

RECEIVED
DEC 8 1909
Referred to Map

12/10/09
14M
3048

December 6th 1909.

Jno. T. Robison, Commissioner,
Austin, Texas.

Dear Sir:

This is in acknowledgement of your favor of the 4th inst., relative field notes of re-survey of Block No. 28, Tsp 1 North, Texas & Pacific Railway Co., surveys in Mitchell County, Texas; as per your suggestion therein, I am herewith enclosing your field notes of Sects., Nos. 20, 34, 36, 38 and 42, and the S. E. 1/4 of Sec., #26, all in said Block No. 28, Tsp 1 N., also I am enclosing you herewith field notes of Survey #36, Block No. 29, T1N, Texas & Pacific R'y Co.,

Patents will be sought on all of these surveys, not already applied for, within a few days, and we would be pleased to have your department rush these through with as much expedition as possible.

In looking up the law on these matters, I have abstracted several decisions and desire to call your attention to the following cases, all of which have more or less bearing on these matters in similar cases, viz;

Baker v. Light 80 Texas; 627; Swisher v. Grumbles 18 Tex 164
Robertson v. Mosson 26 Texas 248; Roberts vs Helm 1 C A 101.
Wyatt vs Foster 79 Texas 420; Minor vs Kirkland (TCA) 20 SW 932
Maddox vs Fenner 79 Texas 279; Standlee vs Burkett 78 Tex 616
Morrill vs Bartlett 58 Texas 648; Lilly vs Blum 70 Tex 704;
Boon vs Hunter 62 Texas 589; Wood vs Robinson 58 Texas 589;
Booth vs Stippleman 26 Texas 436; Booth vs Upshur 26 Tex 64;
Hubert vs Bartlett 9 Texas 98;
Urquhart vs Burleson 6 Texas 502.

I dont pretend to be able to cite you to authorities in these matters, with which you are not acquainted, but it strikes me that the facts in this case and some of those quoted are similar and consequently there can be no harm or offense in suggesting your attention to them/

Thanking you in advance for your prompt attention, and reply,

Yours very truly,

H. W. Stoneham

