

Please send me 3 blueprint copies
of the attached sketch with fee for same.
whether you file the original or not.
I can't get it blueprinted here.

A. S. Howren

RECEIVED

OCT 26 1911

Referred to Map

Vol 1040

p - 597

Capt Howren

Returned plot to be certified to by
Jas B. Strimmon Co - San. Dallas Co

Dec. 27/1911.

Rec'd again Jan 29/1912

Reuben

counter 31949

11/10/19
J. J. GOODFELLOW
CIVIL ENGINEER AND
COUNTY SURVEYOR

RECEIVED
JUDGE CHARLES ROGAN
SAN ANGELO, TEXAS Nov 6-1919

Hon. Charles Rogan
Austin Texas

Dear Sir

This morning I wrote you an explanation of the call, in my Report on R. B. Masterson Work, from Stone Md in E. line # 37 to E. line of Blk. "018" also correcting the call at E. End of the 15827 vs run, where a clerical error had been made in copying my report.

On further looking over a Copy of my Report I find a similar error. In next to last paragraph on page 3 - where it calls to run "West 995 or 2 S. 300 or to an old md of stone" &c - The call S. should be 30 vs instead of 300.

Very Respectfully

J. J. Goodfellow

Explanation desired as following:

On page 1 of your report you state in
paragraph 14 -

"Hence East 12827 varas to a point 259 varas
north from an old mound of stone ----"

Your blue print sketch indicates a break on
the East line of Block 018 to the South of 275
varas - Hence "S 89-10 W" to said above
mentioned mound of stone.

It is desired that you supplement your report
with any additional data showing your
connections between these two points.

should have been 203
ffr

J. J. GOODFELLOW
CIVIL ENGINEER AND
COUNTY SURVEYOR

SAN ANGELO, TEXAS NOV 16-1919

Hon. Charles Rogan
Austin Texas

Dear Sir-

The point reached, in paragraph 14 of my report, should have read: 203 vs N. from Stone Mt in E. line of 37 & C, instead of 259 as written.

In making this run East, 15827 vs, at the proper distance for the E. line of Block 'O18', I left a temporary point. and after seeing Mr Triggs statement or figures on the distance between E. line 'O18' & E. line #37 Blk B10, I concluded to recheck my measurements between these two points, and when I ran West from the corner in E. line of #37 (using a Var of $13^{\circ}E$, equivalent to $S 89^{\circ} 10' W$ on var of $12^{\circ} 10' E$) 2800 vs, I found the ground much less broken than the one about 200 vs N. consequently I continued or extended this line West to a point 275 vs South of the temporary point mentioned above - There will appear a slight difference in the two lines, taking into consideration, the difference of 50 minutes in variation, but as all ~~my~~ lines were needle courses & run without back sights, these will naturally be some differences in courses, but my object was to get at a solution of an adjustment between the Blocks rather than to find the exact area for the various surveys -

Yours Truly
J. J. Goodfellow

counter 31952

Austin, Texas,
October 8, 1919

Hon. J. T. Bobison,
Land Comir., Austin, Texas.

Dear Sir:

In November 1918, and at great expense, I employed Mr. Howard T. Trigg, the County Surveyor of Potter County, to make a resurvey of certain land owned by me in Potter and Moore Counties, so that I could know exactly where my true boundary lines and corners were located on the ground.

The blocks are as follows:

Block 47 H&TC Ry. Co.
Blocks 2 and 3, G&M 3.
Blocks 10 and 11, EL & R. R. Ry. Co.
Blocks 12 and 018, D. & P. Ry. Co.

Although he was employed to work for me I learned that instead of doing the work and completing the job, he worked only periodically, finding supposed vacancies, and making files for other people. This caused me to become suspicious about the correctness of his work.

I, therefore, with his consent, employed Mr. J. J. Goodfellow, the County Surveyor of Tom Green County, to check over his work with him. I then learned for the first time that there was a wide difference in their views as to where the true lines exist on the ground. This difference in construction became so pronounced they could no longer work together, and I then employed Mr. Goodfellow to make an independent survey, which he has done.

In the event Mr. Trigg has made files for other people in the land office on any vacancy alleged to exist by him in making this survey for me, I file this as my protest against your approval thereof until Mr. Goodfellow can file with you a report as to what he found on the ground, the variation run by him and why.

Page 2.

For the present, I herewith file a blue print of the survey by Mr. Goodfellow which, when compared with the plot of Mr. Trigg's work herewith filed, will show a difference of more than one thousand (1000) acres in vacancies claimed by the latter to exist.

I think Mr. Goodfellow can file his report within the next ten days. You will then have before you the work of both of these gentlemen and their reasons therefor. All I want is a proper construction to be given to the facts and to the law as they exist on the ground, and I know you are as anxious for this as I am.

Yours truly,

R D Masterson

San Angelo, Tex. Oct. 13th. 1919.

Mr. R. B. Masterson,
Amarillo, Texas.

Dear Sir:-

In undertaking to make a survey of your ranch, situated north of the Canadian River, in Moore & Potter Counties, I found that, Blocks; P & Mc--018--B10--B11-- & B12, were originally surveyed by J. Summerfield, and in order to determine the variation that would fit or follow his work at this date, I commenced at the S.W. Corner of survey 34 and the S.E. Corner of 25 Block "P & Mc". E.L. & R.R. - R.R. Co. to which corner I had been directed by H.T. Trigg, Surveyor of Potter County.

The Corner referred to being a Stone marked and set in a mound of Stone on Ground sloping to East on West side of a branch.

From this Corner I ran West (using a variation of 12° East) 1937 Vrs. to a point, 10 Vrs. N. of an Earth Mound & 4 deep pits, the S.W. Corner of said No. 25 & S.E. Corner 24, said Block "P & Mc"; from this Corner a large Lake bra. N.E. about 1/2 mile & the middle of a high ridge bra. S. 55 E. (on a variation of 12° 17' E.)

I then changed my variation to 12° 17' E. to make an approximate fit to the bearing on Ridge, which appears to be about 1/2 miles long & about 5 miles distant.

With this variation-(12° 17' E.) I ran Thence N. 45 W. through said Survey 24, Block "P & Mc" 2718 Vrs. to a point 4 Vrs. S. 45 W. of the N.W. Corner of said Survey, an angle ~~Exam~~ Iron set in mound between 4 pits.

Thence from said Corner West 1939 Vrs. to a point 6 Vrs. S. of an Iron pipe set between 2 pits for S.W. Corner No. 16 said Block.

Thence West 1958 Vrs. to a point 3 Vrs. S. of 3 large Stones & 2 small fragments about 200 Vrs. E. of a draw for the S.E. Corner of Survey in Block "P. & Mc".

Thence from said Corner, N. 45 W. 2783 Vrs. to a point 4 Vrs. S. 45 W. from some Stones buried around a Corner Post of fence, on West bank of a draw for supposed S.E. Corner of No. 6 this Block.

Thence from Corner, N. 45 W. from 2700 to 2727 Vrs. was in head of small drain, no Corner found for N.W. of said No. 6 -

I then returned to the S.W. Corner of said Survey No. 34 Block "P. & Mc". and corrected variation to 12° 10' E. which I calculated would practically fit the general course of most of the line run in this Block "P. & Mc". as made by Summerfield.

Thence from said Corner, East 1900 Vrs. to a point 5- Vrs. N. of a Stone mound made by Trigg for the S.E. Corner of said No. 34 -

Thence East at 2100 Vrs. left a pile of bones, in all 7457 Vrs. to a point 31 Vrs. S. of the N.W. Corner of 92 - Block "018", when set up 3800 Vrs. S. & 556 Vrs. E. of the S.E. Corner of No. 1 - Block "B. 10" E.L. & R.R. R.R. Co. (which last mentioned Corner is an Iron Pipe in an old mound of Stone, to which I was directed by Mr. Trigg as being the S.E. Corner of said No. 1 -)

Thence from point for N.W. Corner 92, South 1900 Vrs. to point for S.W. Corner said No. 92 - Block "0 18" -

Thence East 15827 Vrs. to a point 259 Vrs. North from an old Mound of Stone in Plum Creek Valley and in the E. line of Survey 37 - Block "B. 10" - E.L. & R.R. - R.R. Co. 402 Vrs. N. of its S.E. Corner and is also in W. line of No. 59 - Block 47 - H. & T. C. - R.R. Co. 232 Vrs. S. of its N.W. Corner - from said Stone Mound a C.W. Tree with the bark loosed from tree, but marked - Bs. S. 5° E. 98 1/2 Vrs. (calls to be 100 Vrs.) This Corner I found to be about 160 Vrs. West of where I had calculated to find it before leaving the S.W. Corner of 92 - Block "0 18".)

From this mound of Stone in the E. line of 37 - I ran North 3670 Vrs. and West 1032 Vrs. to a point in N. line No. 33, Block "B. 10" - 138 Vrs. W. of its N.E. Corner, from said point, a large C.W. marked "X", which has been undermined by Water & fallen into Plum Creek, Bs. S. 11 1/2 - W. 63 Vrs. & an 8 China standing near bluff, Bs. S. 42 1/2 E. 60 -

(Later at this point, with Mr. Trigg, we set up a large Stone in a mound of Stone, which is at the S. foot of Bluff Bank)

This point is 411 Vrs. E., and 1282 Vrs. S. from an old mound of Stone, mound on W. edge of a red Bluff in the S. line of Survey No. 29 - Block - "B. 10" 200 Vrs. W. of its S.E. Corner.

counter 31955

See letter of correction dated 11-6-19

6

I commenced at the pile of bones, 2100 Vrs. E. of the S.E. Corner of Survey 34 Block "P. & Mc". -

Thence south 6940 Vrs. to a point in S. line No. 7 - Block "B. 11" - 702 Vrs. W. of its S.E. Corner, (said Corner being a Stone marked X in mound of Stone whence a C.W. marked "X" and standing in a branch (original bearing) Bs. S. 44 $\frac{1}{2}$ W. 184 Vrs.)

Thence South at 1376 Vrs. passed 376 Vrs. E. of the N.W. Corner of No. 9 said Block, (said Corner being a Stone marked "X" on a small sand ridge in Valley, whence original bearing, a C.W. standing in John Ray Creek, 6 $\frac{1}{2}$ S. 85 E. 400 Vrs. | at 4149 Vrs. passed 193 Vrs. W. of S.W. Corner of No. 14 this Block (said Corner being an old mound of flint pebbles in Plum Creek Valley, bearings gone, but course and distance from this Corner would place the bearings on the E. Bank of Plum Creek, and a position further East would require the bearings to be upon high ground, ~~whence~~ where Cotton Wood Trees are not likely to be found in this dry Country) in all 5529 Vrs. to a point in the S. line of No. 13, this Block, 270 Vrs. E. of its S.W. Corner, (said Corner being a Stone set in Ground by another Stone) whence the original bearing, ~~said~~ "14" C.W. marked "X" Bs. S. 13 $\frac{1}{2}$ W. (300 to Creek) 371 $\frac{1}{2}$ Vrs. to Tree a "16" C.W. marked "X" Bs. S. 9 W. (at 290 Creek) 348 Vrs. to Tree.

Again I commenced at the point for S.E. Corner 63 and S.W. Corner 92 - Block "O 18".

Thence south 8447 Vrs. to a point.

Thence East 246 Vrs. to a large Stone set in Ground for the S.W. Corner No. 1 and N.W. Corner No. 2 Block "B. 11" whence a 30" C.W. marked "X" Bs. M. 1 $\frac{1}{2}$ E. 312 Vrs. (calls to be N. $\frac{1}{2}$ E. 480)

Thence West 5167 Vrs. to W. line No. 14 Block "B. 11"

Thence South 749 Vrs. to the old mound of Stone in Valley of Plum Creek for S.W. Corner said No. 14 -

Again commenced at the S.W. Corner of 1 - & N.W. Corner said No. 2 Block "B. 11" -

Thence South 9016 to a point 67 Vrs. East of a large Stone mounted on a small hill in Valley and in original W. line of Survey 76 - Block 47 - H. & T. C. R. R. CO.

I accompanied H. T. Trigg, County Surveyor of Potter County on a survey of Block "B. 10" E. L. & R. R. - R. R. CO. from the Iron pipe in old mound of Stone at the S.E. Corner of Survey 1 - & S.W. Corner 2 - in this Block - Eastward & Southward to the Stone mound in the E. line of No. 37 this Block, 402 - Vrs. N. of its S.E. Corner, & the distances to Corners found on the ground and shown on Map attached were furnished me by Mr. Trigg. I have not placed the Courses of lines furnished by him upon this Map, in as much as the variation used by him, in my judgment, was not in keeping with that which appeared to have been used by the Surveyor in making the original Survey. I suggested to him that the variation used by him was probably about 15 minutes too great, but he seemed to be of the opinion that the course of all Survey lines should be governed by a course set up from the North Star, to which I did not agree.

I also accompanied Mr. Trigg on a Survey of Block "B. 12" D. & P. R. R. CO. We went to a large mound of Stone near the S. bank of Big Blue Creek for the N.W. Corner of Survey 1 - in this Block, whence a C.W. on W. Bank of said Creek and 80 Vrs. N. of Grape Vine Creek, calls to be at N. 55 W. 1516 Vrs. - Mr. Trigg made the Survey to this Tree from Corner and reported it correct.

From this mound of Stones we ran a line South to the N.E. Corner of Survey 13 this Block, 5 miles. At each mile passing over a Corner that had been previously placed by some Surveyor, and on a course practically in keeping with the course of lines as found by me, in Block "P. & Mc."

From this point the line was run West 3 miles and about 785 Vrs. to a Stone mound that had been previously set up by some Surveyor for the S.W. Corner of John Griffith Survey and S.E. Corner 55 - Block "6 T." and from this point made a connection with the surveys on Plum Creek in Block "B. 10"

Mr. Trigg also made a survey connecting the corner found in E. line of No. 37- Block "B10", 402 Vrs. North of S.E. Corner, with some Stone mounds in Block 47 H. & T.C.R.R.CO., and showed me a detail Map showing his connections in the various Surveys in Block 47 - H. & T.C.R.R.CO. extending up the Canadian River, from Survey 47 to 87 - . The general course up the river between these two points, is in a South Westerly direction.

I noted that in this detail map that practically all the distances given in departure between original monument found on the ground were short, while all the distances given in latitude were greatly excessive. I took these differences into consideration and figured that in order to equalize the excesses and shortages, one would have to use a variation One Degree (1°) greater than that used by Mr. Trigg, and thinking that Mr. Trigg had used a variation of $12^{\circ} 30'$ E, I placed my compass on a variation of $13^{\circ} 30'$ E., and commenced at the original Stone in a large old mound of Stone in E. line of Survey 47- Block 47 H. & T.C. R.R.CO. Survey from which a C.W.Bs.N. 8 E. 432 Vrs. (calls 430 Vrs.)

I ran thence West and South, the various distances shown on the Map hereto attached, which is made a part of this report, between Monuments shown there on the Map, and to the N. bank of Canadian River at various points until I reach the Stone marked "X" in a mound of Stone in Survey No. 57 and to the Stone mound in the W. line of No. 59 of said Block 47, which last mentioned mound is also in the E. line Survey 37-Block "B10". as previously described in this report.

In making the Survey of this portion of said Block 47- I ascertained that there was an excess on the ground between the corners found which would have to be taken into consideration in establishing the variation and that the variation used by me was approximately thirty minutes ($0^{\circ} 30'$) too great, consequently I changed to 13° E, which is $0^{\circ} 50'$ greater than the variation used to follow the lines on Surveys located by Summerfield.

I commenced at the Stone Mound in W. line of 59 Block 47 and in the E. line of 37 Block "B10", which point is 232 Vrs. S. of the N.W. Corner of 59 and 402 Vrs. N. of the S.E. Corner said 37. (Using the variation of 13° E.)

I then ran North 3670 Vrs. and West 988 Vrs. to the Stones set up by myself and Mr. Trigg in the N. line of Survey 33 Block "B10", 138 Vrs. W. of its N.E. Corner as previously described in this report.

Thence North 1282 Vrs. and West 211 Vrs. to the S.E. Corner of Survey 29 Block "B10". a Stone set in ground 200 Vrs. E. of an old mound of Stone on W. edge of Red Bluff.

Thence North 2977 Vrs. to the S. line of Survey 16 Block "B12". (this Block was originally surveyed by Summerfield)

Thence N. $89^{\circ} 10'$ W. 777 Vrs. to a Stone that had been set for the S.W. Corner said 16 -

Thence ~~N. $89^{\circ} 10'$ W.~~ N. $0^{\circ} 50'$ E. 1900 Vrs. to a Stone for N.W. Corner said 16..

Thence N. $89^{\circ} 10'$ W. 2685 Vrs. to a mound of Stone that had been placed for the S.W. Corner of John Griffith and the S.E. Corner 55-Block "6 T"

Again I commenced at the Stone mound in E. line No. 37 Block "B.10".

Thence West (using variation 13° E.) 2800 Vrs. to point

Thence South 6530 Vrs. to a point 60 Vrs. E. of an old mound of Stone on the brow of high bank of river, about 20 Vrs. N. of lower bank of same - Said Stone mound being 960 Vrs. W. and 230 Vrs. S. of a mound of Flint Pebbles on side of N. bank of River.

From said old mound Stone on brow of River bank

Thence West 3000 Vrs. and South 9140 to a Stone in mound of Stone in S. part of Survey 65 Block 47- between 2 white rocky hills 257 Vrs. N. of present River bank.

Thence West 1924 and North 80 Vrs. to an old mound Stone at feet of bluff opposite or North of old road crossing, in S. part of Survey 67 - Block 47 H. & T.C.R.R.CO. 3916 Vrs. S. of its most Northerly N.E. Corner.

Thence West 995 Vrs. and 300 Vrs. South to an old Stone mound 67 Vrs. N. of an old Road and 366 Vrs. N. of River Bank.

Thence West 936 Vrs. and South 400 Vrs. to a Stone marked "X" in a mound of Stone on Gravelly Knoll, 86 N. of old Road and 170 Vrs. N. of River bank, from said Rock mound, a C.W. lying down in gulch Bs. N. 32 $\frac{1}{2}$ W. 63

See letter of correction dated 11/26/19

Vrs. and do Bs. N. $30\frac{1}{2}$ W. 70, at the point, 86 Vrs. S. of the Stone mound mentioned, the old road appears to run West about 10 Vrs. crossing a small branch, and then South about 60 Vrs. to the foot of a small hill about 25 or 30 Vrs. N. of River Bank, at which point it turns West and runs about 40 Vrs. along the base of this Hill to where it again enters open Valley.

I commence at the old Stone Monument in the original W. line of 76 - Block 47, which Stone mound is about 1 Vrs. high and $1\frac{1}{2}$ Vrs. at base situated on a red Hill in Valley 3561 Vrs. S. of the $\frac{1}{2}$ N.W. Corner of said No. 76.

Thence ran North 330 Vrs.

Thence East 1349 Vrs. to a point 10 Vrs. N. of a Stone set in Ground on N. bank of river and on S. side of old road, ~~Sand~~ is about 100 Vrs. E. of a small Spring Branch.

Thence E. at 575 Vrs. the N. bank of river and from this point continued East on Sand Bar in River, in all 1940 Vrs. to the S. point of a Rock Bluff. Here the River Bank had approached the foot of the bluff, & no Corner was found South of this point.

Thence North 740 and East 2910 Vrs. to the Stone on Gravelly Knoll in S. portion of Survey No. 69 as previously mentioned.

Again I commenced at the Stone monument in the W. line 76 - Block 47 H. & T C. R. R. CO. 3561 Vrs. S. of its N.W. Corner.

Thence South 1000 Vrs. to a point 632 Vrs. N. of the present N. bank of Canadian River.

Thence West 963 Vrs. to an old mound of Flint Pebbles on high bluff bank of river.

Thence West 1272 Vrs. and South 1058 Vrs. to a Stone marked "X" in a mound of Stone on Rocky Hill, as called for in corrected Field Notes of Survey 79 Block 47.

Thence West 980 Vrs. and South 985 Vrs. to Stone marked "X" in a mound of Stone on lower bank of River.

Thence West 1800 Vrs. to point 150 Vrs. N. of River bank.

Thence North 1965 Vrs.

Thence West 890 Vrs. to a point 555 Vrs. N. of a bend in bank of river, where it changes its course from N. $22\frac{1}{2}$ E. to N. $63\frac{1}{2}$ W. (in Survey 85 Block 47)

Thence West at 1030 Vrs. John Ray Creek in all 1180 Vrs. to point on N. bank of river 196 Vrs. S. of a Stone marked "X" in a mound of Stone in Survey 87 Block 47.

Returning to the point in Survey 85 Block 47 which point is 555 Vrs. N. of the bend in river bank where it changes its course from N. $22\frac{1}{2}$ E. to $63\frac{1}{2}$ W.

Thence North 3889 Vrs.

Thence East 434 Vrs. to mound Stone in E. line of 17 Block "B11" - 247 Vrs. N. of its S.W. Corner. (The S.W. Corner of 17 is an old mound of Stone whence a 26" C.W. Bs. S. $87\frac{1}{2}$ E. 37 Vrs.)

Thence North 1115 and East 596 Vrs. to the S.W. Corner of 16 Block "B11" a large round flint rock with a few small ones around it, at N.W. edge of a grove of small Hackberry and China Trees. A tripple Hac. 6"-6" & 7" - the center one marked "X", (old marks) Bs. S. $32\frac{1}{2}$ W. 2 Vrs. and a pile of flint pebbles Bs. S. 11 Vrs.

Thence North 1344 Vrs. to a point for the N.W. Corner of said 16.

Thence West at 1160 Vrs. pile Stone at 1170 Vrs. a new pile of Stone Bs. S. 10 Vrs. in all 1224 Vrs. to point 54 Vrs. S. of a Stone marked "S" and set in ground.

Again commenced at the point for N.W. Corner of 16.

Thence North 2274 Vrs. and East 1609 Vrs. to the original S.W. Corner of 13 Block "B11" as previously described in this report.

My conclusions are that Mr. Mabry in locating and establishing the south boundary of surveys in Blk. "G & M 3" made a survey of Blk. 47 H. & T. C. R. R. Co by beginning at the original Maddox corner in E. line of survey 47 and ran lines west and south or north as case may be, placing a monument of some kind at or near the north bank of the Canadian river at distances of 950 Vrs. or multiples thereof until he reached some other corner established by Maddox in this Blk., and from the monument so placed he made bearing for the various corners along the S. line of said Blk. "G & M 3". A great number of the corners are monuments in my judgment still exist and were found upon the ground by me. Particularly is this so with the monuments found in the S. part of surveys 65-67-68 & 69 Blk. 47, the most of which are bearings to corners in S. line of survey 15 Blk. "G & M 3" and when ~~said~~ said survey 15 has been located on the ground in keeping with these

bearings and Surveys from 15 to 25 in Block "G. & M. 3" shall have been placed on the ground in their proper relation to said Survey 15, there will be no vacancy between Blocks "G. & M. 3" and "O18".

By observing the Map it will be noted that when the Corner of 15 at most Northerly N.W. Corner of 69 is located from the N. Bank of river and the line run E. 936 Vrs. that in order to reach the point 3380 Vrs. N. of Stone mound that the next course would be North 116 instead of South 207 Vrs. as called for in the Field Notes, thus placing the East end of Survey 15 Block "G. & M. 3" - 323 Vrs. further North than it would be if placed by course and distance from the Surveys West of 68, thus leaving no room for a vacancy between Block "G. & M. 3" and "O18", which Mr. Trigg shows on his Map as being 310 Vrs. wide.

Thus it will appear that instead of there being a vacancy 310 Vrs. wide along the N. line of Surveys 82 & 83 Block "G. & M. 3" there is an apparent shortage of 13 Vrs. at this point.

It appears that Mr. Mabry in making a corrected Survey of Block 47 H. & T. C. RR. Co. in 1887 ran along the dry sand bar, where practical, in taking the meanderings of the River, making passing call of the monuments previously placed on the bank of the river, and in some instances making new monuments, and in making these corrections used a variation in keeping with that used by Summerfield, which was about 1° less than that used in locating the Maddox work.

It occurs to me that Mr. Trigg is now trying to locate surveys made by Mr. Mabry in 1882, from work done in 1887, using the lines run on the sand bars of the river, from which to ~~recon~~ recon his location for S. lines of Blk. "G & M3" instead of using the monument on bank of river placed by Mr. Mabry at time he located Blk. "G & M3" to wit 1882 which was 5 years before the corrected surveys were made, from which Mr. Trigg appears to be making his locations.

The river bank from survey 54 to 62 Blk. 47 appears to have made very little change, except at the stone in S. part of 57 it has receded to some extent and along the S.E. line of 56 it has encroached to some extent.

Also from survey 65 to 70 there has been but little change.

The greater portion of the South end of Survey along the river front, the bank has encroached upon the Surveys from 1 to 1200 Vrs.

The encroachment on Survey 53 being about 1000 Vrs. and on 48 about 1200 Vrs.

I find from the back line of Surveys from 58 to 61 to the river bank there is an excess of about 300 Vrs., with this exception I find practically all the river front Surveys ~~xxx~~ have lost from the calls given by Mabry in locating Block "G. & M. 3".

In that portion of Block "G. & M. 3" between Surveys from 1 to 6 in Blk. "B11" and Survey's 14 to 17 in same Block there is a shortage East and West and an excess of about 200 Vrs. North and South. It is not clear to me as to where this excess should fall. If Mr. Mabry made a Survey from Block "P. Mc" to Block 47 to determine the location of lands to be placed in Block "G. & M. 3" then it would appear that the excess should fall some where in Block "G. & M. 3" between the S. line of "O18" and the N. line of Block 47, and as to whether the Surveys should be constructed from North to South or South to North, or whether or not this excess should be distributed through the Block is a question.

As Survey 81 was the last Survey made in this portion of Block "G. & M. 3"

I am placing the excess in this Survey as will be seen from Map.

Survey made May and August 1919

Very Respectfully

Goodfellow

Surveyor of Tom Green County Texas.

JJG/AB.

... from 15 to 25 in Block "G. & M. 3" shall have been placed
... their own report referred to said survey, there will be no
... Blocks "G. & M. 3" and "018".
... will be noted that when the corner of 15 at east
... located from the E. bank of river and the line
... point 3380 Vrs. W. 1/2 Stone mound that
... North 1/2 instead of South 1/2 Vrs. as called for in
... Block "G. & M. 3" - 263
... it would be placed by corner and distance from the
... leaving no room for a vacancy between Block "G. & M. 3"
... shows on his map as being 310 Vrs. wide.
... instead of there being a vacancy 310 Vrs. wide along
... Block "G. & M. 3" there is an apparent shortage
... in making a corrected survey of Block 47 R. 1 E. T. 1 N.
... where practical in taking the section
... of the monument previously placed on
... in some instances making new monuments, and in
... used a variation in keeping with that used by
... than that used in locating the Haddock
... is now trying to locate surveys made in
... in 1887, using the line run on the east bank
... location for 2 1/2 lines of R. 1 E.
... on bank of river placed by Mr. Harry
... at line he located R. 1 E. T. 1 N. 310 Vrs. before the
... corrected surveys were made, from which it appears to be making his line
... The river bank line survey was made very
... little change except at the
... extent and along the E. line
... Also from survey of 1887
... The greater portion of the
... the bank has encroached upon
... The encroachment on
... 1900 Vrs.
... I find from the back line of survey from 28 to 31 to the river bank
... there is an excess of about 300 Vrs., with this exception I find practically
... all the river front surveys have lost from the calls given by Harry in
... locating Block "G. & M. 3".
... In that portion of Block "G. & M. 3" between surveys from 1 to 5 in R. 1 E.
... "11" and survey 14 to 17 in same block there is a shortage East and West
... and an excess of about 300 Vrs. North and South. It is not clear to me as to
... where this excess should fall. If Mr. Harry made a survey from Block "P. 10" to
... Block 47 to determine the location of lands to be placed in Block "G. & M. 3"
... then it would appear that the excess should fall some where in Block "G. & M. 3"
... between the E. line of "018" and the W. line of Block 47, and as to whether the
... surveys should be connected from North to South or South to North, or
... whether or not this excess should be distributed through the Block as a
... Question.
... As survey 31 was the last survey made in this portion of Block "G. & M. 3"
... I am placing the excess in this survey as will be seen from the
... survey made May and August 1919

Filed in General Land
Office November, 10th 1919
J. J. Goodfellow
S. C. Clark Clerk

File No. Sketch File 13
MOORE
Report & Correspondence
Filed Nov. 10 1919
GARRY MAURO, Com'r
By Douglas Howard (12-5-1920)

[Handwritten signature]

Surveyor of the Green County Texas.

Keep With
~~Moore COUNTY ROLLED SKETCH NO. "12"~~
Report with ~~Sketch in Moore & Potter Counties~~
~~SURVEYED May & Aug 1919 BY J. J. Goodfellow~~
~~FILED Nov. 10, 1919~~
11/8/19 J. J. W.
counter 31960