29/08 J. W. Hawkins

Wacker -
If this claim can be patented on cor. f. n.
showing part free of conflict, then let this
letter go on to word. If any legal obstacle
then this letter relating to correction of
f. n. is unnecessary.

Return Copy FN & Sur Cert

Robt - 13 24 1/2

Limestone Co

Wm. C. Smith
Mason, Va.

2/29/08.

counter 30205