

Will do a General Practice in all the Courts and Departments.

F. M. Newman

Have access to Complete Abstract of Land Titles for McCulloch County.

LAWYER.



Brady, McCulloch County, Texas, June 4, 1904.

Hon. J. J. Terrell,
Austin, Texas.

Dear Sir:- When I was at Austin last week the draftsman who was compiling new map of McCulloch County called my attention to certain matters and asked me to investigate and write him etc.

I inclose map made by Mr. Dod that I think shows the correct position of the surveys in vicinity of the C. A. Linke surveys 331 & 332, and Werstofer surveys 329 & 330, few miles south west from Brady, and being one of the places that was troubling the draftsman. There are some seeming inconsistencies in the way the tracts are fitted, but there is in Land Office a long, full and tolerably clear explanation of matter made by Mr. Dod several years ago. The Office accepted his statement at the time. The inclosed map shows same (or practically same) as the plat certified to by Doty and which the draftsman had before him when I was talking to him.

In regard to the conflict between Fisher & Miller survey 2226 and the Geo. Dietz survey 172, I am not able to say exactly where the real conflict is, because I cannot find that any surveyor has worked matter out fully. Both tracts belong to same party and party has not gone to expense of having matter fully investigated by surveyors. I am inclined to think that the loss should be on survey 2226. The inclosed map squares 172 & 173 from the corners on the east and leaves no conflict with 2226. This was probably done because no corners could be found on west and the surveys were put in by course and distance from east--which is generally correct rule to go by, and may be in this case--and will be if nothing can be found to shove west side down. The only thing I find to indicate that shortage in the ~~well known~~ line between the well known corner at S.W. cor. of Bader survey 168 (which is one mile south of S.W. cor. surv. 2226) and the well known corner at N.E. cor. of Boetys survey 175 (which should be one mile north of N.W. cor. of 173) ~~is~~ ~~indicated~~ ~~in~~ ~~the~~ ~~map~~ ~~is~~ ~~the~~ ~~fact~~ ~~that~~ ~~Mr.~~ ~~Dod~~ ~~(on~~ ~~the~~ ~~plat~~ ~~book~~ ~~I~~ ~~have~~ ~~and~~ ~~that~~ ~~you~~ ~~had~~ ~~for~~ ~~some~~ ~~time)~~ ~~indicates~~ ~~that~~ ~~the~~ ~~branch~~ ~~that~~ ~~is~~ ~~called~~ ~~for~~ ~~to~~ ~~be~~ ~~410~~ ~~varas~~ ~~south~~ ~~of~~ ~~N.W.~~ ~~cor.~~ ~~of~~ ~~2226~~ ~~(this~~ ~~call~~ ~~is~~ ~~in~~ ~~field~~ ~~notes~~ ~~for~~ ~~survey~~ ~~138,~~ ~~west~~ ~~of~~ ~~2226)~~ ~~and~~ ~~1490~~ ~~varas~~ ~~north~~ ~~of~~ ~~S.W.~~ ~~cor.~~ ~~of~~ ~~2226,~~ ~~ix~~ ~~to~~ ~~be~~ ~~600~~ ~~or~~ ~~700~~ ~~varas~~ ~~north~~ ~~of~~ ~~S.W.~~ ~~cor.~~ ~~of~~ ~~2226.~~ ~~I~~ ~~h~~ ~~ave~~ ~~not~~ ~~the~~ ~~exact~~ ~~distance.~~ ~~If~~ ~~no~~ ~~corners~~ ~~can~~ ~~be~~ ~~found~~ ~~between~~ ~~said~~ ~~two~~ ~~old~~ ~~and~~ ~~well~~ ~~established~~ ~~corners~~ ~~(S.W. 168 & N.E. 175)~~ ~~it~~ ~~is~~ ~~probable~~ ~~that~~ ~~the~~ ~~shortage~~ ~~should~~ ~~be~~ ~~placed~~ ~~as~~ ~~on~~ ~~the~~ ~~inclosed~~ ~~map--and~~ ~~Mr.~~ ~~Dod~~ ~~ran~~ ~~the~~ ~~line~~ ~~and~~ ~~found~~ ~~no~~ ~~corners.~~ ~~It~~ ~~would~~ ~~probably~~ ~~be~~ ~~nearer~~ ~~right,~~ ~~till~~ ~~more~~ ~~information~~ ~~is~~ ~~had,~~ ~~to~~ ~~map~~ ~~the~~ ~~surveys~~ ~~as~~ ~~on~~ ~~inclosed~~ ~~map.~~ ~~The~~ ~~Dietz~~ ~~&~~ ~~Boetys~~ ~~will~~ ~~not~~ ~~corner~~ ~~together,~~ ~~but~~ ~~there~~ ~~is~~ ~~error~~ ~~somewhere,~~ ~~and~~ ~~that~~ ~~will~~ ~~make~~ ~~shortage~~ ~~in~~ ~~the~~ ~~junior~~ ~~survey--68.~~

Return map when you are through with it--I am in no hurry to have it back. It has been made some time and there ~~are~~ some mistakes in it; but it is correct in most cases. Is not same map I sent you with plat book.

counter 31182

F. M. Newman

Newman letter

