

County Surveyor's Office.
Menardville June 18th 1892.

Hon. W. L. Mc. Gaughey.
Cass. Gen. Land Office Austin Tex.

11
703

Dear Sir.

In reply to your favor

of the 21st ult., I beg to state that the mistakes in calls and connections of the County line field notes is a matter of which I am entirely ignorant, as I have been kept outside of the whole business from the beginning; to me it appears all to have been an entire private affair; but I can give a few connections which I had chance to make from records in Menard County and they might be of use in establishing the Co. Line, they are as follows:
Beginning at SW cor. N^o 5 - G. H. & S. A. Dy. Co. Survey (L.O. 18° S 79 3/4 E 12 m. old. 18° N 17 W 54 1/2 m. dead) thence N 4 Miles & 1220 m. and E 100 m. to the 27th Ml Post; thence 124 m E and 118 m N to SW cor. N^o 125 - G. L. & S. L. Dy. Co. Survey (prod. L. Stamp 15th m. N 10 W 26 m. L.O. 6° S 21 E 26 m.) - (from NE cor. N^o 7 - St. B & K. (L.O. - N 58 E W 35 m. L.O. - S 57 W 21 m)) to 15th Ml Post is 3816 m N and 250 m W, and the fact is, that the N line of N^o 39-100-99-^{will} & 1 be S of the Co. Line in stead of N of it; (from NW cor. N^o 10 - Edward Hirschmann (L.O. 10° S 74 E 40 m. L.O. 6° N 12 E 26 m.) to the 12th Ml Post is 5 Ml & 200 m. N and 466 m W; (from NE cor. Julius Franke (old Menard p.m. Dist. stump 6 m. N 11 W 28 m. Mpt. stump S 26 E 45 m.) to 7th Ml Post is 5 Ml & 334 m. N and 890 m W; (from SW cor. N^o 132 - St. C. Farmer (L.O. ^{copy to him} L.O. ^{old} Giddings Mark ¹¹ m. N 82 3/4 W 29 m. being 232 m E and 745 m S of 57th Ml Post on Mc. Culloch Co. ^W Line) to NE cor. of Menard Co. is 8 Ml & 871 m N and 252 m W; - With reference to your request of running a line from N^o 1516 E cor. to Mc. Culloch Co line I consulted our County Judge who said that he thought it to be a State affair, and that the State should bear the expense; he did not think Commissioners Court would be interested; he would however refer your letter to that Court at their

next session. I am already in possession of some connections which perhaps might answer in case no appropriations can be made for the purpose to make a correct map; they are line all run by myself. beginning at $N=15^{\circ}16'$ Mary Williams E cor. ($L=24^{\circ}N 68^{\circ}E 4$ or $L=16^{\circ}N 83^{\circ}E 4$ or m) thence to S E cor. $N=3-A. B. \& M$ ($L=57^{\circ}W 32$ or $L=60^{\circ}W 32$ or m) is 3 ml & 645 or E and 480 or S ; Thence to NW cor. $N=10$ Edward Himmernann is 5992 or E and 203 or S ; from said NW cor. $N=10$ to NE cor. $N=36$ Julius Franke is 5 ml & 424 or E and 97 or S ; from this NE cor. $N=36$ to NW cor. $N=47$ Julius Hauptmann ($L=18^{\circ}N 69^{\circ}E 30$ or m) is 3 ml & 239 or S and 3700 or E ; from said NW cor. $N=47$ to S W cor. $N=132$ H. E. Kessner is 4 ml & 1715 or E and 259 or S . There seems to be another discrepancy in the Co. line field notes and my running, that is from NE cor. Meunard Co. to the 7 ml post, which I cannot explain.

I will have the meanders ^{of the Meunard river from the point to McHavett} ready in a few days to send you, the last 6 1/2 miles were taken with Telescope and Stadia, the greatest possible error will come within one per cent.

Respectfully

Arthur Striegler.

C. S. M. Co.

Sketch File No. 13

Menard Co.

Striegler's Letter Dates

June 18, 1892

Filed J. H. Walker, Comm 133

File Cl

Descriptive:

Other dated June 18/92

counter 31507

1919
13300
1900
14200
15815
1905