

North  
↑

scale  
1" = 500 rods

Nathan Burdley

So line Thos Miller

M. B. Jackson

377<sup>3</sup> ms

3143<sup>3</sup> ms

Thos Miller

M. B. Jackson  
State of Texas  
960<sup>5</sup> ms

Nyatt Anderson  
880<sup>4</sup> acres

So line Thos Miller  
No line Jas Robinson

414<sup>11</sup> ms  
377<sup>3</sup> ms

162<sup>5</sup> ms  
526<sup>4</sup> ms

Matilda Wilburn  
Allen Vince

377<sup>3</sup> ms

1960<sup>5</sup> ms  
1000 ms

1212<sup>11</sup> ms

1240 ms

Levi James

Jas P. Harrison West line

Levi James East line

Jas P. Harrison

1901 ms



1000 ms

64 ms

1837<sup>4</sup> ms

S 21° E

S 21° E  
2624 ms

97<sup>11</sup> ms Vince

counter 31856

Montgomery Co.  
New Caney, Texas, Jan 10, 1934

2438

RECEIVED

JAN 15 1934

Hon. J. H. Walker, Com Gen Land Office  
Austin, Texas

Dear Sir:

REFERRED TO MAP

Have delayed writing you for the past year, thinking that during this oil rush here I would come to Austin, but have not and don't seem that I will at this time as the rush is about over. Just completed making a location for a well on the Pryor Bryan, this being a wild-cat well about 10 miles from the field.

Will you kindly mail me blank affidavits for me to make out in filing my application for a license.

Second:---a strip of land 960.5 vrs long and 377.3 vrs wide either in the M B Jackson or excess land. As I wrote you before I was out there about two weeks in December, 1932, being a little more than a year ago and surveyed out the Wyatt Anderson. The black lines indicate where the survey was then located. I moved it east 377.3~~xxx~~ vrs. The red lines indicate where I left the survey. I notified adjoining owners, but they never answered me. Just recently I saw the owners, the Herrens, of the M B Jackson that join this strip of the west. They said they did not own it and would not claim it; that they had been with many surveyors. Burns, Gillespie, et al, and was satisfied they did not own it. I told them some of those surveyors, not all had placed the Anderson where it has been since about 1886 and they called that right, and I say that it is wrong. So I have taken the matter up with them, and they don't seem to think they own it, and so I am now advising you. This is what I <sup>has</sup> done. I started at "b" this corner being known as the SW corner of Thos Miller and NW cor of John R Copeland; thence south and west to the river and found I was short 377.3 vrs. I then comes back according to the patents, 1000 vrs, 64 vrs and 1837, which is a little more than is mentioned in patent of Wm Vince and find that I am at the NE cor of Wm Vince, which means that line was measured and compassed twice: I go thence around until I get to North line of Anderson, run ~~run~~ runs temporary line first; then makes permanent line, both have the same measurement; that means that course East and west measured four times, and on. I was double careful to do the work right, as I was sure a law-suit would be next, and it is just about to come off now. One injunction has already been completed. You will note that the 1240 vrs line in the extreme SE corner of Anderson stops at Wm Vince line; that the extreme west line of the Anderson stops at the vertical line on the <sup>west</sup> east of the Allen Vince; It seems to me that was done intentionally and that the surveyor knew it was wrong.

The two little squares on the east end of the Anderson; 414 by 377.3 vrs has been patented twice, first to Anderson; then to ~~Cox~~ Copeland; the 551.3 by 377.3 was first patented to Anderson, and later patented to Thos Miller. "b" is <sup>now</sup> here Madeley - *A.M.* Your file SF 13363, began all his surveying ~~etc~~, and all his work is wrong. His surveyor, Mr. Hall of New Waverly recently done some surveying out there and used that same point, "b" for beginning, and that is wrong. You will note that part is well Jammed. The Harrison is over on the James ~~22~~ 526.4 vrs, and the August V Sharp is against the Harrison, a lot of moving to do. However the State has ~~some~~ sold some land, one sale was to T L Roberts about 20 years ago, and if this is ~~ever~~ ever straightened out he and some of those last ones near him to buy, will lose. By referring to your *old* map, you will note there is a small vacancy in the vicinity of the

counter 31857

east and south lines of the A V Sharp, and when the Harrison and Sharp are moved east this 526.4 vrs, it will take up some of that excess. I have notified the owner in the James and Harrison about this. He seemd to think the State ought to straighten it out. I told him that was up to him that he should have surveyed it out when he bought it. If he has ever done anything about it, I have not been told about it.

You will note that the present ~~corner of the Anderson~~ NW corner of the Anderson is near the Bar-clay corner. I can't advise what effect this work will have on that survey, or whether this excess 377.3 vrs by 960.5 vrs goes farther or not *with*.

Now, if you should want to know about that, I think I am entitled to the job, and could do the work in less time than a new surveyor. The Jackson and the John Owens would have to be run out, and the measurements east and west of the river should definitely determine whether this excess is there, or whether it is a part of the Jackson. The Herrrens state they have measured it with about six surveyors, and that their ~~set~~ set line is right as it has been all their life and at present, and that they do not own it.

You may think variation has had something to do with this, but not, that part is ~~correct~~ all right, the magnetic variation of all lines I have run out there was six degrees, and all lines almost perfectly straight.

As to figuring out where the Copelands and A M Madeley are going to get their land: That is a very hard task. I am informed that the brother of Mr. Madeley is now trying to get this subject to moving again. Mr. Hall should have gone down to the Geo Mason line to ~~go~~ begin, but he did not. He went no farther south than the present old line of the Harrison. That is what A M Madeley told me himself about two weeks before he died.

Again reminding you of the blanks for license, as I have several subjects in this letter.

Yours very truly,

C L Reinhardt

*C. L. Reinhardt*

P'

