

Surveyors Office Menardville Sept. 4th 1892.

Hon. W^m Bramlette.
Chief Clerk. Gen. Land Office
Austin Texas

25716

Dear Sir.

I was aware of

the error in Easting you refer to in your letter of the 27th ult before I sent my report to your Office and have repeatedly looked over my Memoranda to discover the cause but come to the same result, if a mistake it must ^{have} been made on the ground and I scarcely believe it possible because I carried the one end of the Chain myself and it was done carefully; part of it might come from the low variation used by the old Surveyors on the 3 Miles South from N.E. cor. N^o 36 - Julius Frank to the N.E. cor. Friedrich Bauman it would be about $\frac{1}{2}$ or $\frac{3}{4}$ and this would reduce the error to about 300^{rs}, now I know that from the 7th Ml post to the 14th Ml post the excess on Co. Line will average 7⁵/₁₆ ^{rs} to the mile and counting the same on the first 7 Mls would reduce the error to 250^{rs}, I think the fault must be in the Co. Line because Mr. Gardner Surveyor of Casco Co. has told me that the average excess in the Colony Surveys in his Casco County will amount to about 30^{rs} to the Ml and it looks a little strange that N^os 1419 & 1420 - situated N of the 3^d Mile should be 15^{rs} short (according to the figures given in the Co. Line field notes) I will this week go out and examine the connection to Thos. Webb, Sth cor. and see if the 60^{rs} is correct, if found otherwise, will report it to you immediately and the other line down South from the NW

bc

of the San Jaba river from the old Spanish Fort to
Fort Mc Kassett which I have spent a great deal
of labor on; I thought them necessary to make
the new Map correct on N side of river and
would like to know if the Office has not
received this also; they were not certified to
because the last 6 Miles from Mc Kassett I
did not measure with the chain but on the
stadia, consequently not strictly lawful but
they are nevertheless true.

Respectfully

Arthur Striegler.

C. S. M. & S. Co's

fc²

Sketch File No. 15

Menard County

Striegler's letter dated
Sep. 4, 1892.

Filed 198

J. H. Walker, Comm.

File Clerk

Descriptive: Letter dated

Sept 4th 1892

counter 31512

bc³

Julius Kaufmann

cor. N^o 47 to the Co. Line, there will be a change to run it over again this fall, when I begin surveying Mr. Wilhelm's Partene if you can wait so long; it would be my choice to have the map correct, or keep the old as it is. Whatever information you should want from me, I will be glad to furnish when at home, but also willing if needed to go on the ground in my leisure and examine the courses of different lines and in some cases by stepping on level open ground can also ascertain distance of 1-2 miles close enough for Mapping, this would be no expense except my own work which I do not count. I have also informed Mr. Maddox Bro. & Anderson that I cannot certify to their scetch as it is, as long as the Land Office has not approved of the Co. Line, and the scetch shows too many conflicts which I know are not all the ground, There can be no conflict north of 1/2 Sec 11 E. Tinneman nor between N^o 32 - A. B. & M. and 101 - B. S. & F. except perhaps north of the Co. line, N^o 151 E. L. & S. and the Survey on the north, - the conflict you allude to is probably that between N^o 7 - A. B. & M. and N^o 38 N of it, which I sent you a report of about the last week of July, it was an Application & Field notes of a Survey of 77 ac, made for Mr. Mierstras containing connection explaining the cause of the conflict, I also sent \$1⁰⁰ filing fee which I would like to know if it has been received. Short^{ly} before that I sent the Meanders counter 73008

Menard Co. Sb. File #15