

Nº1. 5181

The Attorney General of Texas

September 23, 1982

MARK WHITE Attorney General

Supreme Court Building P. O. Box 12548 Austin, TX. 78711- 2548 512/475-2501 Telex 910/874-1367 Telecopier 512/475-0266

1607 Main St., Suite 1400 Dallas, TX. 75201-4709 214/742-8944

4824 Alberta Ave., Suite 160 El Paso, TX. 79905-2793 915/533-3484

1220 Dallas Ave., Suite 202 Houston, TX. 77002-6986 713/650-0666

806 Broadway, Suite 312 Lubbock, TX. 79401-3479 806/747-5238

4309 N. Tenth, Suite B McAllen, TX. 78501-1685 512/682-4547

200 Main Plaza, Suite 400 San Antonio, TX. 78205-2797 512/225-4191

An Equal Opportunity/ Affirmative Action Employer Mrs. Lorene Ramsey Secretary to Chief Clerk General Land Office Stephen F. Austin Bldg. Austin, Texas 78701

> Re: Case Nos. 6700 and 6705 District Court Midland County, Texas

Dear Lorene:

JMR:gh

Encl.

I enclose herewith copies of the original files in the District Clerk's records of Midland County, Texas, of the two above-mentioned causes of action about which you recently asked.

Yours very truly, liardion

J. Milton Richardson Assistant Attorney General

counter 31587

Telex \$10/874-130 Telescoler Stoff Stoff



counter 31588

NO. 6700

KELLY STEPHENS ET AL.

٧.

T. M. MARKHAM ET AL.

IN THE 70TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF MIDLAND COUNTY, TEXAS



PLAINTIFFS' ORIGINAL PETITION

FARL A. BROWN P. O. Box, Dallas, Texas ROSS MADOLE F. C. Box, Dallas, Texas R. T. WILKINSON, JR. F. O. Box, Dallas, Texas STUBBEMAN, MCRAE & SEALY McClintic Bldg., Midland, Texas ATTORNEYS FOR FLAINTIFFS

counter 31589

FTTID: 5-18-52 (10)

10. 6700

WELLY STEPEERS FT AL. V. T. M. MARKEAN FT AL. IN THE FOTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COUNT OF MIDLAND COUNTY, TEXAS

0

PLAINTIPPS' ORIGINAL PETITION

TO SAID HONORABLY COURT:

Now come KELLY STEPHENS, who resides in Johnson County, Texas, and MADHOLIA PETROLEUM COMPANY, a private corporation duly incorporated under and by virtue of the laws of the State of Texas, with its principal office and place of business at Ballas, in Ballas County, Texas, plaintiffs, complaining of T. M. MARKMAM, who resides in Harris County, Texas; BASCON GILPS, CONMISSIOFER OF THE OWNERAL LAND OPPICE OF THE STATE OF TEXAS, who resides in Travis County, Texas, and PRICE DANIEL, ATTORNEY OFMERAL OF THE STATE OF TEXAS, who resides in Travis County, Texas, defendants, and for cause of action plaintiffs will respectfully show the Court the following:

1.

On the 18th day of February, 1952, plaintiffs were and still are the owners in fee simple of the following described land situated in Kidland County, Texas, to-wit:

TRACT AI

Being 16.93 acres situated in Midland County, Texas, about 14 miles North 69° East from Midland, the county seat, and further described as follows, to-vit;

SECINFIED at a point in a road from which a 12 inch mesquite stump bears North 35° 30' West 133 varas and a windmill bears North 78° 38' West 637.5 varas, being the point fixed by Byron L. Simpson for the Southwest Corner of the tract of

counter 31590

land denominated by the Commissioner of the General Land Office as Tract No. 3 under M.A. 43004 in the name of James C. Wilson, Jr.;

THENCE North 14° 54' West 925 varaa;

THENCE Forth 75" 06' East 102 varaat

THENCE South 15" OS' East 923 varas;

THENCE South 75" 06' West 105 varas to the place of beginning, and being the tract denominated Tract No. 5 by the Journissioner of the General Land Office in an award dated February 18, 1952, made to James C. Wilson, Jr. under file M.A. 43004.

TRACT B:

Being 38.35 acres situated in Midland County, Texas, about 134 miles Forth 77° East from Hidland, the county seat, and further described as follows, to-wit:

BEGINNING at the point fixed by Byron L. Simpson for the Northeast Corner of a tract of land denominated by the Commissioner of the General Land Office as Tract No. 4 under N.A. 43004 in the name of James C. Wilson, Jr.;

THENCE South 15° 05' East 1888.4 varas;

THENCE South 75° OS! West 118.3 varas;

THENCE North 14" 52' West 1888 varas;

THENCE North 74° 55' East 111.1 varas to the place of beginning, and being the tract denominated Tract No. 4 by the Commissioner of the General Land Office in an award dated February 18, 1982, made to James C. Wilson, Jr. under file N.A. 43004.

TRACT C:

Soing 17.61 scres situated in Midland County, Texas, about 14 miles North 68° East from Midland, the county seat, and further described as follows:

BROINFIED at the point fixed by Byron L. Simpson for the Northeast Corner of the trast of land denominated by the Commissioner of the General Land Office as Tract No. 15 under M. A. 43004 in the name of James C. Wilson, Jr.;

THEACK South 15" OB! East 989.9 varas;

THENCK South. 75" 06' West 102 varaat

TRENCE North 14" 54' West 989.6 varas;

THENCE North 74° 55' East 98.9 varas to the place of beginning and being the tract denominated Tract No. 15 by the Commissioner of the General Land Office in an award dated February 18, 1957, made to James C. Wilson, Jr. under file M.A. 43004.

Counter 31591

On the said 18th day of February, 1952, plaintiffs were in possession of such premises, and the defendants unlawfully entered upon and dispossessed them of such premises and withheld from plaintiffs the possession thereof.

3.

If further pleading be necessary, plaintiffs allegs and will show the Court that the Commissioner of the General Land Office of the State of Texas, purporting to act under the Act of June 19, 1939, being Chapter 3, Acts of the 46th Legislature of the State of Texas, Regular Session, made an award to T. M. Markham, assignee of James G. Wilson, Jr., of the area described in Tracts A, B, and C above, which attempted award to T. M. Markham is wholly void and without any force and effect because the land included in said award was not vacant and unsurveyed land and was not subject to disposition under the above Act, and had been theretofore duly and legally patented by the State of Texas and included in senior patents which are valid and subsisting, and said award constitutes a cloud on the title of plaintiffs herein.

WHEREFORE, plaintiffs pray that Bassess Giles, Commissioner of the General Land Office of the State of Texas, and Price Daniel, Attorney General of the State of Texas, each be served with a certified copy of this petition as provided by the above Act of June 19, 1939; that T. M. Markham be cited to appear and answer herein as required by law; that plaintiffs have title to and possession of the above-described tracts of land and that the above-mentioned

counter 31592

2.

award be removed as a cloud on the title of plaintiffs to such tracts; and that plaintiffs have judgment for costs of suit and such other and further relief as they may be entitled to.

.

FARL A. BROWN P. O. Box 900 Dallas, Texas

ROSS MADOLE F. O. Box 900 Dallas, Texas

R. T. WILKINSON, JR. P. O. Box 900 Dallas, Texas

STUBBEMAN, MORAE & SEALY

By Hamilton E. McRae

NeClintie Building Midland, Texas

.... 4

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

Counter 31593

THE STATE OF TEXAS, COUNTY OF MIDLAND.

10

n'

I, Lucille Johnson, Clerk of the District Court of Midland County, Texas, do hereby certify that the above and foregoing is a true and correct copy of Plaintiff's Original Petition filed on the 15th day of May, A. D. 1952 at 2:00 o'clock, P.M., in cause No. 6700, styled KELLY STEPHENS, ET AL, PLAINTIPPS VS. T. M. MARKHAM, ET AL, DEFENDANTS, as same appears on file in this office among the papers in said cause.

Given under my hand and seal of office this 15th day of May, A. D. 1952.

> Lucille Johnson, Clerk District Court, Midland County, Texas By, Slauthe Mokelfs. Deputy

> > Counter 31594

10. 6700

VE. IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF NIDLAND COURTY, TELAS 2. M. MARKHAM, ET AL.

> ORIGINAL ANSWER OF THE DEFENDANT, T. M: MARKHAM

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:

11.11.12

Come now T. M. Markham, one of the defendants in the above captioned cause, and especially shows:

I. He denies each allegation of fact contained in plaintiffet petition.

He pleads not guilty to the wrongs and trospasses charged in plaintiffs petition.

H. Crocke

Henry H. (Brooks 1401 Capital National Bank Building Austin, Texas

Attorney for Defendant, T. M.

No. 6700

ð.

õ

KELLY STEPHENS, ET AL

v.

I T. M. MARKHAM, ET AL

IN THE 70TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF MIDLAND COUNTY, TEXAS

ORIGINAL ANSWER OF DEFENDANTS, BASCOM GILES AND PRICE DANIEL

Now come Bascom Giles, Commissioner of the General Land Office of the State of Texas, and Price Daniel, Attorney General of the State of Texas, in their official capacities, two of the defendants in the aboveentitled and numbered cause, for and in behalf of the State of Texas and the Permanent Free School Fund of Texas, and file their original answer to the original petition heretofore filed by plaintiffs Kelly Stephens and Magnolia Petroleum Company, and would respectfully show the following:

I

Defendants deny all and singular the allegations contained in plaintiffs' original petition.

II

Defendants plead that they are not guilty of the wrongs, charges, and trespasses alleged in plaintiffs' original petition.

WHEREFORE, defendants pray that plaintiffs take

counter 31596

nothing by their suit and that defendants go hence with their costs and for such other and further relief, general and special, to which defendants may be entitled.

N'.

PRICE DANIEL Attorney General of Texas

na esse P. Luton, Jr. ssistant Attorney General

Attorneys for Bascom Giles and Price Daniel, Defendants

Counter 31597

HENRY H. BRODES ATTORNEY AT LAW 100 CANTAL NATIONAL BARK BURLING AUSTIN, TEXAB

September 3, 1952

Miss Lucille Johnson District Clerk, Midland County Midland, Texas

Re: No. 6,684 - C. A. McClintic, et al vs. T. M. Markham, et al, in the District Court of Midland County, Texas

> No. 6,700 - Kelly Stephens, et al vs. T. M. Markham, et al, in the District Court of Midland County, Texas

> No. 5,705 - Stanton Brunson, et al vs. T. M. Markham, et al, in the District Court of Midland County, Texas

Dear Madam:

I enclose herewith disclaimers which you will please file in each of the above captioned cases.

Hinry H. Brooka Yours truly,

1

· · , •

HHB: MWY

cc- The Attorney General of Texas Attention: Mr. Jesse P. Luton, Jr. State Capitol Austin, Texas

cc- Messrs. Perkins, German, Mims & Bell Attention: Mr. Kelly Bell Allen Building Midland, Texas

oc-Messrs. Stubbeman, McRae & Sealy Attention: Mr. Hamilton E. McRae McClintic Building Midland, Texas

cc-Messrs. Turner, Rodgers, Winn, Scurlock & Terry Attention: Mr. George S. Terry 1010 Republic Bank Bldg. Dallas, Texes

IN THE DISTRICT, COURT OF KELLY STEPHENS, ET AL 的法规的 MIDLAND COUNTY, TEXAS 1 VS. 70TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT T. M. MARKHAM, ET AL

NO. 6,700

DISCLAIMER OF THE DEFENDANT, T. M. MARKHAM

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:

LOF

Comes now T. M. Markham, one of the defendants in the above captioned cause, and disclaims all right, title and interest to the land, and all interest in land, described in plaintiff's petition herein, and respectfully prays, that he be discharged as a party defendant, and that no costs be adjudged or taxed against him, and for such further relief as he may be entitled to in the premises.

Enter Bate Ling agen Henry H. Brooks 1401 Capibal Nat'l Bank Bldg. Austin, Texas 255 ¥ - 185 A.25 E over Mittorney for Defendant, 臣田 . M. Markham

Counter 31599

CIVIL DOCKET

CASE No. 6700

	Number of Case	STYLE OF CASE	ATTORNEYS	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILINC		
				AND OF ACTION	Month	Day	Yest
	6700	KELLY STEPFENS ET AL	STUBBEMAN, MCRAE & Plaintiff.	SUIT CONTESTING	May	15	52
		VS.	SEALY	VACANCY			
	PEE BOOK Vol. Page				Jury Demanded by		
	Vol. Page				Jury Fee, 8		
	Date		Defendent.		Paid by		
	Date of Ordom		ORDERS OF COURT	MINUTE BOOK	PROCESS		
				Vol. Page			
0							
				-			
0		1					
		ana a san ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang a					

NO. 6705

IN THE DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE 70TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF MIDIAND COUNTY, T E X A S

in in the second

PLAE:TIFFS' ORIGINAL PETITIC:

STANTON HRUNSON, LT AL FLAINTIFFS

VS.

T. M. MARIGHAM, ST AL

DEFENDANTS

LAW OFFICES OF PERKINS, GERMAN, MIMS AND BELL ALLEN BUILDING MIDLAND, TEXAS

Counter 31601

NO. 6705

00

STANTON ERUNSON, ET AL	I	IN THE DISTRICT COURT
FLAINTIFFS	I	IN AND FOR
¥5.	I	THE 70TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
T. M. MARKHAM, ET AL,	I	OF
DEFENDANTS	ł	MIDLAND COUNTY, TEXAS

PLAINTIFF. ORIGINAL FETITION

Come now Stanton Brunson, a resident of Lubbock County, Texas; Sohio Petroleum Company, a corporation organized under the laws of the State of Chic, with a permit to do business in the State of Texas, and with an office at Houston, Harris County, Texas; and The Standard Oil Company (Ohio), a corporation organized under the laws of the State of Ohio, with a permit to do business in the State of Texas, and with an office at Houston, Harris County, Texas, herei after called Plaintiffs, each complaining of T. M. Markham, a resident of Harris County, Texas; Bascom Giles, Commissioner of the General Land Office of the State of Texas, a resident of Travis County, Texas; The Honorable Price Daniel, Attorney General of the State of Texas, a resident of Travis County, Texas; Magnolia Petroleum Company, a corporation organized under the laws of the State of Texas, with an office at Dollas, Toxos; M. H. O'Daniel, a resident of Coahoma, Howard County, Texast E. 1. O'Daniel, a resident of Coahoma, Howard County, Texas: O. D. O'Daniel, a resident of Coahoma, Howard County, Texas; Udonia Bass and husband, A. C. Bass, residonts of Coahoma, Howard County, Texas; Mary E. Brown and husband, J. E. Brown, residents of Coshera, Neward County, Texas; Amy Echols and hushand, Lercy LeRcia, mail and the of Commons, Heward County, Toxas; G. E. Eurist, in the control dierce County, Washington; R. M. Burk, a realistic of the active of County, Washington; R. L. York, a reasoned of Control, Hidland County, Texas; Edwin W. Pauley, a resident of los Angeles, Les Angeles County, California;

Counter 31602

Claude L. Cameron, a resident of Los Angeles, Los Angeles County, California; Donald R. MacPherson, a resident of Los Angeles, Los Angeles County, California; William R. Pagen, a resident of Los Angeles, Los Angeles County, California; E. E. Pogelson, a resident of Dallas, Dallas County, Texas; George Noland Drilling Company, Inc., of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma, hereinafter called defendants, and for cause of action against such defendants, plaintiffs would show the Court as follows, to-wit;

00

· 00

I.

Plaintiffs would show the Court that on or about the 1st day of January, 1952, they were lawfully solid and possessed of the following described tract of land, holding and claiming the same in fee simple, to-wit:

> Said land is situated in Midland County, about 15-1/2 miles South 77° East from Midland, the county seat, and is described by motes and bounds as follows, to-wit: Beginning at a point in the East line of Susannah Wright Survey No. 4, Block No. 37, Township 2 South; for the S. W. corner of this Survey, and the N. W. corner of S. F. 15182;

Thence with the East line of said Survey No. 4, and the East line of Susannah Wright Survey No. 3, Block 37, Township 2 South, N 15: 19! W at 143.0 varas pass a set stone in a scattered rock mound for the original N. E. corner of said Survey No. 4 and the original S. E. Corner of said Survey No. 3, in all 1919.0 varas to the S. W. corner of S. F. 19183, and the N. W. corner of this Survey;

Thence with the South line of said S. F. 15183, N 74* 29t E 113.3 varas to a point in the West line of Survey No. 31, Block 36, Township 2 South, T. & P. Ry. Co. for the N. E. corner of this Survey, and the S. E. corner of S. F. 15183;

S. F. 15183; Thence with the Mest line of said Survey No. 31, and the West lines of Surveys L2 and L3, S 15* 05' E at 1.6 varas pass the S. W. corner of said Survey No. 31 and the N. W. corner of said Survey No. L2, at 1917.3 varas pass the S. W. corner of said Survey No. L2 and the N. W. corner of said Survey No. L3, in all 1920.0 varas to the S. E. corner of this Survey, and the N. F. corner of S. F. 15182; Thence S 75* 02' W 105.5 varas to the place of beginning; the unid tract being of artis described as Tract Number 16 in the report of Dynon Simpson, approved in the General Land Office on February 18, 1912, and in General Land Office File Number N. L3 06;

Counter 31603

except that the plaintiffs, Selie Letreless Company and Standard Oil Company (Ohie) are the essence in determinible for simple of the oil, gas and mineral lensehold estate in and to only that pertion of the above described tract which lies merth of the extension westward of the line between the north half of said Section 42, Eleck 36, Township 2 South, and the south half of said Section.

II.

That on the 1st day of January, A. D. 1952, the dofondants, T. M. Markham, Bascom Giles and Price Daniel, unlawfully entored on said premises and ejected plaintiffs therefrom, and unlawfully withheld from plaintiffs the pessession thereof.

III.

If such further pleading be necessary, plaintiffs would show the Court that on the 18th day of February, 1952, the Honorable Bascon Giles, Commissioner of the General Land Office, purporting to act under the Act of June 19, 1939, made an award to the defendant, T. M. Markham, assignee of James C. Wilson, Jr., of the above described land, which sites ted award to the said T.M. Markham was at its inception and is not shally void and without any force or effect for the following reasons:

> (a) Because the land included in said award was wholly within the bounds of loss previously titled and patented.

(b) Because the upplication made in the above proceeding, bearing Land Office File Number MA L300k, and upon which the said award wis based, failed to name as respondents or interested parties, persons owning and claiming an interest in the land alleged-ly covered by said upplication, and said award and the land adjoining sare, to-write Cletion 52, Elock 36, Touriship 2 South, and the Cletion 52, Elock 36, Touriship 2 South, and the Cletion 52, Elock 36, Touriship 2 South, and the Cletion 52, Elock 36, Touriship 2 South, and the Cletion 52, Elock 36, Touriship 2 South, and the Cletion 52, Elock Reilway Company Survey, Mi Date County, Toxie; the said parties not so named coming and claiming interests as follows:

-2-

North Half of South Half of Section 12:

G. E. Karlen - 61/128 of 0/8 We wing Interest (hereinafter referred to as W. I.);

00

Edwin W. Pauley and R. I. York - 1/16 of 7/8 Ovorriding Royalty Interest (hereinafter referred to as O. R. I.); G. E. Karlen and R. N. Burk - 1/128 of 8/8 O. R. I; Edwin W. Pauley - 897/3200 of 8/8 O. R. I; Claude L. Cameron - 1/50 of 8/8 O. R. I; Donald R. MacPherson - 1/100 of 8/8 O. R. I;

William R. Pager. - 1/100 of 8/8 0. R. I;

South Half of South Half of Section 12:

Edwin W. Pauley and R. L. York - 1/16 of 7/8 O. R. I;

E. E. Fogelson and George Moland Drilling Company, Inc., 105/128 of 8/8 W. I.

Susannah Wright Survey No. 3:

M. H. O'Daniel - 1/8 of 1/8 Royalty Interest (hereinafter referred to as R. I.);

E. T. O'Daniel - 7/40 of 1/8 R. I;

0. D. O'Daniel - 7/60 of 1/8 R. I;

Udonia Bass and husband, A. C. Fass - 7/10 of 1/8 R. I;

Mary E. Brown and husband, J. F. Brown - 7/10 of 1/8 R. I;

Any Echols and husband, Lorey tohole - 7/10 of 1/8 R. I; and none of the foregoing parties had any hotic exhibit over of any character of the filing of said application or of the survey conducted pursuant thereto, or of any hearing held by the Commissioner of the General Land Office on said application, or of the decision therein, and, therefore, the same is whelly void. Such purported award having been spread on the public records of this State, it constitutes a cloud on the title of the effective.

Flaintiffs allow that the definition Momelia Petroleum Company, M. H. O'Daviel, E. T. O'Daviel, O. F. O'Daviel, Udenia Bass and husband, A. C. Bass, Mary J. Brown and husband, J. E. Brown, Any Echols and husband, Leroy Echols, G. F. Karlen, R. M. Burk, R. L. York, Edwin W. Pauley, Cloude 1. Cameron, Donald R.

-4-

MacPherson, William R. Fagen, E. E. Fogelsen and George Neland Drilling Company, Inc., are claiming an interest in lands adjoining the above described Tract 16, and by reason of such fact, they are proper and necessary parties to an adjudication of the controversy herein.

WHEREFORE, plaintiffs pray that the defendants and each of them be cited to answer this petition; and that the Henorable Baseom Giles, Commissioner of the General Land Office and the Henorable Price Daniel, Attorney General of the State of Texas, each be served with certified copies of this petition, as provided by sub-section j of Article 5221c, Section 6, of the Revised Statutes of Texas; that the purperted award made by the Commissioner of the General Land Office of the above described land be removed as a cloud on the title of these plaintiffs, and that the plaintiffs have judgment for the title and possession of the above premises, for cests of suit and for such other and further relief as they may be entitled to receive.

PERKINS, GERMAN, MINS AND BELL 100 Allen Building Midland, Toxas Kelly

Counter 31606

ATTORNEY'S FOR THE PLAINTIFFS

THE STATE OF TEXAS COUNTY OF MIDLAND I, MADGE B. WALLIS, Clerk of the Midland County, Texas, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy. - Court of GIVEN UNDER MY HAND AND SEAL OF SAID COURT this _20_ day of _ tember A.D., 19 82 MADGE B. WALLIS, District Clerk by ____ Jean HAII Dep.

HO. 6705

STANTON BRUNSON, BT AL I IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF VS. I MIDLAND COUNTY, TEXAS T. M. MAREHAM, ET AL I 70TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

> ORIGINAL ANSWER OF THE DEFENDANT, T. M. MARKHAM

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:

Comes now T. M. Markham, one of the defendants in the above captioned cause, and especially shows:

I.

He denies each allegation of fact contained in plaintiffst petition.

II.

He pleads not guilty to the wrongs and trespasses charged. in plaintiffst petition.

1401 Capival National Bank Building Austin, Texas

Attorney for defendant, T. M. Markham

counter 31607

No. 6705

T. N. MARKHAM ET AL. IN THE T. M. MARKHAM ET AL. IN THE TOTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF MIDLAND COUNTY, TEXAS

ORIGINAL ANSWER OF DEPENDANT MAGNOLIA PETROLEUM COMPANY

Now comes MAGNOLIA PETROLEUM COMPANY, a defendant in the above-entitled and -numbered cause, and for answer herein pleads as follows:

1.

As shown by plaintiffs' original petition, this defendent is made a party to this suit upon the allegations of Paragraph IV of plaintiffs! petition which alleges that this defendant claims an interest in lands adjoining the tract of land described in plaintiffs' petition by reason of which it is a proper and necessary party to an adjudication of this case. This defendant alleges that the East boundary line of Survey 4, Block 37, Township 2 South, T. & P. R.R. Company, Midland County, Texas, is a common line with the West boundary line of Survey 43, Block 36, Township 2 South, T. & P. R.R. Company, Midland County, Texas, and that the East boundary line of Survey 3, Block 37, Township 2 South, T. & P. R. R. Compan", Midland County, Texas, is a common line with the West boundary line of Survey 42, Block 36, Township 2 South, T. & P. R.R. Company, Midland County, Texas, and that no vacant and unsurveyed land exists between said sections.

2.

For further answer herein, if further answer be required, this defendant adopts the allegations of Paragraph III of plaintiffs' original petition.

WHEREFORE, this defendant prays that judgment be entered, that the tract of land described in plaintiffs' petition is not vacant and unsurveyed land, and further that the purported award made by the Commissioner of the General Land Office as set forth in Paragraph III of plaintiffs' original petition is wholly void, and defendant prays for such other and further relief as it may be entitled to receive.

00

EARL A. BROWN P. O. Box 900 Dallas, Texas

00

ROSS MADOLE P. O. Box 900 Dallas, Texas

R. T. WILKINSON, JR. P. O. Box 900 Dallas, Texas

STUBBEMAN? MCRAE & SEALY

Hamilton E. McRae 5 (By 🖉

McClintic Building Midland, Texas

Attorneys for Defendant Magnolia Petroleum Company

Page 2

No. 6705

i

(8)

STANTON BRUNSON ET AL.

2-

RTW:DH.

110011/12

T. M. MARKHAN ET AL.

11-21-2

٧.

70TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COUNT OF MIDLAND COUNTY, TEXAS

THE

Strange 5

IN

JOINDER BY DEFENDANT MAGNOLIA PETROLEUM COMPANY IN THE MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT FILED BY PLAINTIFPS, STANTON BRUNSON, SOHIO PETROLEUM COMPANY, AND THE STANDARD OIL COMPANY (OHIO)

Now comes MAGNOLIA PETROLEUM COMPANY, a defendant in the above-entitled and -numbered cause, and hereby joins in the motion for summary judgment herein filed by plaintiffs, Stanton Brunson, Sohio Petroleum Company, and The Standard Oil Company (Ohio), and adopts the allegations of said motion.

WHEREFORE, defendant prays for such summary judgment.

EARL A. BROWN P. O. Box 900 Dallas, Texas

ROSS MADOLE P. O. Box 900 Dallas, Texas

R. T. WILKINSON, JR. P. O. Box 900 Dallas, Texas

STUBBEMAN NORAE & SEALY

-0 By D nullas Hamilton

McClintic Building Midland, Texas

Attorneys for Defendant Magnolia Petroleum Company

2.5

STANTON BRUNSON, ET AL, Plaintiffs V. T. M. MARKHAM, ET AL,

Defendants

IN THE 70TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF MIDLAND COUNTY, TEXAS

FIRST AMENDED ORIGINAL ANSWER OF DEFENDANTS BASCOM GILES AND PRICE DANIEL

No. 6705

ð.

ł

ğ

Now come Bascom Giles, Commissioner of the General Land Office of the State of Texas, and Price Daniel, Attorney General of the State of Texas, in their official capacities, two of the defendants in the above-entitled and numbered cause, for and in behalf of the State of Texas and the Permanent Free School Fund of Texas, and file their first amended original answer to the original petition heretofore filed by plaintiffs herein, and would respectfully show the following:

I

Defendants deny all and singular the allegations contained in plaintiffs! original petition.

II

Defendants plead that they are not guilty of the wrongs, charges, and trespasses alleged in plaintiffs' original petition.

III

Defendants, for and in behalf of the State of Texas and the Permanent Free School Fund of the State of

Counter 31611

Texas, by way of counterclaim and cross-action would show the Court the following:

That on or about the 1st day of January, 1952, the State of Texas was lawfully seized and possessed or entitled to possession, for the benefit of the Permanent Free School Fund of Texas, of all the lands described in paragraph 1 of plaintiffs' original petition herein, holding and claiming the same in fee simple.

1000

That on the 1st day of January; 1952, plaintiffs and those defendants other than T. M. Markham, Bascom Giles, and Price Daniel, all of which plaintiffs and defendants are herein designated as cross-defendants, unlawfully entered on said premises and ejected the State of Texas therefrom and unlawfully withhold from the State of Texas the possession thereof.

WHEREFORE, defendants pray that plaintiffs take nothing by their suit and that these defendants go hence with their costs; and defendants further pray that the State of Texas have judgment against cross-defendants for the title and possession of the above premises, for costs of suit, and for such other and further relief as defendants and the State of Texas may be entitled to receive.

> PRICE DANIEL Attorney General of Texas

sistant. Attorney Gener

Attorneys for Defendants Bascom Giles and Price Daniel

IN THE DISTRICT COURT Į 12:37 STATION ERUNSON, ET AL ÷ OF ł PLAINTIFFS 570 MIDLAND COUNTY, TEXAS Ì V3. IN AND YOR ĩ To No MARKRAM, ET AL 12 3 「「「 THE TOTH JUDICIAL DISTRICE : I DEFENDANTS te Sei ? Cort. NOTICE

10. 6705

TO: Henry Breeks, Anstin, Texas, Atterney of Record for the Defendant, T. M. Markham.

花をかいろ

Flease take notice that the plaintiffs, Stanton Brunson, Schie Fetrolson Company and The Standard Oil Company (Ohio), have this day filed a motion for summary judgment against T. M. Martham, a copy of which is employed herewith, and the Judge of the above Honorable Court has fixed the 23rd day of June, 1952, at 2:00 e'clock P. Me, in the District Court Room of Midland County, Texas, as the time and place at which said motion shall be heard.

Copies of the motion and this notice have this day been sent to the attorneys of record for all of the other defendants in the case.

PERKINS, GERMAN, MIMS AND EELL 100 Allen Building, Midland, Texas

10.5

8

ATTORNEYS FOR THE PLAINTIFFS

3/3



NOTION FOR SUMMER JUDGHENT 4 series

ON P

186

59

CEPTEN CONTENT &

Come now Stanton Brunson, Sohie Petroleus Company and The Standard Oil Company (Ohile), plaintiffs in the above cause, and make this their motion for a summary judgment against T. M. Markham, one of the defendants herein, the grounds of such motion being as follows:

· I. The state of the

The eleins of the above named defendant arise out of and are based wholly upon an award to said defendant, as assignes of Janes C, Wilson, Jr., made on the 18th day of February, 1952, by the defendents Basoom Giles, Commissioner of the General Land Office, purporting to ant under the so-called Act of June 19, 1939 (Article 51210, Revised Civil Statutes of Texas) in a proceeding identified in the General Land Office. as Mi h300h; the said sward being based upon the application made in the said proceeding, a true copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibits "A". These plaintiffs allege that under the requirements of the above statute it was mandatory that all parties interested in the premises described in the petition herein or lands adjoining or overlapping should have been made parties respondent to the Land Office proceeding, and should have had notice of the filing of the application and of the subsequent proc coedings therein; that as amatter of fact the said application failed to name for to give notice to the following persons, owning and elaising ine terests in Section h2, Elock 36, Township 2 South and the Susannah Wright Survey No. 3 in Elock 37, Township 2 South, T. & P. Ry. Co. Survey, Mide Land County, Texas:

counter 31614

North Half of South Half of Section 12:

C. E. Carlen = 61/128 of 8/8 Working Interest (bereinsfter referred to as W. I.);

Earlin H. Pauley and R. L. York = 1/16 of 7/8 Overriding Reyalty Interest (hereinafter referred to as 0, R. I.);
G. E. Karlen and R. M. Burk = 3/128 of 8/8 0. R. I;
Echrin W. Pauley = 897/3200 of 8/8 0. R. I;
Clande L. Cameron = 1/50 of 8/8 0. R. I;
Donald R. MacPherson = 1/10 of 8/8 0. R. I;
William R. Pagen = 1/100 of 8/8 0. R. I;
South Half of South Half of Section 42;

Edwin W. Pauley and R. L. York = 1/16 of 7/8 D. E. If E. E. Fogelson and George Woland Drilling Company, Inc. 105/128 of 8/8 W. I.

1 Susannah Wright Survey No. 3:

M. H. O'Daniel = 1/8 of 1/8 Royalty Interest (bareinsfter referred to as E. I.);
E. T. O'Daniel = 7/40 of 1/8 E. I;
O. D. O'Daniel = 7/40 of 1/8 E. I;
Udomia Bass and husband, A. C. Bass = 7/40 of 1/8 E. I;
Hary E. Brown and husband, J. E. Brown = 7/40 of 1/8 R. I;

Any Echols and husband, Leroy Echols - 7/40 of 1/8 R. I; and none of the foregoing parties had any notice whatever of any charabter of the filing of said application or of the survey conducted pursuant thereto or of any hearing held by the Commissioner of the Cemeral Land Office on said application, or the decision therein, and, therefore, the same is wholly void.

II.

Twenty days from the commencement of the action have empireda

III.

There is attached hereto as Exhibit "B" asupporting affidamvit setting forth the ownership of the above parties.

IV.

The pleadings, together with the Exhibits hereto show that

-2-

there is no genuine issue as to any material fact, and that these plains tiffs, the moving parties, are entitled to judgment against the defendant, T. M. Markham, as a matter of law, for the title and possession of the premises described in the petition, and cancelling and holding void the estard made by the General Land Office in the above proceeding. WHEREFORE, premises considered, plaintiffs pray for such the

18.

summary Judgment;

PERKINS, GERMAN, MIMS AND BELL 100 Allen Building Midland, Texas

ATTORNEYS FOR THE PLAINTIFFS

-3-

APPLICATION TO LEASE

(Applicant)

THETCHTER OF THE ODITIONAL LAND OFFICE, AUSTIN, TRIASI

becally mply to lease the following described area, believed to be im to the public free school fund, under the provisions of an ast approv

1939, and other lass relating to the leasing of unsurveyed sphool lands a

the said trapt of land is situated in Midland, Reagan, Martin and Olaspenda

towney and is bounded as follows, towits

M.O.S.

ha the Borth by the Center line of the T. & P. By. Co. 50 mile reserve. On the East by the Nest lines of the Nest tier of Sections in Block 36 Tounships I. South, 2 South, 3 South, 4 South and 5 South, T. & P. By. Co. Surveys, as patented.

in the South by the South line of the T. & P. By. Co. 80 mile reserve.

On the West by the East lines of the East tier of Sections in Elock 37 Teamships 1 South, 3 South, h South and 5 South, 7. 4 P. Ry. Co. Survey as patented and the East lines of the East tier of surveys in Elock 37, Township 2 South as patented.

3. The names and addresses of all owners or claimants of said land, or any interest there is, and of leases of every character thereon, adjoining, overlapping or including the said and, as ascertained from the records of the General Land Office, Austin, Texas, from the office of the County Clark and the tax rolls of Midland, Reagan, Martin and Glasscock Counties, and from fasts known to applicant, are as follows:

Minelair Oil & Cas Coos McClintie Eldge, Midland, Texas 5. B.Exrrell, Stanton, Texas Cibles Survice Oil Co., Permian Eldg., Midland, Texas

Midland, Texas Tidemater Oil Co., McClintie Bldg., Paul Mahargas, 909 W. Louisiana St., Midland, Texas Minhle Oil & Ref, Cos Midland Tower,

Midland, Texas Sun Oil Co., Nidland Tewer, Midland, Texas. Bra Jno. B. Thomas, McClintic Eldg. . billips PetroLeum Co., Permian Bldg. -Leland Davidson, Wilkinson Foster Eldg." -Leland Davidson, Wilkinson Foster Eng. " Seaboard Oil Co., Petrolaum Eldg. " Jass, H. Snowden, 750 W. 5, Ft.Warth, Teras Allen Lake, Midland, Texas Weiner & Fryer, Ft. Worth Natl. Eldg., Ft. Werth, Texas. Ted Weiner, Ft.Worth Natl. Eldg. Ft. Worth, Tex. Gulf Oil Corp., Wilkinson Foster Eldg., Wilkinson Foster Eldg.,

Midland, Texas Amerada Petroleum Co., McClintic Eldg.,

Midland, Texas. Ohio Oil Co., Midland Tower Eldg., Midland, Texas.

E. E. Fogalson, Merchantile Bank Bldg.,

Dallas, Texas. York & Harper, 1st Natl.Bank Bldg., Midland,

Texas. Frankel Bros., Houston, Texas. Jno. Barnett, 1301 W. Indiana, Midland, Texas. American General Oil Co., Midland Tower, Midland, Texas.

-1-

19915

EXHIBIT "A"

Andrew Paskins, 1511 W. Missouri, Engrew Fastins, 1511 W. Elssouri, Hidland, Tuins
C. A. McClintic, McClintic Eldg., Midlands, K. S. Boone, Midland, Terms
W. A. Hutchisch, 311 W. C., Midland, Tours, Mary B. Judkins, Midland, Terms
Leenard Protor, 1305 W. Mall, Midland, Terms, W. M. Schrock, Wilkinsen Foster Eldg., Midland, Terms Midland, Texas W. L. Files, Midland, Texas W. L. Files, Midland, Texas
Mable M. Fileyd, Midland, Texas
J. J. Perkins, McClintis Eldg., Midland, 1
M. S. Boome, c/o J. J. Perkins, McClintis Eldg., Midland, Texas
Jos E. Calverley, Oarden City, Texas.
S. E. Hlack, Comenche, Texas
W. H. Lane, Carden City, Texas
C. J. Bryans, Garden City, Texas
X. B. Cox, Garden City, Texas
X. B. Cox, Garden City, Texas
A. D. Neal Est., Eig Springs, Texas
P. F. Willians, Garden City, Texas
E. Milde, Garden City, Texas
E. B. Wilde, Garden City, Texas
M. E. Willians, Carden City, Texas Towns

194

1. 6. 6

Yanns & Facifie Land Co., Let Eatl. B 1dg., Dallas.
C. E. Mallison, Permian Eldg., Midland, Toras
Elandia Oil Pred. Co., McClintie Eldg., Midland, Teras
Stanolind Oil & Gas Co., 300 W. Illinois, Midland, Teras
J. E. Floyd, Midland, Teras
Mark Harvey, Permian Eldg., Midland, Teras
Maind Oil Co., Walkinson Foster Eldg., Midland, Teras
Manid Oil Co., Silkinson Foster Eldg., Midland, Teras
Maind Oil Co., Silkinson Foster Eldg., Midland, Teras
Maind Oil Co., McClintic Eldg., Midland, Teras
Mained Oil Co., McClintic Eldg., Midland, Teras
M. J. Schnton, Teras
M. J. Schnton, Teras
M. J. Silans, """
Paris Inforough, Loraine, Teras
M. S. Stewart, """
M. S. Stewart, """
M. Clark, """ Susie E. Suydar, H. C. Heal Est., Kelly Stephens, . ---L. Hashburns, Bobby Campbell, -Migh Diron; Panl K. Jones, J. W. Driver, Kermit, Texas Mrs. J. R. Ragsdale, Stanton, Texas W. K. Estes, K. H. O'Daniel, Hugh Dimon, -H. A. Mashburn, Eula Caspar, ŝ 18 H. A. Lowe, J. P. Hilger, .

I do not have any knowledge of any claimants other than those listed.

S/ James C. Wilson, Jr. Applicant Jas. C. Wilson, Jr. Applicant 501 Sinclair Eldge, Ft. Worth, Texas.

Sworn to and subscribed before me this the 22nd day of January, A. Des 1951; S/ Anne Watson (Anne Watson)

I, Max R. Schumann, Jr., County Surveyor of Midland County, Texas, and as if Custodian of the Surveyor's Records, hereby certify that the foregoing application was filed for record on the 23rd day of January, 1951, at 8:05 o'clock A. M., and recorded in Vol. 14, page 129, in Surveyors Records Midland County, Texas.

S/ Max A. Schumann, Jr. Deputy County Surveyor, Midland County, Texas

-2-

Ann Watson

EXHIBIT "A"



AFFIDAVIT

THE STATE OF TELAS

In support of the motion of plaintiffs, Stanton Branson, Sobie Petroleum Company and The Standard Oil Company (Chie), for summary judgment in the above entitled and numbered cause, the undersigned affiers makes this affidavit and thereby on eath states the following:

I am over 21 years of age, of sound mind, have never beam convicted of any crime or offense, and have personal knowledge of every statement herein made;

I an attorney of record for the plaintiffs in the above entitled and numbered cause, and I am authorized to make this affidavite

Prior to the filing of the above suit, I examined the records of the Office of the County Clark of Midland County, Texas, and can state on my own personal knowledge that the records of such county dise close that the following named parties own and claim interests in Section h2, Elock 36, Township 2 South, and the Susannah Wright Survey No. 3, in Elock 37, Township 2 South, T. & P. Ry. Co. Survey, Midland County, Texas, as follows:

North Half of South Half of Section 42:

C. E. Karlen ~ 61/128 of 8/8 Working Interest (hereinafter, referred to as W. I.);

Edwin W. Fauley and R. L. York - 1/16 of 7/8 Overriding Royalty Interest (hereinafter referred to as O. R. I.);

41 11 814

C. E. Karlen and R. M. Burk = 3/128 of 8/8 C. R. Is-

EXHIBIT "B"

Edwin W. Famley = 897/3200 of 8/8 C. R. I; Clande L. Cameron = 1/15 of 8/8 C. R. I; Donald R. MacPherson = 1/100 of 8/8 C. R. I; William R. Fagan = 1/100 of 8/8 C. R. I; <u>South Half of South Half of Section h2:</u> Edwin W. Fauley and R. L. York = 1/16 of 7/8 C. R. I; E. E. Fogelson and George Noland Drilling Company, Inc. = 105/128 of 8/8 W. I.

Susannah Wright Survey No. 3:

M. H. O'Daniel = 1/8 of 1/8 Royalty Interest (hereinafterreferred to as R. I.);

E. T. O'Daniel = 7/40 cf 1/8 R. I;

0. D. O'Deniel - 7/10 of 1/8 R. I

Udomia Bass and husband, A. C. Bass - 7/40 of 1/8 R, Ij

Mary E. Brown and husband, J. E. Brown - 7/10 of 1/8 R. I;

Any Echols and husband, Leroy Echels + 7/40 of 1/8 R. I; and that the premises described in the petition herein adjoin and over lap and/or are included in the land known as Section 42 and Sugarpah Wright Survey No. 3, identified above. See attached Erhibit *C*.

Relly

SUBSCHIEED AND SMORN TO BEFORE ME this 9th day of June, 1952, to certify which witness my hand and seal of office.

upply Notaty Texas.

counter 31620

(SEAL)

刻注

-2-

EXHIBIT "B"





counter 31622

			CIVIL DOCKET					6705		
A sugar a state of the	Number	STYLE OF CASE	ATTORNEYS	KIND OF ACTION		NK	DATE OF FILING Month Day Year			
	6705 FEE BOOK Vol. Pain	STANTON BRUNSON ET AL VS. T. M. MARKHAM ET AL	PERKINS, GERMAN, Platonill MIMS & BELL Vaugha (200go	SUIT CONTESTING			May 16 1952 Jury Domanded by Jury Peo, 8			
			Defendent.		1 1	rs Boost	Paid by			
	Date of Ordern	OR	DERS OF COURT		Vol	the second second		PROCESS		
	記録の人間									
and a series										
Section and and	a start and	A State of the second sec	All and a second and							
	a starter									
	- Charles	1								
Contrast in the second	N. C.P.C.	And the second second								
and the second second	1									
No. of the second second second	Section 2	Sala da Cara da						-		
and the strend of the										
	Standing.	And Latter	and the second se							
and the second state	Stadio C		and the second sec							
O Later Provident		and the owner that the								
and the second s	and the second			Part Contractor						
Carl Statements The	Assessed.									

THE REPORT OF THE REPORT OF THE