

2366

RECEIVED

MAR 23 1933

Spur Tex Mar 21 1933-

Mr. J. H. Walker

REFERRED TO MAP

Commissioner, Austin Tex

Dear Sir:-

I received your letter of the 16<sup>th</sup> inst. which refers to my re-survey of the 16 sections of RR N.O. lands in Montgomery County. In September 1898 at the instance of Mr. C. C. Gibbs Land Comm. of the Southern Pacific R.R. Co. office at San Antonio Tex.

I went on the ground to resurvey the eight R.R. Sections. I did considerable work of a preliminary nature in hunting up old lines & corners of the old surveys that surround the sixteen surveys. I could not find any evidence on ground in shape of land marks to retrace the work on the ground of John M. Wade District Surveyor who was the original locative surveyor. The 16 sections would not fit on the ground as Wade had mapped them. Wade located them in winter of 1861 and in 1898 his marks on trees

would not show very old and should have been easily found, - had he marked his lines & corners. I came to the conclusion that Wade made these locations in his office and did not go on the ground, and that we would have to treat these locations as ~~offic<sup>l</sup> & dotted~~ <sup>figured</sup> work.

I went back to San Antonio - made a rough sketch and reported the situation to Mr G. Gibbs and told him a complete <sup>official</sup> resurvey should be made under authority of the Commissioner of the State Land Office. The matter was held in abeyance until summer of 1899 - when Mr Gibbs requested me to go to the Land office take a sketch and go over the matter with Judge Royan. I did so and Judge Royan said he would authorize me to make the resurvey as a state survey or He gave me some verbal instructions I got data from the files at the Land office and returned; I + understood from Judge Royan,

3.

instructions, that I was to correct surveys ~~set~~ in conflict and fit up the 16 sections, adhere the <sup>original</sup> ~~old~~ <sup>old</sup> lines to exterior boundaries. It was understood at the time that some surveys would have less than 640 acres while others would have over 640 acres and that total acreage as between the school and RR, surveys would be not far apart. Early in August 1895 I happened to be at the S.P. Land office when Judge Royan came in. Mr. Gibbs called me into his office and I sat in with him and Judge Royan in their conference over the 16 NO. Boundary situation in Montgomery County. Judge Royan told Mr. Gibbs that he would approve a resurvey of the 16 surveys. He again gave me verbal instructions - the same as he did at Austin. I know there was a verbal agreement between Judge Royan and Mr. Gibbs as to a correction of the boundaries of the 16 sections. Judge Royan said he would approve the resurvey and that he would issue some new patents if old ones

were turned in for cancellation  
 I can't remember the number of the  
 patents that were to be cancelled.  
 Except No 1 - I heard all that  
 was said at the San Antonio  
 conference, for I was in the draft-  
 man's office just across the hall  
 from Mr. Gibbs' office, when Judge  
 Royan came in, and soon afterwards  
 Mr. Gibbs called me in to sit in  
 with them using a map I had made  
 in Sept. 1895 - I again went up on the  
 ground and completed the survey  
 I received your letter of March 16-  
 33 - and note what you say about  
 some interlineations in field notes of  
 No 3 & No 5 - Wade field notes -

I am glad you sent Judge Royan's  
 written instructions to me. Also  
 Mr. Gibbs' letter to Judge Royan.  
 I have not so far had a map  
 showing - showing an alleged vacancy  
 between 3 & old surveys to the west &  
 between 5 & the steel. If above and  
 foregoing information is of any help to you I will be  
 glad.

32.

Montgomery Co sketch  
file.

Geo M. Williams' letter.  
Dated March 21, 1933.  
Filed do 23, 1933.

counter 31892